8 TH EDITION

INTERMEDIATE

MICROECONONICS HAL R. VARIAN

Monopoly

Pure Monopoly

- A monopolized market has a single seller.
- The monopolist's demand curve is the (downward sloping) market demand curve.
- So the monopolist can alter the market price by adjusting its output level.



What causes monopolies? – a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service



What causes monopolies?
 – a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service
 – a patent; e.g. a new drug

5

What causes monopolies?

- -a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service
- -a patent; e.g. a new drug
- –sole ownership of a resource; e.g. a toll highway

6



What causes monopolies?

- -a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service
- -a patent; e.g. a new drug
- –sole ownership of a resource; e.g. a toll highway
- -formation of a cartel; e.g. OPEC

What causes monopolies?

- -a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service
- -a patent; e.g. a new drug
- –sole ownership of a resource; e.g. a toll highway
- -formation of a cartel; e.g. OPEC
- –large economies of scale; e.g. local utility companies.

Pure Monopoly

◆ Suppose that the monopolist seeks to maximize its economic profit, Π(y) = p(y)y - c(y).

9

What output level y* maximizes profit?













Profit-Maximization



Profit-Maximization



Marginal Revenue

Marginal revenue is the rate-of-change of revenue as the output level y increases;

$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}$$

Marginal Revenue

Marginal revenue is the rate-of-change of revenue as the output level y increases;

$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}.$$

dp(y)/dy is the slope of the market inverse demand function so dp(y)/dy < 0. Therefore

$$MR(y) = p(y) + y \frac{dp(y)}{dy} < p(y)$$

for

Marginal Revenue

E.g. if
$$p(y) = a - by$$
 then
 $R(y) = p(y)y = ay - by^2$
and so
 $MR(y) = a - 2by < a - by = p(y)$ for $y > 0$.

20



Marginal Cost

Marginal cost is the rate-of-change of total cost as the output level y increases;

$$MC(y) = \frac{dc(y)}{dy}.$$

E.g. if c(y) = F + α y + β y² then
MC(y) = α + 2 β y.



Profit-Maximization; An Example

At the profit-maximizing output level y*, $MR(y^*) = MC(y^*)$. So if p(y) = a - by and $c(y) = F + \alpha y + \beta y^2$ then $MR(y^*) = a - 2by^* = \alpha + 2\beta y^* = MC(y^*)$

24

Profit-Maximization; An Example

At the profit-maximizing output level y*, $MR(y^*) = MC(y^*)$. So if p(y) = a - by and if $c(y) = F + \alpha y + \beta y^2$ then $MR(y^*) = a - 2by^* = \alpha + 2\beta y^* = MC(y^*)$

and the profit-maximizing output level is

 $\mathbf{y}^* = \frac{\mathbf{a} - \alpha}{2(\mathbf{b} + \beta)}$

Profit-Maximization; An Example

At the profit-maximizing output level y*, $MR(y^*) = MC(y^*)$. So if p(y) = a - by and if $c(y) = F + \alpha y + \beta y^2$ then $MR(y^*) = a - 2by^* = \alpha + 2\beta y^* = MC(y^*)$

and the profit-maximizing output level is

 $y^{*} = \frac{a - \alpha}{2(b + \beta)}$ causing the market price to be $p(y^{*}) = a - by^{*} = a - b \frac{a - \alpha}{2(b + \beta)}$







Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand

 Suppose that market demand becomes less sensitive to changes in price (*i.e.* the own-price elasticity of demand becomes less negative).
 Does the monopolist exploit this by causing the market price to rise?

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price
Elasticity of Demand
$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}$$
$$= p(y) \left[1 + \frac{y}{p(y)}\frac{dp(y)}{dy}\right].$$

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price
Elasticity of Demand
$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}$$

 $= p(y)\left[1 + \frac{y}{p(y)}\frac{dp(y)}{dy}\right].$
Own-price elasticity of demand is
 $\varepsilon = \frac{p(y)}{y}\frac{dy}{dp(y)}$

C

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price
Elasticity of Demand
$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}$$

 $= p(y)\left[1 + \frac{y}{p(y)}\frac{dp(y)}{dy}\right].$
Own-price elasticity of demand is
 $\varepsilon = \frac{p(y)}{y}\frac{dy}{dp(y)}$ so $MR(y) = p(y)\left[1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right].$

C

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand $MR(y) = p(y) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right].$

Suppose the monopolist's marginal cost of production is constant, at \$k/output unit. For a profit-maximum

 $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] = k \text{ which is } p(y^*) = \frac{k}{1 + \epsilon}.$

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand $p(y^*) = \frac{\kappa}{1+\frac{1}{2}}.$ E.g. if $\varepsilon = -3$ then $p(y^*) = 3k/2$, and if $\varepsilon = -2$ then $p(y^*) = 2k$. So as ϵ rises towards -1 the monopolist alters its output level to make the market price of its product to rise.

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand Notice that, since $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left| 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right| = k$, $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}^*) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] > 0$
Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand Notice that, since $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left| 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right| = k$, $p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] > 0 \implies 1+\frac{1}{\epsilon} > 0$ © 2010 W. W. Norton & Company, Inc. 37



Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand Notice that, since $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left| 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right| = k$, $p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] > 0 \implies 1+\frac{1}{\epsilon} > 0$ That is, $\frac{1}{c} > -1 \implies c < -1$. © 2010 W. W. Norton & Company, Inc. 39

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand Notice that, since $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left| 1 + \frac{1}{s} \right| = k$, $p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] > 0 \implies 1+\frac{1}{\epsilon} > 0$ That is, $\frac{1}{c} > -1 \implies c < -1$. So a profit-maximizing monopolist always selects an output level for which market

demand is own-price elastic.

Markup Pricing

- Markup pricing: Output price is the marginal cost of production plus a "markup."
- How big is a monopolist's markup and how does it change with the own-price elasticity of demand?

Markup Pricing

$$p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] = k \implies p(y^*) = \frac{k}{1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}} = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$$

42

is the monopolist's price.

© 2010 W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.

Markup Pricing

$$p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] = k \implies p(y^*) = \frac{k}{1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}} = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$$
is the monopolist's price. The markup is

$$p(y^*) - k = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} - k = -\frac{k}{1+\epsilon}.$$

Markup Pricing

$$p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right] = k \implies p(y^*) = \frac{k}{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = \frac{k\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$$

is the monopolist's price. The markup is

$$p(y^*) - k = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} - k = -\frac{k}{1+\epsilon}.$$

E.g. if ε = -3 then the markup is k/2, and if ε = -2 then the markup is k. The markup rises as the own-price elasticity of demand rises towards -1.

A Profits Tax Levied on a Monopoly

A profits tax levied at rate t reduces profit from Π(y*) to (1-t)Π(y*).

♦ Q: How is after-tax profit, (1-t)∏(y*), maximized?

A Profits Tax Levied on a Monopoly

- A profits tax levied at rate t reduces profit from Π(y*) to (1-t)Π(y*).
- ♦ Q: How is after-tax profit, (1-t)∏(y*), maximized?

© 2010 W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.

♦ A: By maximizing before-tax profit, Π(y*).

46

A Profits Tax Levied on a Monopoly

- A profits tax levied at rate t reduces profit from Π(y*) to (1-t)Π(y*).
- ♦ Q: How is after-tax profit, (1-t)∏(y*), maximized?
- ♦ A: By maximizing before-tax profit, Π(y*).
- So a profits tax has no effect on the monopolist's choices of output level, output price, or demands for inputs.
- ♦ I.e. the profits tax is a neutral tax.

Quantity Tax Levied on a Monopolist

- A quantity tax of \$t/output unit raises the marginal cost of production by \$t.
- So the tax reduces the profitmaximizing output level, causes the market price to rise, and input demands to fall.
- The quantity tax is distortionary.









Quantity Tax Levied on a Monopolist

- Can a monopolist "pass" all of a \$t quantity tax to the consumers?
- Suppose the marginal cost of production is constant at \$k/output unit.
- With no tax, the monopolist's price is $p(y^*) = \frac{k\varepsilon}{2}$.

Quantity Tax Levied on a Monopolist

The tax increases marginal cost to \$(k+t)/output unit, changing the profit-maximizing price to

$$p(y^t) = \frac{(k+t)\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}.$$

• The amount of the tax paid by buyers is $p(y^t) - p(y^*)$. Quantity Tax Levied on a Monopolist $p(y^{t}) - p(y^{*}) = \frac{(k+t)\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} - \frac{k\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} = \frac{t\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$

is the amount of the tax passed on to buyers. E.g. if $\varepsilon = -2$, the amount of the tax passed on is 2t. Because $\varepsilon < -1$, $\varepsilon /(1+\varepsilon) > 1$ and so the monopolist passes on to consumers more than the tax!

The Inefficiency of Monopoly

- A market is Pareto efficient if it achieves the maximum possible total gains-to-trade.
- Otherwise a market is Pareto inefficient.



















The Inefficiency of Monopoly

\$/output unit

 $MC(y^{*}+1) < p(y^{*}+1)$ so both seller and buyer could gain **p(y)** if the (y*+1)th unit of output **G**S was produced. Hence the **p(y*)** MC(y) market PS is Pareto inefficient. 66 © 2010 W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.

The Inefficiency of Monopoly





Natural Monopoly

A natural monopoly arises when the firm's technology has economies-of-scale large enough for it to supply the whole market at a lower average total production cost than is possible with more than one firm in the market.





Entry Deterrence by a Natural Monopoly

- A natural monopoly deters entry by threatening predatory pricing against an entrant.
- A predatory price is a low price set by the incumbent firm when an entrant appears, causing the entrant's economic profits to be negative and inducing its exit.
Entry Deterrence by a Natural Monopoly

 E.g. suppose an entrant initially captures one-quarter of the market, leaving the incumbent firm the other three-quarters.







Inefficiency of a Natural Monopolist

 Like any profit-maximizing monopolist, the natural monopolist causes a deadweight loss.

77

© 2010 W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.







Regulating a Natural Monopoly

- Why not command that a natural monopoly produce the efficient amount of output?
- Then the deadweight loss will be zero, won't it?





Regulating a Natural Monopoly

- So a natural monopoly cannot be forced to use marginal cost pricing. Doing so makes the firm exit, destroying both the market and any gains-to-trade.
- Regulatory schemes can induce the natural monopolist to produce the efficient output level without exiting.