# MUNI ECON

# **Bank Asset/Liability Management**

Oleg Deev

### Contents

- 1. ALM gaps
- 2. Interest rate gap and earnings sensitivity analysis
- 3. Duration gap and economic value of equity analysis
- 4. Management of interest rate risk

### Example

€ 100 million 5-year fixed-rate loans at 8% = € 8 million interest
€ 90 million 30-day time deposits at 4% = € 3.6 million interest
€10 million equity

Calculate Net interest income and Net interest margin (NIM). Assume that interest rates rise 2% (deposit costs will rise in next year but not loan interest). How it affects NII and NIM?

### **Example - solution**

€ 100 million 5-year fixed-rate loans at 8% = € 8 million interest
€ 90 million 30-day time deposits at 4% = € 3.6 million interest
€10 million equity

Net interest income = € 4.4 million

Net interest margin (NIM) = ( $\in 8 - \in 3.6$ )/  $\in 100 = 4.4\%$ 

- If interest rates rise 2%, deposit costs will rise in next year but not loan interest. Now, NIM = (€ 8 € 5.4)/ € 100 = 2.6%.
- Thus, NIM depends on interest rates, the amount of funds, and the earning mix (rate x amount).

# **Asset liability gaps**

### 1. Maturities



### 2. Risk:= Maturity mismatches/ gaps



# **Asset liability gaps**

	Liquidity risk/ mismatch			
	N	0	Ye	es
Loan capital maturity	3	Y	3	Y
Funding capital mat'y	3	Y	1	Y
Loan, Ly premium	0.7	5%	0.7	5%
Funding, Ly premium	0.7	5%	0.2	5%
Liquidity return	(	)	0.50%	
	Interest rate r	isk/ mismatch	Interest rate risk/ mismatch	
	No	Yes	No	Yes
Loan IR maturity	3Y	3Y	1Y	3Y
Funding IR mat'y	3Y	1Y	1Y	1Y
Loan, risk-free IR	1.50%	1.50%	0.50%	1.50%
Funding, risk-free IR	1.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%
IR return	0	1.00%	0	1.00%

Conclusion:		Liquidity risk/ mismatch				
Risk <=>	Interest rate r	isk/ mismatch	Interest rate risk/ mismatch			
Return	No	No	Yes	Yes		
Return	No	Yes	No	Yes		
Liquidity return	0	0	0.50%	0.50%		
IR return	0	1.00%	0	1.00%		

### Managing Interest Rate Risk: GAP and Earnings Sensitivity

Banks use two basic models to assess interest rate risk.

- Interest rate gap and earnings sensitivity analysis emphasizes income statement effects by focusing on how changes in interest rates and the bank's balance sheet effect net interest income and net income.
- Duration gap and economic value of equity analysis emphasizes the market value of stockholders' equity by focusing on how these same changes affect the market value of assets vs. the market value of liabilities.

Risk Framework	Target Performance Measure	Risk Measure
GAP and earnings	Net interest income/NIM Net income	GAP/earning assets GAP ratio (RSAs/RSLs)
sensitivity	Net income	Variation in net interest
		income versus base case
		Maximum acceptable loss
		of net interest income
		versus base case
Duration gap and	Economic Value of	Duration gap
economic value of	Equity (EVE)	Variation in economic
equity sensitivity		value of equity
		Maximum acceptable loss
		of economic value of equity
		versus base case

	Assets	Liabilities	
300	Loan, 6.00% 3Y, <mark>0.5</mark> Y	Funding, 6.00% 0.5Y, <mark>0.5Y</mark>	400
300	Loan, 7.00% 10Y, <mark>10Y</mark>	Funding, 6.50% 4Y, <mark>4Y</mark>	200



**ALM** 

### ALM

- Replacing the loan by a bond that can be sold within 6M => Ly maturity: 6M.
- IR-profile: unchanged, Ly risk: reduced.





### **Interest rate gap**

	Assets	Liabilities	
300	Loan, 6.00% 3Y, <mark>0.5Y</mark>	Funding, 6.00% 0.5Y, <mark>0.5Y</mark>	400
1	Loan, 7.00%		
300	10Y, 10Y	Funding, 6.50% 4Y, <mark>4Y</mark>	200

The IR-gap directly translates into a change in Net Interest Income:



- Positively gapped
- NII increases in rising interest rates because new funding at roll-over dates (100 at t=0.5, 300 at t=4) becomes more expensive.
- NII grows in decreasing rate environment

### **Traditional interest rate gap analysis**

Steps in IR-gap Analysis:

- 1. Develop an interest rate forecast.
- 2. Select a series of sequential time intervals for determining what amounts of assets and liabilities are rate sensitive within each time interval.
- 3. Group assets and liabilities into these time intervals or "buckets" according to time to first repricing.
- 4. Calculate IR-gap.
- 5. Forecast net interest income given the assumed interest rate environment and repricing characteristics of the underlying instruments.

### **Interest rate gap analysis**

12

			0.5	0.5	1	3	~
		Total	[ON, 6M]	(6M, 12M]	(1Y, 2Y]	(2Y, 5]	(5Y, ∞]
Assets	Mortgages, fixed rate	125.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	40.00	40.00
	Mortgages, floating rate	100.00	50.00	50.00			
	Interbank demand deposits	75.00	75.00				
	Sovereign bonds	60.00			30.00	0.00	30.00
	Cash	20.00					20.00
	Non-earnings assets	20.00					20.00
	Total assets	400.00	135.00	60.00	55.00	40.00	110.00
Liabilities	Term deposits	-200.00	-50.00	-100.00	-50.00		
	Retail Demand deposits	-125.00	-125.00				
	Interbank demand deposits	-25.00	-25.00				
	Non-interest bearing liabilities	-10.00					-10.00
	Capital	-40.00					-40.00
	Total Liabilities	-400.00	-200.00	-100.00	-50.00	0.00	-50.00
Swap	Receive [fix]	200.00			100.00	100.00	
Owap	Pay [floating]	-200.00	-200.00		100.00	100.00	
	Periodic gap	-200.00	-265.00	-40.00	105.00	140.00	60.00
			-200.00		100.00	140.00	00.00
	Cumulative gap		-265.00	-305.00	-200.00	-60.00	0.00
	Δr	1.00%					
	$\Delta$ NII per bucket		-1.33	-1.53	-2.00	-1.80	1
	∆ NII per bucket p.a.		-2.65	-3.05	-2.00	-0.60	
	(1) Fixed rate volumes, Receive		465.00	405.00	250.00	110.00 ┥	0.00
	(2) Fixed rate volumes, Pay		-200.00	-100.00	-50.00	-50.00	0.00
	(1) + (2) = CumGap		265.00	305.00	200.00	60.00	0.00
	Δ NII per bucket		-1.33	-1.53	-2.00	-1.80	
	Δ NII per bucket p.a.		-2.65	-3.05	-2.00	-0.60	

\*(-1)

Interest	rate		<b>2</b> 00.00 -265.00	<b>YSIS</b> -40.00	100.00 105.00	100.00	60.00
1.1		-40.00 -400.00	-200.00	-100.00	-50.00	0.00	-40.00 -50.00
		-10.00					-10.00
		-25.00	-25.00				
		120.00	120.00				

			-265500	-305500	-200.00	-60.00	0.090
		1.00%	[ON, 6M]	(6M, 12M]	(1Y, 2Y]	(2Y, 5]	(5Y,∞]
	$\Delta$ NII per bucket	1%	-1.33	-1.53	-2.00	-1.80	
		100.00	52.66	58.05	-2.00	-0.60	
		75.00	75.00				
	Change in Income in	60.00	465 00	405.00	256በ ለበሰ	1ሰቡስበ	ብክብዮ
	Change in Income in	20.00	ΔNII	for first year in :	several interest	rate scenarios	
	[0,1Y]	20.00	2		0.00		
-3.00%	8.55	400.00					
-2.00%	5.70	200.00			.00		
-1.00%	2.85	-200.00 -125.00		6	.00		0
0.00%	0.00	-123.00		4	.00		
	0.07				.00		
1.00%	-2.85	-10 00					
		-10.00	=				
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00			.00	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			₹ -4.00% -3.00%		0.00	6 2.00% 3.00%	5-4.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00			.00 .00.00% 1.00%	6 2.00% 3.00%	5-4.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00		0 2.00%1.00%2 4	.00 .00.00% 1.00%	63.00%	54.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00		0 2.00%1.00%2 4	.00 .00.00% 1.00%	6 2.00% 3.00%	54.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00		0 2.00%1.00%_2 4 6	.00 .00.00% 1.00%	6 2.00% 3.00%	5 <b>4.00</b> %
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00			.00 .00.00% 1.00% .00 .00	6 2.00% 3.00%	5 <b></b> 4.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00 -200.00			.00 .00.00% 1.00? .00 .00 .00	6 2.00% 3.00%	54.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00	-4.00%		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		54.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00 -200.00	-4.00% -3.00% - 		0.00 .00.00% 1.00% 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00%	-1.80	54.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00 -200.00	-4.00%		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		54.00%
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00 -200.00	-4.00% -3.00% - 		0.00 .00.00% 1.00% 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00%	-1.80	5 <u>4.00%</u>
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00 -200.00	-4.00% -3.00% -		2.00 .00.00% 1.009 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00	-1.80 -0.60	
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00 -200.00	-4.00% -3.00% - 		2.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	-1.80 -0.60 110.00	0.00
2.00%	-5.70	-40.00 -400.00 200.00 -200.00	-4.00% -3.00% - 		2.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	-1.80 -0.60 110.00 -50.00	0.00

### Interest rate gap analysis

	Elasticity parameter							
125	-10%	Mortgages, fixed rate						
75	-5%	Interbank demand deposits						
Assets	Δr	Position	Total	[ON, 6M]	(6M, 12M]	(1Y, 2Y]	(2Y, 5]	(5Y, ∞]
	-2.00%	Mortgages, fixed rate	140.75	11.26	11.26	28.15	45.04	45.04
	-2.00%	Interbank demand deposits	82.50	82.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	-1.00%	Mortgages, fixed rate	137.50	11.00	11.00	27.50	44.00	44.00
	-1.00%	Interbank demand deposits	80.95	80.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.00%	Mortgages, fixed rate	112.50	9.00	9.00	22.50	36.00	36.00
	1.00%	Interbank demand deposits	69.05	69.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2.00%	Mortgages, fixed rate	109.25	8.74	8.74	21.85	34.96	34.96
	2.00%	Interbank demand deposits	67.50	67.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		All other assets	225.00	10.00	10.00	55.00	40.00	110.00
-25		Interbank demand deposits						
-125	15%	Retail Demand deposits						
Liabilities	Δr	Position	Total	[ON, 6M]	(6M, 12M]	(1Y, 2Y]	(2Y, 5]	(5Y, ∞]
	-2.00%	Retail Demand deposits	-106.97	-106.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	-2.00%	Interbank demand deposits	-66.28	-66.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	-1.00%	Retail Demand deposits	-110.69	-110.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	-1.00%	Interbank demand deposits	-57.76	-57.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.00%	Retail Demand deposits	-139.31	-139.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.00%	Interbank demand deposits	7.76	7.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2.00%	Retail Demand deposits	-143.03	-143.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2.00%	Interbank demand deposits	16.28	16.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		All other liabilities	-250.00	-50.00	-100.00	-50.00	0.00	-50.00
Swap		Receive [fix]	200.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
		Pay [floating]	-200.00	-200.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.5	0.5	1	3	8
	Δr	Position		[ON, 6M]	(6M, 12M]	(1Y, 2Y]	(2Y, 5]	(5Y, ∞]
	-2.00%	Periodic gap		-319.49	-78.74	133.15	185.04	
	-2.00%	Cumulative gap		-319.49	-398.23	-265.08	-80.04	
	-2.00%	$\Delta$ NII per bucket		3.19	3.98	5.30	4.80	
	-1.00%	Periodic gap		-316.50	-79.00	132.50	184.00	
	-1.00%	Cumulative gap		-316.50	-79.00	132.50	184.00	
	-1.00%	Δ NII per bucket		1.58	0.40	-1.33	-5.52	_
	1.00%	Periodic gap		-293.50	-81.00	127.50	176.00	
	1.00%	Cumulative gap		-293.50	-81.00	127.50	176.00	
	1.00%	Δ NII per bucket		-1.47	-0.41	1.28	5.28	
	2.00%	Periodic gap	┥───┝	-290.51	-81.26	126.85	174.96	
	2.00%	Cumulative gap		-290.51	-81.26	126.85	174.96	
	2.00%	Δ NII per bucket	J	-2.91	-0.81	2.54	10.50	

### **Interest rate gap analysis**

	$\Delta$ Income in next year		
		Without position	
	With position changes	changes	
-2.00%	7.18	5.7	
-1.00%	1.98	2.85	
1.00%	- 1.87	-2.85	
2.00%	- 3.72	-5.7	



# Interest rate sensitivity and the interest rate (dollar) gap

Defensive versus aggressive asset/liability management:

- Defensively guard against changes in NII (e.g., near zero gap).
- Aggressively seek to increase NII in conjunction with interest rate forecasts (e.g., positive or negative gaps).
- Many times some gaps are driven by market demands (e.g., borrowers want long-term loans and depositors want short-term maturities).

GAP	Change in Interest Rates	Change in Interest Income	Change in Interest Expense	Change in Net Interest Income
Positive	Increase	Increase	>Increase	Increase
Positive	Decrease	Decrease	>Decrease	Decrease
Negative	Increase	Increase	<increase< td=""><td>Decrease</td></increase<>	Decrease
Negative	Decrease	Decrease	<decrease< td=""><td>Increase</td></decrease<>	Increase
Zero	Increase	Increase	=Increase	None
Zero	Decrease	Decrease	=Decrease	None

#### GAP Summary

### Managing the Interest Rate Gap and Earnings Sensitivity Risk

Objective	Approach
Reduce asset sensitivity	Buy longer-term securities.
	Lengthen the maturities of loans.
	Move from floating-rate loans to term loans.
	Put floors on loan rates.
Increase asset sensitivity	Buy short-term securities.
	Shorten loan maturities.
	Make more loans on a floating-rate basis.
Reduce liability sensitivity	Pay premiums to attract longer-term deposit instruments.
	Issue long-term subordinated debt.
	Put caps on deposit rates.
Increase liability sensitivity	Pay premiums to attract short-term deposit instruments.
	Borrow more via noncore purchased liabilities.

### **Simplified example**

	Assets	Yield Rates	Liabilities	Interest Costs
Rate sensitive	\$500	6%	\$600	2%
Fixed-rate	350	9	220	4
Nonearning/Nonpaying	150	0	100	0
Total			\$920	
			Equity	
			\$80	
Total	\$1,000		\$1,000	

Net interest income = 0.06(\$500) + 0.09(\$350) - 0.02(\$600) - 0.04(\$220)= \$61.50 - \$20.80= \$40.70Net interest margin = \$40.70/\$850 = 4.79%GAP = RSAs - RSLs = \$500 - \$600 = -\$100

#### Expected Changes in Net Interest Income from a Change in (A) the Level of Rates, (B) the Spread, (C) Asset Size (Volume), and (D) Balance Sheet Mix

A. 1% Increase in Lev	el of All Short-Term Rate	s			_
		Assets	Yield Rates	Liabilities	Interest Costs
Rate sensitive		\$500	7%	\$600	3%
Fixed-rate		350	9%	220	4
Nonearning/Nonpayi	ng	150		100	
				Equity	
				80	
Total		\$1,000		\$1,000	
Net interest income	= 0.07(\$500) + 0.09(\$350) $= $66.50 - $26.80$ $= $39.70$	0) - 0.03(\$600) - 0	.04(\$220)		
Net interest margin GAP	= \$39.70/\$850 = 4.67% = $\$500 - \$600 = -\$100$				

#### B. 1% Decrease in Spread between Asset Yields and Interest Costs

	Assets	Yield Rates	Liabilities	Interest Costs
Rate sensitive	\$500	6.5%	\$600	3.5%
Fixed-rate	350	9	220	4
Nonearning/Nonpaying	150		100	
			Equity	
			80	
Total	\$1,000		\$1,000	
Net interest income $= 0.065(\$500) + 0.09(\$39)$ = \$64.00 - \$29.80 = \$34.20	50) - 0.035(\$600) -	- 0.04(\$220)		
Net interest margin = $34.20/$				
GAP = \$500 - \$600 = -\$100				

19

#### Expected Changes in Net Interest Income from a Change in (A) the Level of Rates, (B) the Spread, (C) Asset Size (Volume), and (D) Balance Sheet Mix

C. Proportionate Doubling of Size

	Assets	Yield Rates	Liabilities	Interest Costs
Rate sensitive	\$1,000	6%	\$1,200	2%
Fixed-rate	700	9	440	4
Nonearning/Nonpaying	300		200	
			Equity	
			160	
Total	\$2,000		\$2,000	

Net interest income = 0.06(\$1,000) + 0.091(\$700) - 0.02(\$1,200) - 0.04(\$440) = \$81.40Net interest margin = \$81.40/\$1,700 = 4.79%GAP = \$1,000 - \$1,200 = -\$200

#### D. Increase in RSAs and Decrease in RSLs

	Assets	Yield Rates	Liabilities	Interest Costs
Rate sensitive	\$540	6%	\$560	2%
Fixed-rate	310	9	260	4
Nonearning/Nonpaying	150		100	
			Equity	
			80	
Total	\$1,000		\$1,000	
Net interest income = $0.06(\$540) + 0.09(\$310)$ = $\$60.30 - \$21.60$ = $\$38.70$	- 0.02(\$560) - 0.0	04(\$260)		
Net interest margin = \$38.70/\$850 = 4.55% GAP = \$540 - \$560 = -\$20				

Note: RSAs are rate sensitive assets; RSLs are rate sensitive liabilities.

### **Strengths and Weaknesses of Static Gap Analysis**

- Strengths:
  - Easy to understand.
  - Indicates relevant amount and timing of interest rate risk.
  - Suggests magnitudes of portfolio changes to alter risk.

### — Weaknesses:

- Ex-post measurement errors.
- Ignores the time value of money.
- Ignores the cumulative impact of interest rate changes.
- Considers demand deposits to be non-rate sensitive.
- Ignores embedded options in assets and liabilities.
- IR-gap Divided by Earning Assets as a Measure of Risk:
  - An alternative risk measure that relates the absolute value of a bank's gap to earning assets.
  - The greater this ratio, the greater the interest rate risk.
  - Banks may specify a target gap-to-earning-asset ratio in their ALCO policy statements.
  - A target allows management to position the bank to be either asset sensitive or liability sensitive, depending on the outlook for interest rates.

### **Earnings Sensitivity Analysis**

- Extends static gap analysis by making it dynamic.
  - Model simulation or what-if analysis of all factors that affect net interest income across a wide range of potential interest rate environments.
- Steps to Earnings Sensitivity Analysis:
  - 1. Forecast interest rates.
  - 2. Forecast balance sheet size and composition given the assumed interest rate environment.
  - 3. Forecast when embedded options in assets and liabilities will be in money and hence, exercised under the assumed interest rate environment.
  - 4. Identify when specific assets and liabilities will reprice given the rate environment.
  - 5. Estimate net interest income and net income under the assumed rate environment.
  - 6. Repeat the process to compare forecasts of net interest income and net income across different interest rate environments versus the base case.

The choice of base case is important because all estimated changes in earnings are compared with the base case estimate.

How do changes in interest rates affect asset, liability, and equity values?

```
In general, \Delta V = -D \times V \times [\Delta i/(1 + i)]
```

```
For assets: \Delta A = -D \times A \times [\Delta i/(1 + i)]
```

```
For liabilities: \Delta L = -D \times L \times [\Delta i/(1 + i)]
```

```
Change in equity value is: \Delta E = \Delta A - \Delta L
```

DGAP (duration gap) =  $D_A - W D_L$ , where  $D_A$  is the average duration of assets,  $D_L$  is the average duration of liabilities, and W is the ratio of total liabilities to total assets.

DGAP can be positive, negative, or zero.

The change in net worth or equity value (or ∆E) here is different from the market value of a bank's stock (which is based on future expectations of dividends). This new value is based on changes in the market values of assets and liabilities on the bank's balance sheet.

### **EXAMPLE: Balance Sheet Duration**

Assets	€	Duration (yrs)	Liabilities	€	Duration (yrs)
Cash	100	0	CD, 1 year	600	1.0
<b>Business</b> loans	400	1.25	CD, 5 year	<u>300</u>	5.0
			Total liabilities	900	2.33
Mortgage loans	500	7.0	Equity	100	
	€1,00	4.0		€1,000	)

DGAP = 4.0 - (.9)(2.33) = 1.90 years Suppose interest rates increase from 11% to 12%. Now,  $\Delta E = (-1.90)(0.01/1.11) = -1.7\%$ .  $\in \Delta E = -1.7\%$  x total assets = 1.7% x \$1,000 = -€17. Alternatively, the change in asset values = -4 x €1000 x 0.01/1.11 = -€36.04 and the change in the value of liabilities = -2.33 x €900 x 0.01/1.11 = -€18.89 such that  $\Delta E = \Delta A - \Delta L = -€36.04 + €18.89 = -€17.14$ 

— Defensive and aggressive duration gap management:

- If you assume interest rates will decrease in the future, a positive duration gap is desirable - as rates decline, asset values will increase more than liability values increase (a positive equity effect).
- If you predict an increase in interest rates, a negative duration gap is desirable -- as rates rise, asset values will decline less than the decline in liability values (a positive equity effect).

Change in Economic (Market) Value

- Of course, zero gap protects equity from the valuation effects of interest rate changes -- defensive management.
- Aggressive management adjusts duration gap in anticipation of interest rate movements.

					. ,		
DGAP	Change in Interest Rates	Assets		Liabilities		Equity	
Positive	Increase	Decrease	>	Decrease	$\rightarrow$	Decrease	_
Positive	Decrease	Increase	>	Increase	$\rightarrow$	Increase	
Negative	Increase	Decrease	<	Decrease	$\rightarrow$	Increase	
Negative	Decrease	Increase	<	Increase	$\rightarrow$	Decrease	
Zero	Increase	Decrease	=	Decrease	$\rightarrow$	None	
Zero	Decrease	Increase	=	Increase	$\rightarrow$	None	

0: Given is the following balance sheet:						
Note that all instruments	Rate	Markt value	Assets	Liabilities	Markt value	Rate
		100.0	Cash	Term deposit, 1Y	620.0	5.00%
are at par.				Senior unsecured		
	12.00%	700.0	Commercial loan, 3Y	corporate bond issue, 3Y	300.0	7.00%
	8.00%	200.0	Treasury bond, 6Y	Equity	80.0	
		1000	Σ	Σ	1000	

#### 1: Compute the duration of the positions:

		Cash flows x Time buckets										
Position	Coupon	0	1	2	3	4	5	6				
Cash		100.0					-	-				
3y-commercial loan	12%	700.0	84.0	84.0	784.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Treasury bond, 6Y	8%	200.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	216.0				
Term deposit, 1Y	5%	620.0	651.0									
Senior unsecured corporate bonc	7%	300.0	21.0	21.0	321.0							

		Pre	sent values	x Time buc	kets						
										Mod	
Position	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	yield	NPV(yield)	Duration [y]	PV
Cash	-100.0	100.0							0.00	0.00	100.0
3y-commercial loan	-700.0	75.0	67.0	558.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.00%	0.00	2.69	700.0
Treasury bond, 6Y	-200.0	14.8	13.7	12.7	11.8	10.9	136.1	8.00%	0.00	4.99	200.0
Term deposit, 1Y	-620.0	620.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.00%	0.00	1.00	620.0
Senior unsecured corporate bond	-300.0	19.6	18.3	262.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.00%	0.00	2.81	300.0

			Weighted du	ration of a	ssets:	2.88	years
			Weighted dura	ation of liab	ilities:	1.59	years
			Durati	on gap (D0	GAP):	1.42	years
Rate	Markt value	Assets	Liabilities	Markt value	Rate	$DGAP = D^{Asset}$	$\frac{MV^{Liab.}}{MV^{Assets}} \cdot L$
nate	100.0	Cash	Term deposit, 1Y	620.0	5.00%		_
			Senior unsecured				
12.00%	700.0	Commercial loan, 3Y	corporate bond issue, 3Y	300.0	7.00%		
8.00%	200.0	Treasury bond, 6Y	Equity	80.0		]	
	1000	Σ	Σ	1000			

	(i) Analytically:												
	IR-shift:	1%			Pres	sent values			<u> </u>				
No	Position	Coupon	0		1	2	3	4	5	6	yield	NPV(yield)	New
	Cash	400/	-100.0		100.0 74.3	05.0	E 40 4		0.0		40.000/	40.50	10
	3y-commercial loan Treasury bond, 6Y	12% 8%	-700.0		14.3	65.8 13.5	543.4 12.4	0.0 11.3	0.0	0.0 128.8	12.00% 8.00%	-16.53 -8.97	68 19
	Term deposit, 1Y	8% 5%	-200.0		614.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.00%	-5.85	61
	Senior unsecured corporate bon		-300.0		19.4	18.0	254.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.00%	-7.73	29
	Economic Value of Equity (EVE)		-300.0		13.4	10.0	204.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0070	-1.15	23
			Markt		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	Markt			
(i) Anal	ytically:	Rate	value		Assets	_		Liabilitie	20	value	Rate		
(I) Alla		Kale					Term deposit, 1Y						
			100.00	Cash			Ier	m aepos	sit, 1Y	614.15	5.00	%	
								nior unse					
		12.00%	683.47				corporate bond issue, 3Y			292.27	7.00	%	
		8.00%	191.03	Tre	asury bo	nd, 6Y		Equity		68.08			
			974.50		Σ			Σ		974.50	)		
	Change in equ	iitv value:	-11.92										
		arty value.											
(ii) App	proximated with DGAP:												
() · ·····		ation gap:	1.419										
	Average yield on tot		-										
		/ield shift:	1.00%										
	Change in equ		-12.902	≈				-11.92					
	enange in equ	arty value	121002		$VE \cong -D$								

### **An Immunized Portfolio**

Objective: Reduce Interest Rate Risk with DGAP > 0:

- Shorten asset durations by:
  - Buying short-term securities and selling long-term securities.
  - Making floating-rate loans and selling fixed-rate loans.
- Lengthen liability durations by:
  - Issuing longer-term CDs.
  - Obtaining more core transactions accounts from stable sources.
- Lengthen asset durations by:
  - Buying long-term securities and selling short-term securities.
  - Buying securities without call options.
  - Making fixed rate loans and selling floating-rate loans.
- Shorten liability durations by:
  - Issuing shorter-term CDs.
  - Using short-term purchased liability funding from federal funds and repurchase agreements.

#### Bank Balance Sheet: DGAP = 0

Assets	Market Value	Rate	Duration	Liabilities and Equity	Market Value	Rate	Duration
Cash	\$100			1-yr. time deposit	\$340	5%	1.00 yr.
3-yr. commercial Ioan	700	12%	2.69 yrs.	3-yr. certificate of deposit	300	7	2.81
6-yr. Treasury bond	200	8	4.99	6-yr. zero coupon CD*	280	8	6.00
			2.88 yrs.	Total liabilities	\$920		3.11 yrs.
				Equity	\$80		
Total	\$1,000				\$1,000		

 $\mathsf{DGAP} = 2.88 - 0.92(3.11) \cong 0$ 

#### 1% Increase in All Rates

Cash	\$100			1-yr. time deposit	\$337	6%	1.00 yr.
3-yr. commercial Ioan	683	13%	2.68 yrs.	3-yr. certificate of deposit	292	8	2.80
6-yr. Treasury bond	191	9	4.97	6-yr. certificate of deposit	265	9	6.00
			2.86 yrs.	Total liabilities	\$894		3.07 yrs.
				Equity	\$80		
Total	\$974				\$974		

\*Par (maturity) value = \$444.33

### Strengths and Weaknesses: DGAP and EVE Sensitivity Analysis

### — Strengths:

- Duration analysis provides a comprehensive measure of interest rate risk for the total portfolio.
- Duration measures are additive so that total assets may be matched with total liabilities rather than matching of individual accounts.
- Duration analysis takes a longer-term view and provides managers with greater flexibility in adjusting rate sensitivity because they can use a wide range of instruments to balance value sensitivity.

### — Weaknesses:

- It is difficult to compute duration accurately.
- "Correct" duration analysis requires that each future cash flow be discounted by a distinct discount rate.
- A bank must continuously monitor and adjust the duration of its portfolio.
- It is difficult to estimate the duration on assets and liabilities that do not earn or pay interest.
- Duration measures are highly subjective.

### Literature

 CHOUDRY M. (2022). The Principles of Banking, 2nd ed. – Chapter 5.
 KOCH, T.W. and S.S. MacDONALD (2015). Bank Management. Chapter 7-8.