<span id="page-0-0"></span>[Short sell](#page-2-0) [Portfolio optimalization](#page-13-0)

## Portfolio Theory Lecture 4

#### Luděk Benada

#### Department of Finance - 402, benada.esf@gmail.com

March 14, 2016

Luděk Benada MPF APOT

4 0 8

 $299$ 

∍

Short sell Portfolio optimalization







Luděk Benada  $MPF\_APOT$ 

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

× 同  $\sim$  Ε

∍

-b

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span> $\bullet$  The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$

- **.** Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the security
- The owner of the security has rights on all CF's
- An example...

- $\bullet$  The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- **•** Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the The owner of the security has rights on all CF´s security
- 
- An example...

- $\bullet$  The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- **•** Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the The owner of the security has rights on all CF´s security
- 
- An example...

- $\bullet$  The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- **•** Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the<br>security<br>The owner of the security has rights on all CF´s security
- 
- An example...

- $\bullet$  The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- **•** Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the The owner of the security has rights on all CF´s security
- 
- An example...

- $\bullet$  The assumption for the technique:  $P_{t+n} < P_t$
- **•** Investor has to borrow securities, which will sell and use the sources for a portfolio construction
- When the portfolio will be realized, then the securities must be returned
- At that point the investor must purchase on the market the The owner of the security has rights on all CF´s security
- 
- An example...

#### • The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios

- **...** expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$  $\bullet$
- but there will be a lost of the diversification offect
- The graphical representation ...

- The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios
- ... expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$  $\bullet$
- but there will be a lost of the diversification offect
- The graphical representation ...

- The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios
- ... expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$
- but there will be a lost of the diversification offect
- The graphical representation ...

- The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios
- ... expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$
- ... but there will be a lost of the diversification offect.
- The graphical representation ...

- The Short Sell expands the feasible set of portfolios
- **...**expands the Efficient Portfolio Frontier
- The SS could be used even the  $\bar{r}_i > 0$
- ... but there will be a lost of the diversification offect.
- $\bullet$  The graphical representation  $\dots$

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>• The purpos is to find an extrem of given function

#### • There are two feasible approaches:

4 0 8

• The purpos is to find an extrem of given function • There are two feasible approaches:

...to maximize the expected returne of the portfolio ...to minimize the risk of a change in expected returne

4 **D** F

- The purpos is to find an extrem of given function
- There are two feasible approaches:
	- ...to maximize the expected returne of the portfolio
	- ...to minimize the risk of a change in expected returne

4 0 3

- The purpos is to find an extrem of given function
- There are two feasible approaches:
	- ...to maximize the expected returne of the portfolio
	- ...to minimize the risk of a change in expected returne

4 **D** F

 $\Omega$ 

## The minimum risk portfolio

#### Two ways of problem solving:

... to find an absolute minimum risk • ... to find a minimum risk for a given return

Luděk Benada [MPF\\_APOT](#page-0-0)

4 0 8

## The minimum risk portfolio

#### Two ways of problem solving:

- ... to find an absolute minimum risk
- ... to find a minimum risk for a given return



4 0 8

## The minimum risk portfolio

#### Two ways of problem solving:

- ... to find an absolute minimum risk
- ... to find a minimum risk for a given return

4 0 8

э

#### • Solving the optimalization problem

- The weights are found by solving the objectie function, assuming the restriction...
- Objective function:  $\sigma_{\rho}^2 \rightarrow min$
- Restrictive conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$

- Solving the optimalization problem
- The weights are found by solving the objectie function, assuming the restriction...
- Objective function:  $\sigma_{\rm o}^2 \rightarrow min$
- Restrictive conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$

- Solving the optimalization problem
- The weights are found by solving the objectie function, assuming the restriction...
- Objective function:  $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow min$
- Restrictive conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$

- Solving the optimalization problem
- The weights are found by solving the objectie function, assuming the restriction...
- Objective function:  $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow min$
- Restrictive conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$

#### f −−→  $(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow min$

- Lagrange function: L −−→  $(W) = L$ −−→ (W , −→  $\lambda$ ) =  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_i$ −−→  $(W)$
- For finding the solution will be used the Lagrange multipliers:



- f −−→  $(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow min$
- Lagrange function: L −−→  $(W) = L$ −−→  $(W,$ −→  $\lambda$ ) =  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_i$ −−→  $(W)$
- For finding the solution will be used the Lagrange multipliers:

4 **D** F

- 伊 ) ( 三

f −−→  $(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow min$ 

 $\frac{L(Y)}{\partial Y_i} = 0, \forall i$ 

- Lagrange function: L −−→  $(W) = L$ −−→  $(W,$ −→  $\lambda$ ) =  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_i$ −−→  $(W)$
- For finding the solution will be used the Lagrange multipliers:



$$
\bullet \ \ \widehat{f(W)} \rightarrow min
$$

- Lagrange function: L −−→  $(W) = L$ −−→  $(W,$ −→  $\lambda$ ) =  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f_i$ −−→  $(W)$
- For finding the solution will be used the Lagrange multipliers:

$$
\bullet \ \frac{\partial L(\overrightarrow{Y})}{\partial Y_i} = 0, \forall i
$$

#### L −−→  $(\varUpsilon) = \sigma^2_{\rho}$ −−→  $(W) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)$

- $\bullet$  Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain  $n+1$  equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- $\bullet$  At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site  $\overrightarrow{W}^{\overrightarrow{f}}\overrightarrow{e}=1$
- Solving the matrices

$$
\bullet \ \ L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_{\rho}^{2}(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_{1}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}-1)
$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero  $\bullet$
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site  $\overrightarrow{W}^{\overrightarrow{f}}\overrightarrow{e}=1$
- Solving the matrices

$$
\bullet \ \ L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_{\rho}^{2}(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_{1}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}-1)
$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- $\bullet$  The first n equiations will be equal to zero
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site  $\overrightarrow{W}^{\overrightarrow{f}}\overrightarrow{e}=1$
- **•** Solving the matrices

• 
$$
L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)
$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- $\bullet$  The first n equiations will be equal to zero
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W}+\lambda_1\overrightarrow{e}=0$
- At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site  $\overrightarrow{W}^{\overrightarrow{f}}\overrightarrow{e}=1$
- **•** Solving the matrices

• 
$$
L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)
$$

- Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain n+1 equiations
- The first n equiations will be equal to zero

$$
\bullet \ 2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0
$$

- $\bullet$  At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site  $\overrightarrow{W}^{\overrightarrow{f}}\overrightarrow{e}=1$
- Solving the matrices

• 
$$
L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)
$$

- $\bullet$  Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain  $n+1$  equiations
- $\bullet$  The first n equiations will be equal to zero

$$
\bullet \ 2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0
$$

- $\bullet$  At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site  $\overrightarrow{W^{\dagger}e}=1$
- Solving the matrices

• 
$$
L(\overrightarrow{Y}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1)
$$

- $\bullet$  Deriving with respect to all variables we obtain  $n+1$  equiations
- $\bullet$  The first n equiations will be equal to zero

$$
\bullet \ 2C\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0
$$

- $\bullet$  At the last equation transforme the "1" to the right site  $\overrightarrow{W^{\dagger}e}=1$
- Solving the matrices

[Short sell](#page-2-0) [Portfolio optimalization](#page-13-0)

## Minimizing risk by given return

#### **•** Restrictions:

- $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- Lagrangian:

#### **•** Restrictions:

- $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 1$  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- Lagrangian:

#### **e** Restrictions:

- $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 1$  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W} + \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e} = 0$
- **·** Lagrangian:
	-

- **e** Restrictions:
	- $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 1$  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W}+\lambda_1\overrightarrow{e}=0$
- **·** Lagrangian:
	-

- **e** Restrictions:
	- $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 1$  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W}+\lambda_1\overrightarrow{e}=0$
- **·** Lagrangian:

 $L(\overrightarrow{W}) = \sigma_p^2$  $\overrightarrow{(W)} + \lambda_1 * (\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1) + \lambda_2 * (\sum_{i=1}^N r_i * w_i - \overline{r}_p)$ 

- <span id="page-40-0"></span>**•** Restrictions:
	- $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 1$  $\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i * \bar{r}_i$
- $2\mathcal{C}\overrightarrow{W}+\lambda_1\overrightarrow{e}=0$
- Lagrangian:

$$
\bullet \ \ L(\overrightarrow{W}) = \sigma_p^2(\overrightarrow{W}) + \lambda_1 * (\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - 1) + \lambda_2 * (\sum_{i=1}^N r_i * w_i - \overline{r}_p)
$$

э