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Fingerprints

- **Description**: graphical flow like ridges present in human fingers
- **Formation**: during embryonic development
- **Permanence**: minute details do not change over time
- **Uniqueness**: believed to be unique to each finger
- **History**: used in forensics and has been extensively studied
Biological Principles of Fingerprints

- Individual epidermal ridges and valleys have different characteristics for different fingerprints.
- Configurations and minute details of individual ridges and valleys are permanent and unchanging (except for enlargement in the course of bodily growth).
- Configuration types are individually variable, but they vary within limits which allow for systematic classification.
FACES CAN LIE.

FINGERPRINTS, NEVER.
History of Fingerprints

Fingerprint on Palestinian lamp (400 A.D.)
Bewick’s trademark (1809)

A Chinese deed of sale (1839) signed with a fingerprint
History of Fingerprints

- Many impressions of fingers have been found on ancient pottery
- Grew (1684): first scientific paper on ridges, valleys & pore structures
- Mayer (1788): detailed description of anatomical formation of fingerprints
- Bewick (1809): used his fingerprint as his trademark
- Purkinje (1823): classified fingerprints into 9 categories based on ridges
- Herschel (1858): used fingerprints on legal contracts in Bengal
- Fauld (1880): suggested "scientific identification of criminals" using fingerprints
- Vucetich (1888): first known user of dactylograms (inked fingerprints)
- Scotland Yard (1900): adopted Henry/Galton system of classification
- FBI (1924) set up a fingerprint identification division with a database of 810,000 fingerprints
- FBI (1965): installed AFIS with a database of 810,000 fingerprints
- FBI (2000): installed IAFIS with a database of 47 million 10 prints; conducts an average of 50,000 searches/day; ~15% of searches are in lights out mode. Response time: 2 hours for criminal search and 24 hours for civilian search
Fingerprint Matching

- Find the similarity between two fingerprints

Fingerprints from the same finger

Fingerprints from two different fingers
Fingerprint Classification

- Assign fingerprints into one of pre-specified types

- Plain Arch
- Tented Arch
- Right Loop
- Left Loop
- Accidental
- Pocket Whorl
- Plain Whorl
- Double Loop
THE HENRY SYSTEM

* DIVIDES ALL FINGERPRINT FORMS INTO 1024 BUNDLES.

Classification No. 18 16 14 12 10

RIGHT HAND.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right thumb</th>
<th>Right index</th>
<th>Right middle</th>
<th>Right ring</th>
<th>Right little</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>\</td>
<td>\</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LEFT HAND.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left thumb</th>
<th>Left index</th>
<th>Left middle</th>
<th>Left ring</th>
<th>Left little</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>\</td>
<td>\</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: A Fingerprint Slip with Henry Code
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RT 16 RF 16 RM 8 RR 3 RL 4
LT 4 LF 2 LR 3 LR 1 LL 1

1 2 3 4 5

WHORL PATTERNS ONLY HAVE VALUES.

EVEN NUMBERS ON THE FORM CONSTITUTE THE NUMERATOR,
ODD NUMBERS PROVIDE THE DENOMINATOR. ADD '1' IF BOTH
NUM. & DENOM.

\[ \frac{19}{24} \]
Fingerprint Sensors

• Optical, capacitive, ultrasound, pressure, thermal, electric field
Types of Fingerprint Images

Flat Fingerprint
(One-touch print from a single-finger livescan device)

Unsegmented Slap Fingerprint
(4-finger simultaneous impression from livescan devices or scanned from paper FP cards)

Rolled Fingerprint
(Image collected by rolling the finger across the livescan platen or paper from nail to nail)
Terminology

- **Fingerprint** – Impression of a finger
- **Minutiae** – Ridge bifurcations, endings and many other features (52 types listed, 7 are usually used by human experts and two by automated systems)
- **Core** – uppermost point on the innermost ridge
- **Delta** – separating point between pattern area and non-pattern area
- **Pattern class** – determined by ridge flow characteristics
Fingerprint Representation

- Local ridge characteristics (**minutiae**): ridge ending and ridge bifurcation
- Singular points: Discontinuity in ridge orientation
Minutiae-based Representation

LATENT FINGERPRINT

INKED FINGERPRINT
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Challenges in Fingerprint Identification

- Cuts and bruises on finger; dry or oily fingers; ~3% of fingerprints are not of “good” quality
- Wear and tear of sensor; no proven contactless fingerprint sensor technology is currently available
- New compact solid-state sensors capture only a small portion of the fingerprint
- Fingerprint impression is often left on the sensor
- Non-universality of fingerprint
- Changes in sensor technology; sensor interoperability

Fake fingerprint

Non-universality of fingerprint
Fingerprint Matching Techniques

- Minutiae-based
- Correlation-based
- Ridge Feature-based
Minutiae-based Fingerprint Verification System

Enrollment Module
- Minutia Extractor → Quality Checker

Authentication Module
- Minutia Extractor
- Minutia Matcher

User Interface
- User Name

System Database
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Steps in Minutiae Extraction

- Orientation field estimation
- Fingerprint area location
- Ridge extraction
- Thinning
- Minutia extraction
Minutiae Extraction Algorithm

Input Image → Orientation Estimation → Ridge Filter

Minutiae Extraction → Post-processing → Ridge Thinning
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Orientation Field

• Angle formed by the ridges with horizontal axis
• Usually computed at the block level and not at the pixel level (more resistant to noise)
• The gradient direction is perpendicular to the ridge direction
• An arithmetic average of gradient angles is not well defined
• Doubling the angles allows local gradient estimates to be averaged
Orientation Field Estimation Algorithm

- Divide the input fingerprint image into non-overlapping blocks of size $W \times W$
- Compute the gradients $G_x(i, j)$ and $G_y(i, j)$ at each pixel $(i, j)$ using Sobel or Marr-Hildreth operator
- Least squares estimate of the local orientation of the block centered at $(i, j)$ is

$$\theta(i, j) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{u=i-W/2}^{i+W/2} \sum_{v=j-W/2}^{j+W/2} 2G_x(u,v)G_y(u,v)}{G_x^2(u,v) - G_y^2(u,v)} \right)$$
Orientation Field Estimation Algorithm

- Local ridge orientation varies slowly in a neighborhood where no singular points appear.
- A low-pass filter can be applied to modify the local ridge orientation.
- To apply low pass filter the orientation image is converted into a continuous vector field:

\[ \Phi_x(i, j) = \cos(2\theta(i, j)), \text{and} \]
\[ \Phi_y(i, j) = \sin(2\theta(i, j)) \]
Orientation Field Estimation Algorithm

- Apply a 2-D low pass filter as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi'_x(i, j) &= \sum_{u=-w_\Phi/2}^{w_\Phi/2} \sum_{v=-w_\Phi/2}^{w_\Phi/2} h(u, v) \Phi_x(i - uw, j - vw) \quad \text{and} \\
\Phi'_y(i, j) &= \sum_{u=-w_\Phi/2}^{w_\Phi/2} \sum_{v=-w_\Phi/2}^{w_\Phi/2} h(u, v) \Phi_y(i - uw, j - vw),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( w_\Phi \times w_\Phi \) is the size of the filter, default is 5 x 5 blocks

Local ridge orientation at (i, j) is 

\[
O(i, j) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\Phi'_x(i, j)}{\Phi'_y(i, j)} \right)
\]
Orientation Field Consistency

- Consistency (actually inconsistency) level of the orientation field in the local neighborhood is

\[
C(i,j) = \frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\sum_{(i',j') \in D} |O(i',j') - O(i,j)|^2},
\]

\[
|O(i',j') - O(i,j)| = \begin{cases} 
  d & \text{if } d < 180, \\
  d - 180 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
d = (O(i',j') - O(i,j) + 360) \mod 360
\]

If \( C(i,j) \) is greater than \( T_c \), re-estimate the local orientations in this block at a lower resolution level, until \( C(i,j) \) is less than \( T_c \)
Fingerprint Region Localization

- Separation of the fingerprint area from the background
- In the fingerprint area, the variance is very high in a direction orthogonal to the ridge orientation
- In the background regions, the variance has no directional dependence
- Local variance of gray level can be used to locate the fingerprint area
- Assumption: Only one fingerprint is present in the image
Certainty level is defined as

\[ \varepsilon(i, j) = \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{W^2} \right) \left( \frac{G_x^2(i, j) + G_y^2(i, j)}{G_e^2(i, j)} \right) }, \]

\[ G_e(i, j) = \sum_{u=i-\frac{W}{2}}^{i+\frac{W}{2}} \sum_{v=j-\frac{W}{2}}^{j+\frac{W}{2}} \left( G_x^2(u, v) + G_y^2(u, v) \right). \]

For a block, if the certainty level is below a threshold \( T_k \), then all pixels in that block are marked as background.
Ridge Extraction

• Ridges and furrows run parallel to one another forming 2-D sine wave

• Gray-level values on the ridges attain their local maxima along a direction that is orthogonal to the local orientation

• The fingerprint image is convolved with two masks $h_t(i, j; u, v)$ and $h_b(i, j; u, v)$ that are 180° out of phase
Ridge Extraction Filter

\[ h_t(i,j; u, v) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \delta} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\delta^2}}, & \text{if } u = (v \cot (\mathcal{O}(i,j)) - \frac{H}{2 \cos (\mathcal{O}(i,j))}), \, v \in \Omega \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \delta} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\delta^2}}, & \text{if } u = (v \cot (\mathcal{O}(i,j))), \, v \in \Omega \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \]

\[ h_b(i,j; u, v) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \delta} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\delta^2}}, & \text{if } u = (v \cot (\mathcal{O}(i,j)) + \frac{H}{2 \cos (\mathcal{O}(i,j))}), \, v \in \Omega \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \delta} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\delta^2}}, & \text{if } u = (v \cot (\mathcal{O}(i,j))), \, v \in \Omega \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \]

\[ \Omega = \left[ -\left| \frac{L \sin (\mathcal{O}(i,j))}{2} \right|, \left| \frac{L \sin (\mathcal{O}(i,j))}{2} \right| \right], \]
Ridge Extraction

- On average, L x H is 11 x 7. Ideally the mask width must be equal to the width of the local ridge.
- Pixel (i, j) is labeled as ridge pixel if both the gray-level values of the pixel (i, j) of the convolved images are greater than $T_{ridge}$.
- The masks also do some smoothing, determined the parameter $\delta$.
- For computational efficiency, $\delta$ is made large enough to make the filter coefficient degenerate to a single value.
Removing Holes and Speckles

- The binary ridge map has many holes and speckles due to noise, smudges, breaks, etc.
- Connected component algorithm is used to remove holes and speckles
- Remove the connected components having less than 50 pixels
- Thinning is applied to obtain 8-connected thinned ridges of one pixel width
Minutiae Detection

- Spikes and breaks in the thinned ridge map leads to detection of spurious minutiae
- Heuristics are applied to post-process the thinned ridge map
- If the angle between the branch and the trunk is greater than 70° and less than 110°, then the branch is removed
- If the break in the ridges is less than 15 pixels and no other pixel passes through it, then the break is connected
Minutiae Detection

Remove spikes

Connect ridges
Minutiae Type Detection

- A ridge pixel is a ridge ending, if the number of ridge pixels in the 8-neighborhood is 1
- A ridge pixel is a ridge bifurcation, if the number of ridge pixels in the 8-neighborhood is greater than or equal to 3
- A ridge pixel is an intermediate ridge pixel, if the number of ridge pixels in the 8-neighborhood is 2
- \([x, y, \theta, \text{associated ridge}]\) are stored for each minutia
Minutiae Point Pattern Matching
Minutiae Matching

- Point pattern matching problem
- Let \( P = \{(x_1^P, y_1^P, \theta_1^P), \ldots, (x_M^P, y_M^P, \theta_M^P)\} \)
  be the set of \( M \) minutiae in the template image

- Let \( Q = \{(x_1^Q, y_1^Q, \theta_1^Q), \ldots, (x_N^Q, y_N^Q, \theta_N^Q)\} \)
  be the set of \( N \) minutiae in the input image

- Find the number of corresponding minutia pairs between \( P \) and \( Q \) and compare it against a threshold
Stages in Minutiae Matching

- **Alignment**
  - Estimate rotation, translation, and distortion
  - Input fingerprint is aligned with the template

- **Matching**
  - Compute the similarity between the pre-aligned input and the template using the number of matching minutiae
Minutiae Matching

1. Template
2. Input

Generate Alignment Hypothesis

Find Similarity Using String Matching

Matching score
Alignment of point patterns

- Corresponding point pairs are not known
- Due to noise and deformations, the input minutiae cannot be aligned exactly with respect to the template minutiae
- Ridges corresponding to the minutia are aligned
Alignment of Input and Template Ridges

Template Minutia

Rotate (\(\Delta \theta\))

Template Ridge

Translate (\(\Delta x, \Delta y\))

Input Minutia

Input Ridge
Alignment Algorithm

- Ridges are represented as 1-D discrete signals, normalized wrt the inter-ridge distance
- Let $R^d$ and $R^D$ be the set of ridges in the input and template images
- Each ridge $d \in R^d$ is matched with $D \in R^D$ as

$$S = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{L} d_i D_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{L} d_i^2 D_i^2}}$$

$L$ is the minimal length of the two ridges, $d_i$ and $D_i$ represent the distances from point $i$ to the x-axis.
Alignment Algorithm

• If $S$ is greater than a threshold (0.8), go to the next step. Otherwise continue to match the next pair of ridges.

• Compute the translation vector and rotation angle as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta x \\
\Delta y
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
x^d \\
y^d
\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}
x^D \\
y^D
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\Delta \theta = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=0}^{L} (\gamma_i - \Gamma_i),
\]

$\gamma_i$, and $\Gamma_i$ are the radial angles of the $i^{th}$ point with respect to the reference minutia.
Alignment Algorithm

• Let \((x^d, y^d, \theta^d)^T\) be the reference minutia (based on which the transformation parameters are estimated). The \(N\) input minutiae are transformed as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x^A_i \\
  y^A_i \\
  \theta^A_i \\
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  \Delta x \\
  \Delta y \\
  \Delta \theta \\
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
  \cos \Delta \theta & \sin \Delta \theta & 0 \\
  \sin \Delta \theta & -\cos \Delta \theta & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
  x_i - x^d \\
  y_i - y^d \\
  \theta_i - \theta^d \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Matching Algorithm

- Estimate the rotation and translation parameters and align the two minutiae patterns

- Convert the template and input patterns into the polar coordinate system with respect to the reference minutiae and represent them as strings by concatenating each minutia in an increasing order of radial angles

\[
P_p = \left\{ (r_1^P, e_1^P, \theta_1^P), \ldots, (r_M^P, e_M^P, \theta_M^P) \right\}
\]

\[
Q_p = \left\{ (r_1^Q, e_1^Q, \theta_1^Q), \ldots, (r_N^Q, e_N^Q, \theta_N^Q) \right\}
\]
String Formation
String Matching

• Match the strings $P_p$ and $Q_p$ with a modified dynamic programming algorithm to find the edit distance

• Edit distance must have the elastic property of string matching

• Edit distance is defined recursively as follows:
\[ C(m, n) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ and } n = 0 \\
\min \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
C(m - 1, n) + \Omega \\
C(m, n - 1) + \Omega \\
C(m - 1, n - 1) + w(m, n)
\end{array} \right\} & 0 < m \leq M \text{ and } 0 < n \leq N,
\end{cases} \]

where

\[ w(m, n) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha \left| r_p^m - r_q^n \right| + \beta \Delta e + \gamma \Delta \theta & \text{if } \left| r_p^m - r_q^n \right| < \delta, \Delta e < \epsilon \text{ and } \Delta \theta < \rho \\
\Omega & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \]

\[ \Delta e = \begin{cases} 
a & \text{if } (a = (e_p^m - e_q^n + 360) \mod 360) < 180 \\
a - 180 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \]

\[ \Delta \theta = \begin{cases} 
a & \text{if } (a = (\theta_p^m - \theta_q^n + 360) \mod 360) < 180 \\
a - 180 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \]
String Matching

- $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ are the weights associated with radius, radial angle, and orientation components
- $\delta$, $\varepsilon$, and $\rho$ specify the bounding box
- $\Omega$ is the pre-specified penalty for mismatch
- This scheme can tolerate non-linear deformations and inexact transformations to some extent, but cannot compensate for it
- An adaptive bounding box can be used
Bounding Box Adjustment

Template minutia

Input minutia

Reference minutia

$\varepsilon_{l(m,n)}$

$\delta_{l(m,n)}$

$\varepsilon_{h(m,n)}$

$\delta_{h(m,n)}$

$\Delta e$

$\Delta r$
\[ w'(m, n) = \begin{cases} \alpha |r^P_m - r^Q_n| + \beta \Delta e + \gamma \Delta \theta & \text{if } \begin{cases} \delta_l(m, n) < (r^P_m - r^Q_n) < \delta_h(m, n) \\ \epsilon_l(m, n) < \Delta e < \epsilon_h(m, n) \\ \Delta \theta < \rho \end{cases} \\ \Omega & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \]

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \Delta r_a \\ \Delta e_a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} r^P_m - r^Q_n \\ \Delta e \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \begin{cases} \delta_l(m, n) < (r^P_m - r^Q_n) < \delta_h(m, n) \\ \epsilon_l(m, n) < \Delta e < \epsilon_h(m, n) \\ \Delta \theta < \rho \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\]

\[
\delta_l(m + 1, n + 1) = \delta_l(m, n) + \eta \Delta r_a,
\]

\[
\delta_h(m + 1, n + 1) = \delta_h(m, n) + \eta \Delta r_a,
\]

\[
\epsilon_l(m + 1, n + 1) = \epsilon_l(m, n) + \eta \Delta e_a,
\]

\[
\epsilon_h(m + 1, n + 1) = \epsilon_h(m, n) + \eta \Delta e_a,
\]
String Matching

• $\delta_l(m,n)$, $\delta_h(m,n)$, $\varepsilon_l(m,n)$, and $\varepsilon_h(m,n)$ specify the adaptive bounding box; initial values depend on the resolution of the fingerprint image

• $\eta$ is the learning rate

• Parameter values are determined empirically
Minutiae Correspondences
Matching Score

• The edit distance is used to establish the correspondence of the minutiae between $P_p$ and $Q_p$.

• Total number of corresponding minutiae is computed as $M_{PQ}$.

• The matching score is

$$S = \frac{100M_{PQ}M_{PQ}}{MN}$$
Minutiae Matching Result

(a) (c)

(b) (d)
2-D Dynamic Programming based Minutiae Matching

Template Minutiae
\[ T = \{ t_1, \ldots, t_m \} \]

Query Minutiae
\[ Q = \{ q_1, \ldots, q_n \} \]

Minutiae Pairing
\[ S_1(R(t_i), R(q_j)) > \delta_1 \]

Maximize \( L \)

For each selected minutiae pair \((t_i, q_j)\), compute \( \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta \theta \)

1-D elastic string matching based on \( t_i, q_j, \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta \theta \)

Alignment Stage

Matching Stage

2-D dynamic programming based matching using \((t_i, q_j, \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta \theta)_{\text{max}}\)

\[ \text{Matching time } \sim 0.1 \text{ sec.} \]

\[ L_{\text{max}}' \]

\[ S_2(O(T), O(Q), \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta \theta) > \delta_2 \]

\[ \text{Score } \propto (S_2, L'_{\text{max}}) \]

Find an alignment that maximizes the number of minutiae correspondences

\( S_1 \rightarrow \) Ridge similarity measure, \( S_2 \rightarrow \) Orientation similarity measure, \( R(t) \rightarrow \) 1-D representation of ridge points of minutia \( t \), \( O(T) \rightarrow \) Orientation field
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Matching Score Distributions

- Performance depends on the database. FVC2002 Database 1 (100 users, 8 impressions/user)
- For FAR = 0.1% (1 in 1000), GAR = 97.1%
- EER = 1.65%; at 0% False Accept, FRR = 4%
Analysis of Errors

• Minutiae Extraction
  – Extraction stage does not extract all minutiae and their ridges
  – There may be no corresponding minutiae having ridge points for finding the correct alignment

• Alignment
  – Corresponding minutiae with ridge points exist
  – Alignment step fails due to small number of correspondences

• Matching
  – Estimated alignment is correct
  – But, the matching score is low because the number of correspondences is low compared to the number of minutiae
  – Reasons: deformation, spurious and missing minutiae
True Minutiae Matches: A1→B3, A18→B9, A19→B7
A1, B9 and B7 were detected, but the associated ridges were not detected because they are close to the boundary
True Minutiae Matches: A7→B9, A8→B8, A4→B1
A7→B9 and A8→B8 pairs have ridge points; however, there exists a false alignment that results in more than three matches
Matching Failure

No. of matching minutiae identified by the matcher = 10
No. of minutiae in A = 38; No. of minutiae in B = 34
Spurious minutiae and large deformation leads to small score