European Union Public Policy

Professor John Wilton

Lecture 4

Agenda-Setting 2: fragmentation and the absence of effective policy co-ordination

Four areas of the agenda-setting process in EU Public Policy influenced by the features of E.U. institutions:

- 1. Fragmentation
- 2. Absence of effective policy co-ordination;
- 3. Proto-Federalism;
- 4. 'Winners and losers'

1. Fragmentation

- a) the 'barrier' (the EU Commission)
- b) opportunities many 'avenues' and 'ways in' to the agenda-setting process

- 1. <u>Fragmentation of EU Public Policy agenda-setting</u> due to:
- a) the role, ambitions and interests of EU Commissioners;
- b) the role, ambitions and interests of Director-Generals;
- c) the blurred boundaries and responsibilities of Director-Generals;
- d) the interests of the Member States in the Council of Ministers;
- e) The European Parliament 'shadow committees'
- f) The E.U. court system.

- 2. The absence of effective Public Policy co-ordination
 - a) lack of political party cohesion;
 - b) the influence of national policy styles and 'policy' cultures
 - an 'institutionalisation' problem

- 2. The absence of effective Public Policy co-ordination
- a) A lack of cohesive political party units at EU level;
- b) The variety of national styles and cultures found in E.U. Commissioners;
- c) The variety of national styles and cultures found in the Director-Generals;
- d) The lack of institutionalisation of the EU policy bureaucracy

3. Proto-Federalism

- a divided territorial structure influences pattern of agenda-setting
- regional government structure developed
- = more territorial institutional EU bodies for individuals and groups to push and argue own public policy agenda

3. Proto-Federalism

- agenda-setting as also about definition of issue (i.e. how issue defined once it makes it on to the agenda)
- public policy issues shaped through complex social and political processes and influences (different national policy styles and cultures)

3. Proto-Federalism

- a) Divided territorial structure of E.U. (including Regional govt.) provides multi-access to public policy agenda fragmentation or diversity?
- b) Variety of 'national' public policy styles provide an agenda of range of public policy alternatives

4. 'Winners and losers'

- EU institutions create 'winners and losers' in EU public policy agenda-setting.
- 'distributive' policies more easily accepted on to public policy agenda = 'winners'
- policies that advantage groups, regions or states at expense of others in E.U. are more difficult to get accepted on to public policy agenda = 'losers'

Summary:

- 1.The fragmentation of E.U. institutions and diverse territorial representation offers many different points of access to the public policy agenda provides a range of public policy alternatives and issues competing to get on agenda
- 2. Variation and range of points of access (fragmentation) in E.U. public policy agendasetting is totally opposite to E.U. public policy implementation process