

Fiscal efficiency and stability of own revenues in urban local self-governments' budgets before, during and after crisis – example from Lower Silesian voivodeship

Jarosław Olejniczak, PhD

Department of Finance

Wrocław University of Economics, Poland



The main objective is presentation and analysis of own revenues system in 32 urban local selfgovernments (LSG) from Lower Silesian voivodeship in changing economics conditions during 2006-2014 years period.

LSG budgets need relatively <u>stable revenues</u> to sustain their responsibilities. Their revenues should be also rather <u>resistant from crisis and</u> not to overreact to economic fluctuations



The Constitution of the Republic of Poland [3, Art.167] specifies three types of revenues of local self-governments':

- own revenues,
- general subsidies (grants)
- specific grants from the State.



The independence of the local self-government operations and it's development depends on its financial situation which is closely connected with their access to efficient income sources – especially own revenues.

Own revenues can be divided to:

- local taxes,
- charges,
- shares in central government taxes (PIT about 39% of tax collected on local self-government's territory and CIT – about 7%),
- revenues from municipal property,
- other revenues.



Aim of presented research:

Taxes consist of two sub-groups.

In case of such taxes like LSG has taxing powers:

- real estate tax,
- agriculture tax,
- forest tax,
- means of transportation (vechicle) tax,

Second sub-group of taxes excludes taxing powers of LSG

- flat rate income tax,
- inheritance and donation tax,
- tax on civil law entities councils



Charges -charge rates are usulally set by local selfgovernment councils but for e.g. stamp duties are the same in all local self-governments

- stamp duty,
- market (sell) charge,
- local charge,
- administrative charge,
- dog charge,
- charge from permises for selling alcoholic beverages.



Shares in central government taxes - PIT and CIT (revenuesplit fixed in legislation PIT – about 39% of tax collected on local self-government's territory and CIT – about 7%). LSG don't have taxing power in case of PIT and CIT.

Revenues from property come from local self-government property sale, fees or payments for perpetual usufruct, fees or payments for permanent management of properties, rental, easement and usufruct fees. Wrocław University of Economics

Table 1 Relation between growth of own revenues and growth of total revenues of local self-government entities bodies in 2007-2014 – Lower Silesia urban municipalities

	·	·,						
ltem	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Average	1,080	0,994	0,952	0,929	1,021	1,038	1,016	1,019
Minimum	0,992	0,828	0,790	0,596	0,799	0,809	0,848	0,901
Maximum	1,251	1,326	1,104	1,159	1,282	1,486	1,148	1,258
Growth of own revenues								
<u>slower</u> than growth of								
total revenues (number								
of units)	2/32	12/32	28/32	26/32	10/32	8/32	11/32	10/32



Table 2 Changes in level of own revenues of local selfgovernment entities bodies in 2007-2014 (in %, previous year = 100) – Lower Silesia urban municipalities

2007	1						
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
127,60	102,86	96,60	108,48	105,69	106,88	106,72	107,67
77,59	40,13	70,57	78,93	65,79	70,82	88,51	81,84
309,00	171,40	118,82	182,00	159,16	137,90	132,04	134,96
4/32	10/32	21/32	8/32	10/32	8/32	7/32	6/32
	127,60 77,59 309,00	127,60 102,86 77,59 40,13 309,00 171,40	127,60 102,86 96,60 77,59 40,13 70,57 309,00 171,40 118,82	127,60102,8696,60108,4877,5940,1370,5778,93309,00171,40118,82182,00	127,60102,8696,60108,48105,6977,5940,1370,5778,9365,79309,00171,40118,82182,00159,16	127,60102,8696,60108,48105,69106,8877,5940,1370,5778,9365,7970,82309,00171,40118,82182,00159,16137,90	127,60102,8696,60108,48105,69106,88106,7277,5940,1370,5778,9365,7970,8288,51309,00171,40118,82182,00159,16137,90132,04Image: State Sta



Table 3 Share in central government taxes PIT and CIT as a part of total revenues of local self-government entities bodies in 2006-2014 (in %) – Lower Silesia urban municipalities

ltem	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
						1			
Average	18,72	20,23	22,17	20,45	17,68	19,19	20,08	19,89	20,04
						1			
Minimum	6,25	7,72	10,18	9,36	7,01	6,75	7,80	7,79	7,35
	T		1	1		1			
Maximum	33,77	45,49	43,51	38,41	37,70	36,52	40,53	36,57	33,66



Table 4 Changes in level of share in central government taxes PIT and CIT of local self-government entities bodies in 2007-2014 (in %, previous year = 100) – Lower Silesia urban municipalities

ltem	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Average	123,59	112,44	93,03	98,55	112,79	107,25	104,43	107,51
Minimum	109,79	104,34	82,78	76,30	100,57	98,16	86,49	94,97
Maximum	153,66	123,12	118,60	107,98	121,56	128,65	118,94	133,29
Decline (no.of								
units)	0/32	0/32	29/32	16/32	0/32	2/32	3/32	4/32



Table 5 Local taxes and charges as a part of total revenues of local self-government entities bodies in 2006-2014 (in %) – Lower Silesia urban municipalities

ltem	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Average	22,15	21,35	22,60	23,12	21,48	21,95	23,03	25,64	27,05
Minimum	10,76	9,26	10,86	9,86	9,62	9,84	9,40	12,47	14,26
Maximum	34,26	38,68	42,16	38,29	32,80	38,06	42,93	41,25	50,26



Table 6 Changes in level of local taxesand charges of local selfgovernment entities bodies in 2007-2014 (in %, previous year = 100) – Lower Silesia urban municipalities

2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
108,64	108,05	103,43	108,64	104,39	107,81	118,75	111,52
83,41	85,92	92,40	93 <i>,</i> 05	90,76	87,89	98,91	77,05
127,10	129,88	128,24	132,63	121,70	150,58	175,37	123,59
2/32	2/32	12/32	6/32	11/32	6/32	1/32	2/32
	108,64 83,41 127,10	108,64 108,05 83,41 85,92 127,10 129,88	108,64 108,05 103,43 83,41 85,92 92,40 127,10 129,88 128,24	108,64 108,05 103,43 108,64 83,41 85,92 92,40 93,05 127,10 129,88 128,24 132,63	108,64108,05103,43108,64104,3983,4185,9292,4093,0590,76127,10129,88128,24132,63121,70	108,64108,05103,43108,64104,39107,81108,64108,05103,43108,64104,39107,8183,4185,9292,4093,0590,7687,89127,10129,88128,24132,63121,70150,58	108,64108,05103,43108,64104,39107,81118,7583,4185,9292,4093,0590,7687,8998,91127,10129,88128,24132,63121,70150,58175,37



Table 6 Share of real estate tax in total revenues of local self-government entities bodies in 2006-2014 (in %) – Lower Silesia urban municipalities

Item	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Average	15,79	15,13	15,74	16,48	15,09	15,91	17,25	17,62	16,83
Minimum	8,36	5,72	6,76	6,52	6,38	6,68	6,59	7,89	7,28
Maximum	25,65	31,53	30,73	31,53	28,53	29,18	34,38	33,84	33,86



Table 8 Changes in level of own revenues from real estate tax of local self-government entities bodies in 2007-2014 (in %, previous year = 100%) – Lower Silesia urban municipalities

ltem	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Average	107,30	106,93	105,76	107,50	107,64	110,81	108,83	100,15
Minimum	86,42	78,96	93,49	88,42	93,54	91,34	89,90	60,71
Maximum	130,87	138,21	131,16	151,30	125,39	168,78	181,03	116,02
Decline (no. of units)	7/32	5/32	11/32	6/32	5/32	3/32	8/32	12/32
		-		-	-			



The analysis of scale and role of own revenues of urban local selfgovernments of Lower Silesian voivodeship proves that there are three main types of revenues – share in PIT and CIT, real estate tax and revenues from property. Only one of them – real estate tax can be pointed as stable and effective source of revenues.

We can observe that during crisis almost in all local self-governments growth of own revenues was slower than growth of total revenues. It was caused because drop of shares in PIT and CIT and decrease of different ,,small" revenues.

Summarizing - although it is claimed that the structure of local selfgovernments' own revenues in Poland is adapted to cities where taxes and local fees can play a key role, those urban units have also problems with revenues stability in time of crisis



Thank You for attention.

Jarosław Olejniczak, PhD Wroclaw University of Economics jaroslaw.olejniczak@ue.wroc.pl