**Ethics in the hospital environment**

**Introduction**

There is probably no doubt in any of our minds that ethics is necessary, even though we may have different views about what is ethical and what is not. We would like politicians, business people, teachers and, of course, doctors and nurses to behave ethically.

I've been associated with the hospital my whole life. Whether it was various serious illnesses, job or because the family of doctors and nurses. I've always had a connection to it. In all departments, I have encountered a variety of ethical issues today and every day. Both the more serious ones (death, euthanasia) and the less serious ones (communication with the families, behavior, respect for patients' rights, etc.). I would like to dwell for a moment on those so-called small ethical issues that we encounter almost daily and whose importance should not be neglected. These are the issues of the everyday attitude and decent behavior of health professionals towards patients/clients.

**Ethical dilemmas**

The classic ethical dilemmas in medicine are attitudes towards abortion, euthanasia, ex mortuo organ donation and genetic manipulation.

**Euthanasia**

Euthanasia is generally defined as the act, undertaken only by a physician, that intentionally ends the life of a person at his or her request **(**Deliens L, van der Wal G, 2003, p. 1)

* Active euthanasia (overfilled syringe strategy) is the active killing of a patient at his or her own request.
* Unsolicited euthanasia is active euthanasia where the patient is unable to request it due to
* his/her medical condition. However, it is assumed that if he or she were able to do so, he or she would do so.
* Involuntary euthanasia is both unsolicited and unwanted.
* Passive euthanasia (syringe diversion strategy) is withdrawal or discontinuation of treatment. This is a DNR (do not resuscitate) designation with us.
* Assisted suicide, where the patient dies by his/her own hand but with the assistance of a physician or other health care professional.
* Prenatal euthanasia refers to abortion for social reasons, called an unwanted child.
* Social euthanasia refers to a situation where the patient receives only reduced care given the economic, geographic and educational resources of the country.

In the Czech Republic, active euthanasia is a criminal offence. However, letting die is available, i.e., withdrawing from further procedures when they are already unnecessary and excessively burdensome and bring further suffering to the patient. Palliative medicine is currently dealing with this issue. (Bužgová, 2013, p. 73).

**Artificial termination of pregnancy**

The second ethical dilemma is the field of reproductive ethics which includes many “hot button” issues, some of which do not fit into the previous sections. In this final section, “Abortion, Surrogacy, and Circumcisions abortion artificial termination of pregnancy. (L.Campo, 2017, p. 1)Certain part of the public does not think of abortion in terms of morality and takes the availability of abortion for granted to regulate fertility. It has been legal in the Czech Republic since 1957.

The most common reasons that justify abortion include the likelihood of the birth of a disabled child (eugenic), conceiving a child as a result of a criminal act, e.g. rape (ethical), another child is an unbearable burden for the family, the problem of single mothers (social), a condition where pregnancy seriously threatens the life of the woman (therapeutic) (Bužgová, 2013, p. 75-76).

**ETHICS IN MEDICAL PRACTICE**

**Example one**

In this example I will reflect on the ethical issues of "everyday life", that I encounter in my workplace.

In the context of the accreditation of some hospitals, a number of research studies are being conducted. Patients' views and own experiences are being reported in various journals and the daily press. Unfortunately, they are not always pleasant and positive. A survey of patient satisfaction with the behavior of doctors and nurses in state hospitals found that people are probably most bothered by the impersonal approach. Few people remember who their attending physician was. A lot of doctors are external. This means that doctors take turns at the patient's bedside, they don't introduce themselves, which obviously doesn't help to build trust. Doctors who have had the opportunity to practice in a foreign hospital agree on one thing: the biggest change for them is that they have to get used to behaving completely differently towards patients. "In Germany and Austria, it's quite common for doctors to identify themselves legibly by name, shake hands with patients, and introducing themselves by name is the normal way to start communication," says a medic with a year's experience at the University Clinic in Leipzig.

There is also a lot of emphasis in the world on how the nurse and the doctor talk together in front of the patient about the patient. They can't talk about him as a third person - Mr. Novak had a temperature today, what are we going to do about it?" The level of communication between doctors and paramedical staff also seems to me to be a problem. Often it seems to me that they are two independent groups of workers who have no insight into the work of the other (figuratively: the right hand does not know what the left hand is doing). Yet any layman would imagine a doctor and a nurse as a "medical team". In a healthcare team, we should be consistent in what we say to the patient (it often happens that the patient deliberately asks the same question of each member of the team separately). Let us not forget that the patient's trust is the most important thing for us. If we each say something different, it can easily happen that we lose it.

Another problematic fact that I still encounter in practice is the stereotypes that are ingrained. Like the 5am wake-up calls when nurses take temperatures and take morning blood. They try to get everything done by six o'clock, when they hand over to the day shift. She starts right away with toileting and cleaning. They have to be "all right" because the big ward round starts at 6.30. And it's really big! The head doctor and his assistant, at least two doctors from the department in question (one of whom certainly does not know the patient at all). They are accompanied by the head nurse together with the station nurse, and if we "get lucky" there are also 2-3 students of the nursing middle school. Some might argue that it is okay to have so many people caring and interested in the patient. But let's try to turn the situation. How would we feel in the role of the patient, (for example, after a night of pain or sleep disturbed by monitoring, therapy or a snoring neighbor), when we barely open our eyes in the morning, we already have a needle with a syringe in our hand, and on the other side a nurse is washing us with a rough washcloth with cold water, because there is not much time to let out her and wait for the warm water to flow. And before we fully "wake up" there is a whole "rounds team" standing by our bedside, consulting our health condition in a pretty loud voice in front of the other three patients in the room. Here is a quote from a newspaper article: "The rounds are a relic of the nineteenth century. It makes no sense for a couple of doctors to hold something like a social banquet over a patient, and for the patient to be stressed out because he doesn't understand the learned speech of the doctors and doesn't know why the guys are there?!" (Facultas Nostra, 2010, s.20)

We certainly find more than enough ethics-related problems in every workplace on a daily basis. Many of them should be handled with a great deal of empathy. But this ability is, apparently, more or less innate in everyone and therefore difficult to teach. What can be learned, however, is professional behavior. No one is born as a professional, they either become one or they don't. Let us remember that even getting a diploma does not make one a professional. To communicate successfully with a patient, it is enough to follow a few principles: every person is an individual, we are individuals too. Our primary role in this world is that of a human being. In communication with the patient, this should be our default position. The fact that I am also a healthcare professional is a secondary consideration. Let us not allow this barrier to arise. Let's be more of a friend and partner to the patient.

**Example two**

Let us now review the four basic ethical principles. I will try to relate to each principle an experience from my own practice, either positive or negative.

**The principle of non-harming**

My colleague lived for many years in a childless marriage. After all available investigations, the couple were offered the option of assisted reproduction, which they accepted and underwent several times without success. Three embryos were implanted. The rest of the embryos are frozen in liquid nitrogen and after some time are offered to the same couple, for adoption or discarded. The questions arise: "Are we killing the future generation? On what basis do we select embryos for implantation?" Each implanted embryo has a 25 to 30% chance of getting a woman pregnant. If a woman is pregnant with one or two children, that's fine. But if she's expecting triplets, it's unphysiological, with risks. That's why women are offered the option of pregnancy reduction. My colleague had all three embryos implanted for the fourth time. As she was a first-born at the age of 38, which carries with it certain risks, she was also offered the aforementioned reduction. I ask: "Which embryo to choose?" In our case, "fortunately" nature itself decided and intervened. Two embryos spontaneously died after a few days and my colleague has one healthy and beautiful, now 12-year-old girl.

**The principle of wellbeing**

Here I would like to give the development of the hospice movement as an example. There has been a recent tendency for families to leave their dying and terminally ill in medical facilities. The reasons for this were, for example, a busy family with the inability to care for a relative all day, or also some concern about whether they would be able to care sufficiently for a immobile or dying patient. But even this person with an unfavorable prognosis and exhausted available treatment options has the right to be treated with respect and consideration, to receive quality nursing care. To be able to live the rest of his/her life with dignity in a team of people who fully understand the problems related to his/her condition and who try to the last moment to make the quality of these days as good as possible.

**Respect for autonomy**

Jana, a member of the Jehovah's Witnesses Society, refused to sign a consent form before her delivery, in case she needed a blood transfusion. Just after the birth, doctors came to the conclusion that the newborn baby urgently needed a blood transfusion to prevent the baby's retardation and possibly its death. The parents refuse to consent to the transfusion. The doctor decides that the baby should be given a blood transfusion despite the parents' protests. During these decisions, Mrs. Jana becomes hemorrhagic and the doctor suggests a hysterectomy to prevent further bleeding

The husband, also a Jehovah's Witness, agrees to a hysterectomy but does not agree to a blood transfusion for his wife. No transfusion given. Mrs. Jana dies a few hours later, her child survives.

**The principle of justice**

Today, doctors and medical institutions are existentially dependent on the number of patients they treat. It happens that there are vacant beds in the intensive care unit, so a "suitable" patient is selected from the standard ward and transferred to the ICU. This is economically advantageous, as the hospital gets more money for a fully occupied ICU. If they bring in a severe case, the patient is returned to the normal ward.

Another example would be the now very topical issue of vaccination against "swine" flu. A vaccine that is not available to everyone. How to select "suitable" patients? By a random selection method or by the principle of "first come...?"

**Conclusion**

Health is a person's greatest asset. As long as a person is healthy, he takes it for granted. But as soon as he falls ill, health is the only thing he desires. Moreover, health care is the field that gave birth to the first code of ethics. Moral values have therefore been sought and, in most cases, upheld throughout most of human history. Today, there has been a shift towards a more equal relationship between health professionals and patients. From an ethical point of view, this relationship should be a partnership, an open one. It is very important, therefore, to maintain ethical values and behavior not only on the part of health professionals, but also on the part of their clients, the patients.

This is where I would like to conclude my reflections with the words of Stewart Potter:

"Ethics is knowing the difference between what you have a right to do and what is right to do."

**References**

Deliens L, van der Wal G. The euthanasia law in Belgium and the Netherlands. Lancet. 2003;362:1239–40. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14520-5.

BUŽGOVÁ, Radka. Ethics in health care. Ostrava: Distanční texty Ostravská univerzity v Ostravě, 2013 [cit. 2022-10-19]. ISBN 978-80-7464-409-2.

L. Campo a P. Burcher. *Reproductive Ethics: New Challenges and Conversations Introduction*[online]. Albany, NY, USA, 2017 [cit. 2022-10-19]. Dostupné z: <https://link-springer-com.proxy.k.utb.cz/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-319-52630-0_1.pdf>

*Facultas Nostra: Vizita? Přežitek minulého století.* [online]. 2010. Plzeň, 2010 [cit. 2022-10-19]. Available from: https://docplayer.cz/7750890-Rok-2009-mezinarodni-rok-astronomie.html

Jakub Skulina, M22974