Short sell Portfolio optimalization

### Portfolio Theory Lecture 4

#### Luděk Benada

#### Department of Finance - 402, benada esf@gmail.com

March 14, 2016

Luděk Benada MPF APOT

Short sell Portfolio optimalization









### • The assumption for the technique: $P_{t+n} < P_t$

- Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the security
- The owner of the security has rights on all CF 's
- An example...

- The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the security
- The owner of the security has rights on all CF 's
- An example...

- The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the security
- The owner of the security has rights on all CF is
- An example...

- The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the security
- The owner of the security has rights on all CF is
- An example...

- The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the security
- The owner of the security has rights on all CF 's
- An example...

- The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the security
- The owner of the security has rights on all CF 's
- An example...

#### • The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios

- ... expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$
- ... but there will be a lost of the diversification offect.
- The graphical representation ...

- The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios
- ...expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$
- ... but there will be a lost of the diversification offect.
- The graphical representation ...

- The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios
- ...expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$
- ... but there will be a lost of the diversification offect.
- The graphical representation ...

- The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios
- ...expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$
- ... but there will be a lost of the diversification offect.
- The graphical representation ...

- The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios
- ...expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$
- ... but there will be a lost of the diversification offect.
- The graphical representation ...

#### • The purpos is to find an extrem of given function

#### • There are two feasible approaches:

...to maximize the expected returne of the portfolio
 ...to minimize the risk of a change in expected returne

- The purpos is to find an extrem of given function
- There are two feasible approaches:
  - ...to maximize the expected returne of the portfolio
  - ...to minimize the risk of a change in expected returne

- The purpos is to find an extrem of given function
- There are two feasible approaches:
  - ...to maximize the expected returne of the portfolio
  - ...to minimize the risk of a change in expected returne

- The purpos is to find an extrem of given function
- There are two feasible approaches:
  - ...to maximize the expected returne of the portfolio
  - ...to minimize the risk of a change in expected returne

### The minimum risk portfolio

#### • Two ways of problem solving:

- ... to find an absolute minimum risk
- ... to find a minimum risk for a given return

### The minimum risk portfolio

#### • Two ways of problem solving:

- ... to find an absolute minimum risk
- ... to find a minimum risk for a given return

### The minimum risk portfolio

#### • Two ways of problem solving:

- ... to find an absolute minimum risk
- ... to find a minimum risk for a given return

#### • Solving the optimalization problem

- The weights are found by solving the objectie function, assuming the restriction...
- Objective function:  $\sigma_{
  ho}^2 
  ightarrow min$
- Restrictive conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$

- Solving the optimalization problem
- The weights are found by solving the objectie function, assuming the restriction...
- Objective function:  $\sigma_p^2 
  ightarrow min$
- Restrictive conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$

- Solving the optimalization problem
- The weights are found by solving the objectie function, assuming the restriction...
- Objective function:  $\sigma_p^2 
  ightarrow min$
- Restrictive conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$

- Solving the optimalization problem
- The weights are found by solving the objectie function, assuming the restriction...
- Objective function:  $\sigma_p^2 
  ightarrow min$
- Restrictive conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$

# • $f(W) \rightarrow min$

- Lagrange function:  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = L(\overrightarrow{W}, \overrightarrow{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_i(\overrightarrow{W})$
- For finding the solution will be used the Lagrange multipliers:

   <u>∂L(Y)</u> = 0, ∀i

- $f(W) \rightarrow min$
- Lagrange function:  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = L(\overrightarrow{W}, \overrightarrow{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_i(\overrightarrow{W})$
- For finding the solution will be used the Lagrange multipliers:

   ∂L(Y)/∂Y<sub>i</sub> = 0, ∀i

•  $f(W) \rightarrow min$ 

•  $\frac{\partial L(\overrightarrow{Y})}{\partial Y_i} = 0, \forall_i$ 

- Lagrange function:  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = L(\overrightarrow{W},\overrightarrow{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_i(\overrightarrow{W})$
- For finding the solution will be used the Lagrange multipliers:

- $f(W) \rightarrow min$
- Lagrange function:  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = L(\overrightarrow{W}, \overrightarrow{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_i(\overrightarrow{W})$
- For finding the solution will be used the Lagrange multipliers:

• 
$$\frac{\partial L(\overrightarrow{Y})}{\partial Y_i} = 0, \forall_i$$

• 
$$L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_{\rho}^{2}(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_{1}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} - 1)$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site •  $\overrightarrow{W^{+}}\overrightarrow{e} = 1$
- Solving the matrices

• 
$$L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site •  $\overrightarrow{W^{\dagger}} \overrightarrow{c} = 1$
- Solving the matrices

• 
$$L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site •  $\overrightarrow{W^{\dagger}} \overrightarrow{c} = 1$
- Solving the matrices

• 
$$L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site •  $\overrightarrow{W^{T}}\overrightarrow{e}=1$
- Solving the matrices

• 
$$L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero

• 
$$2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$$

- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site •  $\overrightarrow{W^T} \overrightarrow{e} = 1$
- Solving the matrices

• 
$$L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero

• 
$$2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$$

- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site •  $\overrightarrow{W^{+}}\overrightarrow{e} = 1$
- Solving the matrices

• 
$$L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero

• 
$$2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$$

- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site •  $\overrightarrow{W^{T}}\overrightarrow{e}=1$
- Solving the matrices

#### Restrictions:

- $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$ •  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- Lagrangian:

•  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = \sigma_{\rho}^{2}(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_{1} * (\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} - 1) + \lambda_{2} * (\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i} * w_{i} - \overline{r}_{\rho})$ 

#### Restrictions:

- $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$ •  $\bar{r}_{\rho} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- Lagrangian:

•  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = \sigma_{\rho}^{2}(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_{1} * (\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} - 1) + \lambda_{2} * (\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i} * w_{i} - \overline{r}_{\rho})$ 

- Restrictions:
  - $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$ •  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- Lagrangian:

•  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = \sigma_{\rho}^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1 * (\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1) + \lambda_2 * (\sum_{i=1}^N r_i * w_i - \overline{r_{\rho}})$ 

- Restrictions:
  - $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$ •  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- Lagrangian:

•  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = \sigma_{\rho}^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1 * (\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1) + \lambda_2 * (\sum_{i=1}^N r_i * w_i - \overline{r}_{\rho})$ 

- Restrictions:
  - $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$ •  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- Lagrangian:

•  $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = \sigma_{\rho}^{2}(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_{1} * (\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} - 1) + \lambda_{2} * (\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i} * w_{i} - \overline{r}_{\rho})$ 

- Restrictions:
  - $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$ •  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1\overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- Lagrangian:

• 
$$L(\overrightarrow{W}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1 * (\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1) + \lambda_2 * (\sum_{i=1}^N r_i * w_i - \overline{r_p})$$