In the past 15 years, the concept of genre has been the focus of a wave of studies in a number of fields concerned with L1 and L2 teaching. Traditionally a literary construct, genre has become a popular framework for analyzing the form and function of nonliterary discourse, such as the research article, as well as a tool for developing educational practices in fields such as rhetoric, composition studies, professional writing, linguistics, and English for specific purposes (ESP). Candlin (1993) has marveled at the recent sweeping interest in genre across disciplines: "What is it about the term and the area of study it represents that attracts such attention? . . . Clearly, a concept that has found its time" (p. ix). Similarly, Freedman and Medway (1994b) observe that in composition studies, "the word genre is on everyone's lips, from researchers and scholars to curriculum planners and teachers" (p. 1).

The growing force of the genre movement in L1 and L2 teaching circles in various parts of the world is reflected in a recent proliferation of genre conferences, including Australia’s "Working With Genre" series (1989, 1991, 1993) and North America’s "Rethinking Genre" colloquium (Ottawa, 1992). The movement has also sparked substantial debate, particularly in Australia, where genre-based education has come under attack from process writing proponents (see the debates in Martin, Christie, & Rothery, 1987; Reid, 1987; Sawyer & Watson, 1987; Threadgold, 1988), although similar controversies have begun to take off in North America as well (Fahnestock, 1993; Freedman, 1993, 1994; Williams & Colomb, 1993). Because of its fast-growing, controversial nature, genre scholarship has been a complicated movement to understand in a number of respects. It has been referred to as "a movement which . . . has the positive potential to mean many things to many people" (Cope & Kalantzis, 1993a, p. 2). It has also been on a fast track of change, with conference titles such as "Rethinking Genre" (Ottawa, 1992) and "Strictly Genre?" (Sydney, 1993) reflecting the dynamism of the field.