Spreadsheet for use in Question 7

## Part A Manipulation of Residuals

| Firm | Residual |  | Residual-Min(Residual) |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.6932 | 1.7698 | 1.3810 |  |
| 2 | 0.7709 | 1.8475 | 1.4587 |  |
| 3 | 1.1375 | 2.2141 | 1.8254 |  |
| 4 | -0.1026 | 0.9740 | 0.5852 |  |
| 5 | -0.3826 | 0.6940 | 0.3052 |  |
| 6 | 0.1137 | 1.1903 | 0.8015 |  |
| 7 | 0.0985 | 1.1751 | 0.7863 |  |
|  | 0.3017 | 1.3783 | 0.9896 |  |
|  | -0.0096 | 1.0670 | 0.6783 |  |
| 9 | 0.0714 | 1.1480 | 0.7592 |  |
| 10 | -0.1397 | 0.9369 | 0.5481 |  |
| 11 | -0.1592 | 0.9174 | 0.5286 |  |
| 12 | 0.1217 | 1.1983 | 0.8096 |  |
| 13 | -0.1650 | 0.9116 | 0.5228 |  |
| 14 | -0.0392 | 1.0374 | 0.6486 |  |
| 15 | -0.2562 | 0.8204 | 0.4316 |  |
| 16 | -0.2238 | 0.8528 | 0.4641 |  |
| 17 | -0.0867 | 0.9899 | 0.6012 |  |
| 18 | -1.0766 | 0.0000 | -0.3888 |  |
| 19 | -0.6674 | 0.4092 | 0.0205 |  |

## Part B Computation of efficiency from residuals

| Firm | Efficiency (COLS adjustment) | Efficiency (95th\% adjustment) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 2 | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| 3 | $11 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 4 | $38 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 5 | $50 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| 6 | $30 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| 7 | $31 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| 1 | $25 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| 9 | $34 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| 9 | $32 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| 10 | $39 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| 11 | $40 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| 12 | $30 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| 13 | $40 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| 14 | $35 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| 15 | $44 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| 16 | $43 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| 17 | $37 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| 18 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 19 | $66 \%$ | $98 \%$ |

Part C Percentile analysis
Analysis of the efficiency score which corresponds to each percentile of the distribution (low

Percentile

| Efficiency (COLS) | Efficiency (95th\% adjustment) |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| $40 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| $60 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $57 \%$ |


| $70 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $80 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| $90 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Computations

| User determined percentile (for user investigation) | $75 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| User determined residual | -0.179705549 |
| 75th percentile residual | -0.179705549 |
| 95th percentile residual | -0.687830764 |
| Minium residual | -1.0766 |


| Residual-75th\% residual | Residual-User Determined\% residual |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.8729 | 0.8729 |
| 0.9506 | 0.9506 |
| 1.3172 | 1.3172 |
| 0.0771 | 0.0771 |
| -0.2029 | -0.2029 |
| 0.2934 | 0.2934 |
| 0.2782 | 0.2782 |
| 0.4814 | 0.4814 |
| 0.1701 | 0.1701 |
| 0.2511 | 0.2511 |
| 0.0400 | 0.0400 |
| 0.0205 | 0.0205 |
| 0.3014 | 0.3014 |
| 0.0147 | 0.0147 |
| 0.1405 | 0.1405 |
| -0.0765 | -0.0765 |
| -0.0441 | -0.0441 |
| 0.0930 | 0.0930 |
| -0.8969 | -0.8969 |
| -0.4877 | -0.4877 |
| Efficiency (75th\% adjustment) | Efficiency (User Determined\% adjustment) |
| 42\% | 42\% |
| 39\% | 39\% |
| 27\% | 27\% |
| 93\% | 93\% |
| 100\% | 100\% |
| 75\% | 75\% |
| 76\% | 76\% |
| 62\% | 62\% |
| 84\% | 84\% |
| 78\% | 78\% |
| 96\% | 96\% |
| 98\% | 98\% |
| 74\% | 74\% |
| 99\% | 99\% |
| 87\% | 87\% |
| 100\% | 100\% |
| 100\% | 100\% |
| 91\% | 91\% |
| 100\% | 100\% |
| 100\% | 100\% |
| to high) |  |
| Efficiency (75th\% Adjustment) | Efficiency (User Determined\% Adjustment) |
| 27\% | 27\% |
| 41\% | 41\% |
| 72\% | 72\% |
| 75\% | 75\% |
| 82\% | 82\% |
| 89\% | 89\% |
| 94\% | 94\% |


| $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

adjust this \% to see how the distribution of efficiency scores changes (see Part C) when alter the extent to which the OLS line is shifted

