Analysis of the article written by my colleague consists of 6 paragraphs. Their contents are introduction, writing style, cohesion and coherence, objectivity and complexity, content of the work, conclusion. This division shows the characteristics of academic writing style. Unfortunately there are few ambiguities and grammatical mistakes that make the analysis really hard to read and understand.

For example:

• First sentence is missing a verb and thus it doesn't make sense to me

• I also don't understand second sentence and its connection to first sentence

• I suggest using different words in the first paragraph

• I would skip the word audience in the last sentence of the first paragraph

• I would split the one long sentence in the second paragraph (…into consideration. For example the word…)

• There is also one long sentence in the third paragraph (parts like …in every part and in the whole…could be two sentences). I'm not sure what the author wanted to say, so short sentences would be better

• I would change …almost in all over the work… for …in the entire article… in the fourth paragraph

• Fourth paragraph is again one long sentence and it is very unclear to me

• Author can use sentences like …Paragraphs based on short sentences…, Usage of lexical words and variation is evident…(fourth paragraph)

• In the fifth paragraph I suggest these changes: …The content of this work seriously clarifies the link…, information without s (plural is the same as singular)

• Short sentences: …The organisation…,The article is…, …language was used accurately… (without which), …All these… In spite of the inaccuracies that made the article hard to read, the overall idea and concept is quite understandable. Also the structure of the analysis shows signs of the academic writing style.