Auditing – Lecture 7

Part II. Audit process by phase: Phase IV. Reporting

Content

- Fraud
- Reporting the obtained results
- Recommended reading
- Appendices: ISA 240, 330, 450, 700, 705

- Types of fraud:
 - Fraudulent reporting (FR) is an intentional misstatement or omission of amounts or disclosures with the intent to deceive users. Most cases involve the intentional misstatement of amounts, rather than disclosures. For example, WorldCom capitalized as fixed assets billions of dollars that should have been expensed. **Omissions of** amounts are less common, but a company can overstate income by omitting accounts payable and other liabilities. While most cases of fraudulent financial reporting involve an attempt to overstate income (either by overstatement of assets and income or by omission of liabilities and expenses) companies also deliberately understate income. At privately held companies, this may be **done in an attempt to reduce income taxes.** Companies may also intentionally understate income when earnings are high to create a reserve of earnings that may be used to increase earnings in future periods. Such practices are called income smoothing

and earnings management. Earnings management involves deliberate actions taken by management to meet earnings objectives. Income smoothing is a form of earnings management in which revenues and expenses are shifted between periods to reduce fluctuations in earnings. One technique to smooth income is to reduce the value of inventory and other assets of an acquired company at the time of acquisition, resulting in higher earnings when the assets are later sold.

Although less frequent, several notable cases of fraudulent financial reporting involve **inadequate disclosure**. For example, a central issue in the Enron case was whether the company adequately disclosed obligations to affiliates known as special-purpose entities.

Misappropriation of assets (MA) - is fraud that involves **theft** of an entity's assets. In many cases, but not all, the amounts involved are not material to the financial statements. However, the theft of company assets is often a management concern, regardless of the materiality of the amounts involved, because small thefts can easily increase in size over time. The term misappropriation of assets is normally used to refer to theft involving **employees and others** internal to the organization. According to estimates of the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners, the average company loses five percent of its revenues to fraud, although much of this fraud **involves external parties**, such as shoplifting by customers and cheating by suppliers. Misappropriation of assets is normally perpetrated at lower levels of the organization hierarchy. In some notable cases, however, top management is involved in the theft of company

assets. Because of management's greater authority and control over organization assets, embezzlements involving top management can involve significant amounts. In one extreme example, the former CEO of Tyco International was charged by the SEC with stealing over \$100 million in assets.

A fraud survey conducted by the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners found that asset misappropriations are the most common fraud scheme, although the size of the fraud is much greater for fraudulent financial reporting.

- Conditions for fraud three conditions for fraud arising from fraudulent financial reporting and misappropriations of assets are as fraud triangle.
 - Incentives/pressures management or other employees have incentives or pressures to commit fraud.
 - Opportunities circumstances provide opportunities for management or employees to commit fraud.
 - Attitudes/rationalization an attitude, character, or set of ethical values exists that allows management or employees to commit a dishonest act, or they are in an environment that imposes sufficient pressure that causes them to rationalize committing a dishonest act.





Nov 9, 2015

Source: The 2007 Oversight System Report on Corporate Fraud, Oversight Systems, Inc., 2007.

- Risk factors for FR an essential consideration by the auditor in uncovering fraud is identifying factors that increase the risk of fraud. In the fraud triangle, fraudulent financial reporting and misappropriation of assets share the same three conditions, but the risk factors differ. First it is necessary to address the risk factors for fraudulent financial reporting, and then discuss those for misappropriation of assets.
 - Incentives/pressures for FR a common incentive for companies to manipulate financial statements is a decline in the company's financial prospects. For example, a decline in earnings may threaten the company's ability to obtain financing. Companies may also manipulate earnings to meet analysts' forecasts or benchmarks such as prior-year earnings, to meet debt covenant restrictions, or to artificially inflate stock prices. In some cases, management may manipulate earnings just to preserve their reputation.

- Opportunities for FR although the financial statements of all companies are potentially subject to manipulation, the risk is greater for companies in industries where significant judgments and estimates are involved. For example, valuation of inventories is subject to greater risk of misstatement for companies with diverse inventories in many locations. The risk of misstatement of inventories is further increased if those inventories are at risk for obsolescence.
- Attitudes/rationalization for FR the attitude of top management toward financial reporting is a critical risk factor in assessing the likelihood of fraudulent financial statements. If the CEO or other top managers display a significant disregard for the financial reporting process, such as consistently issuing overly optimistic forecasts, fraudulent financial reporting is more likely.

- **Risk factors for MA** the same three conditions apply to misappropriation of assets. However, in assessing risk factors, greater emphasis is placed on individual incentives and opportunities for theft.
 - **Incentives/pressures for MA financial pressures** are a common incentive for employees who misappropriate assets. Employees with excessive financial obligations, or those with drug abuse or gambling problems, may steal to meet their personal needs. In other cases, dissatisfied employees may steal as a form of attack against their employers.
 - **Opportunities for MA opportunities for theft exist in** all companies. However, opportunities are greater in companies with accessible cash or with inventory or other valuable assets, especially if they are small or easily removed. For example, casinos handle extensive amounts of cash with little formal records of cash received. Similarly, thefts of laptop computers are 12

much more frequent than thefts of desktop systems. Weak internal controls create opportunities for theft. Inadequate separation of duties is practically a license for employees to steal. Whenever employees have custody or even temporary access to assets and maintain the accounting records for those assets, the potential for theft exists. For example, if inventory storeroom employees also maintain inventory records, they can easily take inventory items and cover the theft by adjusting the accounting records.

Fraud is more prevalent in smaller businesses and notfor-profit organizations because it is more difficult for these entities to maintain adequate separation of duties. However, even large organizations may fail to maintain adequate separation in critical areas.

Attitudes/rationalization for MA - management's attitude toward controls and ethical conduct may allow employees and managers to rationalize the theft of assets. If

management cheats customers through overcharging for goods or engaging in high pressure sales tactics, employees may feel that it is acceptable for them to behave in the same fashion by cheating on expense or time reports.

- Assessing the risk of fraud auditors must maintain a level of professional skepticism as they consider a broad set of information, including fraud risk factors, to identify and respond to fraud risk. The auditor has a responsibility to respond to fraud risk by planning and performing the audit to obtain reasonable assurance that material misstatements, whether due to errors or fraud, are detected.
 - Professional skepticism auditing standards state that, in exercising professional skepticism, an auditor "neither assumes that management is dishonest nor assumes unquestioned honesty." In practice, maintaining this attitude of professional skepticism can be difficult because, despite some recent high-profile examples of fraudulent financial statements, material frauds are infrequent compared to the number of audits of financial statements conducted annually. Most auditors will never encounter a material fraud during their careers. Also,

through client acceptance and continuance evaluation

procedures, auditors reject most potential clients perceived as lacking honesty and integrity.

- Questioning mind auditing standards emphasize consideration of a client's susceptibility to fraud, regardless of the auditor's beliefs about the likelihood of fraud and management's honesty and integrity. During audit planning for every audit, the engagement team must discuss the need to maintain a questioning mind throughout the audit to identify fraud risks and critically evaluate audit evidence. There is always a risk that even an honest person can rationalize fraudulent actions when incentives or pressures become extreme.
- Critical evaluation of audit evidence upon discovering information or other conditions that indicate a material misstatement due to fraud may have occurred, auditors should thoroughly probe the issues, acquire additional evidence as needed, and consult with other team members. Auditors must be careful not to rationalize

or assume a misstatement is an isolated incident. For example, say an auditor uncovers a current year sale that should properly be reflected as a sale in the following year. The auditor should evaluate the reasons for the misstatement, determine whether it was intentional or a fraud, and consider whether other such misstatements are likely to have occurred.

- Sources of information to assess audit risk five sources of information to assess these fraud risks are used:
 - Communications among audit team the audit team is required to conduct discussions to share insights from more experienced audit team members and to "brainstorm" ideas that address the following:
 - How and where they believe the entity's financial statements might be susceptible to material misstatement due to fraud.
 - How management could perpetrate and conceal fraudulent financial reporting.

- How anyone might misappropriate assets of the entity.
- How the auditor might respond to the susceptibility of material misstatements due to fraud.
- Inquiries of management the auditor is required to make specific inquiries about fraud in every audit. Inquiries of management and others within the company provide employees with an opportunity to tell the auditor information that otherwise might not be communicated. The auditor's inquiries of management should address whether management has knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud within the company. Auditors should also inquire about management's process of assessing fraud risks, the nature of fraud risks identified by management, any internal controls implemented to address those risks, and any information about fraud risks and related controls that management has reported to the audit committee.

- Risk factors the auditor is required to evaluate whether fraud risk factors indicate incentives or pressures to perpetrate fraud, opportunities to carry out fraud, or attitudes or rationalizations used to justify a fraudulent action. The existence of fraud risk factors does not mean fraud exists, but that the likelihood of fraud is higher. Auditors should consider these factors along with any other information used to assess the risks of fraud.
- Other information auditors should consider all information they have obtained in any phase or part of the audit as they assess the risk of fraud. Many of the risk assessment procedures that the auditor performs during planning to assess the risk of material misstatement may indicate a heightened risk of fraud.



Fraud – documenting the assess-t*

- Documenting fraud assessment auditing standards require that auditors document the following matters related to the auditor's consideration of material misstatements due to fraud:
 - The discussion among engagement team personnel in planning the audit about the susceptibility of the entity's financial statements to material fraud.
 - Procedures performed to obtain information necessary to identify and assess the risks of material fraud.
 - Specific risks of material fraud that were identified, and a description of the auditor's response to those risks.
 - Reasons supporting a conclusion that there is not a significant risk of material improper revenue recognition.
 - Results of the procedures performed to address the risk of management override of controls.
 - Other conditions and analytical relationships indicating that additional auditing procedures or other responses were

required, and the actions taken by the auditor.

- Specific fraud risk areas depending on the client's industry, certain accounts are especially susceptible to manipulation or theft. Specific high-risk accounts are discussed next. But even when auditors are armed with knowledge of these risk areas, fraud remains extremely difficult to detect. However, an awareness of existence of these areas and fraud detection techniques increases an auditor's likelihood of identifying misstatements due to fraud.
- Revenue and accounts receivable fraud risks revenue and related accounts receivable and cash accounts are especially susceptible to manipulation and theft. A study sponsored by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) found that more than half of financial statement frauds involve revenues and accounts receivable. Similarly, because sales are often made for cash or are quickly converted to cash, cash is also highly susceptible to theft.

Fraudulent financial reporting risk for revenue - several

reasons make revenue susceptible to manipulation. Most important, revenue is almost always the largest account on the income statement, therefore a misstatement only representing a small percentage of revenues can still have a large effect on income. An overstatement of revenues often increases net income by an equal amount, because related costs of sales are usually not recognized on fictitious or prematurely recognized revenues. Another reason revenue is susceptible to manipulation is **the difficulty** of determining the appropriate timing of revenue recognition in many situations. Three main types of revenue manipulations are: fictitious revenues, premature revenue recognition and manipulation of adjustments to revenues.

Fictitious revenues - the most egregious form of revenue fraud. You may be aware of several recent cases involving fictitious revenues, but this type of fraud is not new. The 1931 Ultramares case involved fictitious revenue entries in the general ledger. Fraud perpetrators

often go to great lengths to support fictitious revenue. Fraudulent activity at Equity Funding Corp. of America, which involved issuing fictitious insurance policies, lasted nearly a decade (from 1964 to 1973) and involved dozens of company employees. The perpetrators held file-stuffing parties to create the fictitious policies.

Premature revenue recognition - companies often accelerate the timing of revenue recognition to meet earnings or sales forecasts. Premature revenue recognition is the recognition of revenue before accounting standards requirements for recording revenue have been met. In the simplest form of accelerated revenue recognition, sales that should have been recorded in the subsequent period are recorded as current period sales.

One method of fraudulently accelerating revenue is a "bill-and-hold" sale. Sales are normally recognized at

the time goods are shipped, but in a bill-and-hold sale, the goods are invoiced before they are shipped. Another method involves issuing side agreements that modify the terms of the sales transaction. For example, revenue recognition is likely to be inappropriate if a major customer agrees to "buy" a significant amount of inventory at year-end, but a side agreement provides for more favorable pricing and unrestricted return of the goods if not sold by the customer.

Manipulation of adjustments to revenues - the most common adjustment to revenue involves sales returns and allowances. A company may hide sales returns from the auditor to overstate net sales and income. If the returned goods are counted as part of physical inventory, the return may increase reported income. In this case, an asset increase is recognized through the counting of physical inventory, but the reduction in the related accounts receivable balance is not made.

Companies may also understate bad debt expense because significant judgment is required to determine the correct amount. Because the required allowance depends on the age and quality of accounts receivable, some companies have altered the aging of accounts receivable to make them appear more current.

- Documentary discrepancies despite the best efforts of fraud perpetrators, fictitious transactions rarely have the same level of documentary evidence as legitimate transactions. For example, in the well-known fraud at ZZZZ Best, insurance restoration contracts worth millions of dollars were supported by one or two page agreements and lacked many of the supporting details and evidence, such as permits, that are normally associated with these types of contracts.
- Misappropriation of receipts involving revenue although misappropriation of cash receipts is rarely as material as

fraudulent reporting of revenues, such frauds can be costly to the organization because of the direct loss of assets. A typical misappropriation of cash involves failure to record a sale or an adjustment to customer accounts receivable to hide the theft.

Failure to record a sale - One of the most difficult frauds to detect is when a sale is not recorded and the cash from the sale is stolen. Such frauds are easier to detect when goods are shipped on credit to customers. **Tracing shipping documents** to sales entries in the sales journal and accounting for all shipping documents can be used to verify that all sales have been recorded. It is much more difficult to verify that all cash sales have been recorded, especially if no shipping documents exist to verify the completeness of sales, and no customer account receivable records support the sale. In such cases, other documentary evidence is necessary to verify that all sales are recorded.

If the sale is not included in the cash register it is almost impossible to detect the fraud.

- Theft of cash receipts after a sale is recorded it is much more difficult to hide the theft of cash receipts after a sale is recorded. If a customer's payment is stolen, regular billing of unpaid accounts will quickly uncover the fraud. As a result, to hide the theft, the fraud perpetrator must reduce the customer's account in one of three ways:
 - record a sales return or allowance
 - write off the customer's account
 - apply the payment from another customer to the customer's account, which is also known as lapping.

28

 Inventory fraud risk - inventory is often the largest account on many companies' balance sheets, and auditors often find it difficult to verify the existence and valuation of inventories.

Thus **inventory is susceptible to manipulation by management** Nov 9, 2015

TABLE 11-4	Example of the Effect of Fictitious Receivables on Accounting Ratios Based on Regina Vacuum Company				
		Year Ended June 30			
		1988	1987	1986	
Sales		\$181,123	\$126,234	\$76,144	
Cost of sales		(94,934)	(70,756)	(46,213)	
Gross profit		86,189	55,478	29,931	
Gross profit percentage		47.6%	43.9%	39.3%	
Year-end accounts receivable		51,076	27,801	14,402	
Accounts receivable turnover ^a		3.55	4.54	5.29	

^aAccounts receivable turnover calculated as Sales/Ending accounts receivable

who wants to achieve certain financial reporting objectives. Because it is also usually readily saleable, inventory is also susceptible to misappropriation.

Fraudulent financial reporting risk for inventory - fictitious inventory has been at the center of several major cases of fraudulent financial reporting. Many large companies have varied and extensive inventory in multiple locations, making it relatively easy for the company to add fictitious inventory to accounting records. While auditors are required to verify the existence of physical inventories, audit testing is done on a sample basis, and not all locations with inventory are typically tested. In some cases involving fictitious inventories, auditors informed the client in advance which inventory locations were to be tested. As a result, it was relatively easy for the client to transfer inventories to the locations being tested.

TABLE 11-5	Example of the Effect of Fictitious Inventory on Inventory Turnover Based on Crazy Eddie, Inc.			
	Year Ended March 1, 1987	Year Ended March 2, 1986	9 Months Ended March 3, 1985	
Sales	\$352,523	\$262,268	\$136,319	
Cost of sales	(272,255)	(194,371)	(103,421)	
Gross profit	80,268	67,897	32,898	
Gross profit perce	ntage 22.8%	25.9%	24.1%	
Year-end inventor	es 109,072	59,864	26,543	
Inventory turnove	-a 2.50	3.20	5.20 ^b	

^aInventory turnover calculated as Cost of sales/Ending inventory. ^bInventory turnover calculated based on annualized Cost of sales.

- Purchase and accounts payable fraud risks cases of fraudulent financial reporting involving accounts payable are relatively common although less frequent than frauds involving inventory or accounts receivable. The deliberate understatement of accounts payable generally results in an understatement of purchases and cost of goods sold and an overstatement of net income. Significant misappropriations involving purchases can also occur in the form of payments to fictitious vendors, as well as kickbacks and other illegal arrangements with suppliers.
 - Fraudulent financial reporting risk for accounts payable companies may engage in deliberate attempts to understate accounts payable and overstate income. This can be accomplished by not recording accounts payable until the subsequent period or by recording fictitious reductions to accounts payable.

All purchases received before the end of the year should be recorded as liabilities. This is relatively easy to verify if the

company accounts for prenumbered receiving reports.

However, if the receiving reports are not prenumbered or the company deliberately omits receiving reports from the accounting records, it may be difficult for the auditor to verify whether all liabilities have been recorded. In such cases, analytical evidence, such as unusual changes in ratios, may signal that accounts payable are understated. Companies often have complex arrangements with suppliers that result in reductions to accounts payable for advertising credits and other allowances. These arrangements are often not as well documented as acquisition transactions. Some companies have used fictitious reductions to accounts payable to overstate net income. Therefore, auditors should read agreements with suppliers when amounts are material and make sure the financial statements reflect the substance of the agreements.

 Misappropriations in the acquisition and payment cycle the most common fraud in the acquisitions area is for the perpetrator to issue payments to fictitious vendors and

deposit the cash in a fictitious account. These frauds can be prevented by allowing payments to be made only to approved vendors and by carefully scrutinizing documentation supporting the acquisitions by authorized personnel before payments are made.

- Other areas of fraud risk although some accounts are more susceptible than others, almost every account is subject to manipulation.
 - Fixed assets represent a large balance sheet account for many companies, and are often based on subjectively determined valuations. As a result, fixed assets may be a target for manipulation, especially for companies without material receivables or inventories. For example, companies may capitalize repairs or other operating expenses as fixed assets. Such frauds are relatively easy to detect if the auditor examines evidence supporting fixed asset additions. Nevertheless, prior cases of fraudulent financial reporting, such as WorldCom, have involved improper

Fraud – specific fraud risk areas capitalization of assets.

Payroll expenses - payroll is rarely a significant risk area for fraudulent financial reporting. However, companies may overstate inventories and net income by recording excess labor costs in inventory. Company employees are sometimes used to construct fixed assets. Excess labor cost may also be capitalized as fixed assets in these circumstances. Material fringe benefits, such as retirement benefits, are also subject to manipulation. Payroll fraud involving misappropriation of assets is fairly common, but the amounts involved are often immaterial. The two most common areas of fraud are the creation of fictitious employees and overstatement of individual payroll hours. The existence of fictitious employees can usually be prevented by separation of the human resource and payroll functions. Overstatement of hours is typically prevented by use of time clocks.

Fraud – auditor's responsibilities*

- Responsibilities when fraud is suspected frauds are often detected through the receipt of an anonymous tip or by accident, internal controls, or the internal audit function. Throughout an audit, the auditor continually evaluates whether evidence gathered and other observations made indicate material misstatement due to fraud. All misstatements the auditor finds during the audit should be evaluated for any indication of fraud. When fraud is suspected, the auditor gathers additional information to determine whether fraud actually exists. Often, the auditor begins by making additional inquiries of management and others.
 - Use of inquiry inquiry can be an effective audit evidence gathering technique. Interviewing allows the auditor to clarify unobservable issues and observe the respondent's verbal and nonverbal responses. Interviewing can also help identify issues omitted from documentation or confirmations. The auditor can also modify questions during the interview based on the interviewee's responses. Inquiry as

the interview based on the interviewee's responses. Inquiry as
Fraud – auditor's responsibilities*

an audit evidence technique should be tailored to the purpose for which it is being used. Depending on the purpose, the auditor may ask different types of questions and change the tone of the interview.

- Listening techniques it is critical for the auditor to use effective listening skills throughout the inquiry process. The auditor should stay attentive by maintaining eye contact, nodding in agreement, or demonstrating other signs of comprehension. Auditors should also attempt to avoid preconceived ideas about the information being provided. Good listeners also take advantage of silence to think about the information provided and to prioritize and review information heard.
- Other responsibilities when the auditor suspects that fraud may be present, the auditor is required to obtain additional evidence to determine whether material fraud has occurred – audit software analysis (GAS and data mining) and expended substantial tests:

Fraud – auditor's responsibilities*

> Audit software analysis - auditors often use audit software such as ACL or IDEA to determine whether fraud may exist. For example, software tools can be used to search for fictitious revenue transactions by searching for duplicate sales invoice numbers or by reconciling databases of sales invoices to databases of shipping records, to ensure that all sales are supported by evidence of shipping. Similarly, these tools provide efficient searches for breaks in document sequences, which may indicate misstatements related to the completeness objective for liabilities and expense accounts. Auditors use audit software, including basic spreadsheet tools such as Excel, to sort transactions or account balances into subcategories for further audit testing. Excel may also be used to perform analytical procedures at disaggregated levels. For example, sales can be sorted to disaggregate the data by location, by product type, and across time (monthly) for

Fraud – auditor's responsibilities*

further analytical procedure analysis. Unusual trends not observable at the aggregate level may be detected when the data is analyzed in greater detail.

Expanded ST - auditors may also expand other substantive procedures to address heightened risks of fraud. For example, when there is a risk that sales terms may have been altered to prematurely record revenues, the auditor may modify the accounts receivable confirmation requests to obtain more detailed responses from customers about specific terms of the transactions, such as payment, transfer of custody, and return policy terms. In some instances, the auditor may confirm individual transactions rather than entire account balances, particularly for large transactions recorded close to year-end.

Reporting – basic elements of AR*

- Basic elements of auditor report the auditor's report should include the following basic elements:
 - **u** title;
 - addressee;
 - opening or introductory paragraph:
 - identification of the financial statements audited;
 - a statement of the responsibility of the entity's management and the responsibility of the auditor;
 - scope paragraph (describing the nature of an audit):
 - a reference to the ISAs or relevant national standards or practices;
 - a description of the work the auditor performed;
 - opinion paragraph containing an expression of opinion on the financial statements;
 - the date of the report;
 - the auditor's address;
- Nov 9, 2015
 - auditor's signature.

Reporting – standard unqualified AR*

- Standard unqualified audit report the most common type of audit report. It is used for more than 90 percent of all audit reports. US GAAS and ISA prescribe the use of three-paragraph form: introduction, scope, and opinion, where the final paragraph opinion states the auditor's conclusion based on the results of the audit examination. This paragraph is so important that the entire audit report is frequently referred to as "the auditor's opinion." The opinion paragraph is stated as an opinion rather than as statement of absolute fact or a guarantee. The intent is to indicate that the conclusions are based on professional judgment.
- Conditions for standard unqualified audit report:
 - completeness all statements (balance sheet, income statement, statement of change in equity and retained earnings, and statement of cash flows) are included in the financial statements.

Reporting – standard unqualified AR*

- compliance US GAAS/SAS or ISA have been followed in all respects. This also means that adequate disclosures have been included in the footnotes and other parts of the financial statements.
- pervasiveness of evidence sufficient appropriate evidence has been accumulated and presented in auditor's report.
- there are no circumstances requiring the addition of an explanatory paragraph or modification of the wording of the report.

The standard unqualified audit report is sometimes called a clean opinion because there are no circumstances requiring a qualification or modification of the auditor's opinion. The standard unqualified report is the most common audit opinion. Sometimes circumstances beyond the client's or auditor's control prevent the issuance of a clean opinion. However, in most cases, companies make the appropriate changes to their accounting records to avoid a qualification or modification by the auditor.

Reporting – extended and/or modified unqualified AR*

- An auditor's report is considered to be modified in the two different situations:
 - matters that do not affect the auditor's opinion (which would mean adding of matter paragraph => issue of unqualified audit report with explanatory notes and/or modified wording)
 - matters that do affect the auditor's opinion (instances that call for either: (a) qualified opinion, (b) disclaimer of opinion, or (c) adverse opinion).
- Causes of addition of an explanatory paragraph or a modification in the wording of the standard unqualified report:
 - Lack of consistent application of US GAAS/SAS or ISA
 - Substantial doubt about going concern
 - Auditor agrees with a departure from promulgated accounting principles
- Emphasis of a matter
 - Reports involving other auditors or experts

Reporting – departures from unqualified AR*

- Conditions requiring departures (matters that do affect the auditor's opinion):
 - Scope of the audit has been restricted (scope limitation)
 - Financial statements have not been prepared in US GAAP or IFRS.
 - Auditor is not independent
- Materiality (extra condition) materiality is an essential consideration in determining the appropriate type of report for a given set of circumstances. For example, if a misstatement is immaterial relative to the financial statements, it is appropriate to issue an un qualified report. The situation is totally different when the amounts are of such significance that the financial statements are materially affected as a whole. In these circumstances, it is necessary to issue a disclaimer of opinion or an adverse opinion, depending on whether a scope limitation or GAAP departure is nov jnyolved. In situations of lesser materiality, a qualified opinion is appropriate.

Reporting – decision-making process about type of AR*

- Making decision about type of audit reports:
 - Deciding whether any condition exists requiring a departure from a standard unqualified report
 - Deciding about materiality level for each condition
 - Deciding about the appropriate type of report for the condition, given the determined materiality level
 - Writing of audit report

Reporting – qualified AR*

- **Qualified opinion report** can result from a limitation on the scope of the audit or failure to follow US GAAP or IFRS. A qualified opinion report can be used only when the auditor concludes that the overall financial statements are fairly stated (a disclaimer or an adverse report must be used if the auditor believes that the condition being reported on is highly material) Therefore, the qualified opinion is considered the least severe type of departure from an unqualified report.
- A qualified report can take the form of a qualification of both the scope and the opinion or of the opinion alone. A scope and opinion qualification can be issued only when the auditor has been unable to accumulate all of the evidence required by generally accepted auditing standards. Therefore, this type of qualification is used when the auditor's scope has been restricted by the client or when circumstances exist that prevent the auditor from conducting a complete audit. The use of a qualification of the opinion alone is restricted to situations in which the financial statements are not ^{Nov 9} stated in accordance with GAAP/IFRS

Reporting – adverse AR*

An adverse opinion - is used only when the auditor believes that the overall financial statements are so materially misstated or misleading that they do not present fairly the financial position or results of operations and cash flows in conformity with GAAP. The adverse opinion report can arise only when the auditor has knowledge, after an adequate investigation, of the absence of conformity. This is uncommon and thus the adverse opinion is rarely used.

Reporting – disclaimer of AR*

- A disclaimer of opinion is issued when the auditor has been unable to satisfy himself or herself that the overall financial statements are fairly presented. The necessity for disclaiming an opinion may arise because of a severe limitation on the scope of the audit or a nonindependent relationship under the Code of Professional Conduct (AISPA or IFAC) between the auditor and the client. Either of these situations prevents the auditor from expressing an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The auditor also has the option to issue a disclaimer of opinion for a going concern problem.
- The disclaimer is distinguished from an adverse opinion in that it can arise only from a lack of knowledge by the auditor, whereas to express an adverse opinion, the auditor must have knowledge that the financial statements are not fairly stated. Both disclaimers and adverse opinions are used only when the condition is highly material.

Recommended reading

• Arens et al. (2015) – chosen chapters will be uploaded to IS

Ch. 11 (whole)

- Hayes et al. (2014) chosen chapters will be uploaded to IS
 - Ch. 11-12 (whole)
- ISA 240, 450, 700, 705

Scope:

- ISA 240 deals with auditor's responsibilities relating to fraud in an audit of financial statements.
- Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

Objective:

- To identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud.
- To obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the assessed risks of material misstatement due to fraud, through designing and implementing appropriate responses.
- To respond appropriately to fraud or suspected fraud identified during the audit.

Requirements (general):

The auditor shall maintain professional skepticism throughout

the audit.

- Where responses to inquiries of management or those charged with governance are inconsistent, the auditor shall investigate the inconsistencies.
- Make inquiries of management about:
 - Management assessment of risk of that the financial statements may be materially misstated due to fraud, including the nature, extent and frequency of such assessments.
 - Management's process for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in the entity, including any specific risks of fraud that management has identified or that have been brought to its attention, or classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures for which a risk of fraud is likely to exist.
 - Management's communication, if any, to those charged with governance regarding its processes for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in the entity

- Management's communication, if any, to employees regarding its views on business practices and ethical behavior.
- Management, internal audit and others within the entity as appropriate, to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity.
- The auditor shall obtain an understanding of how those charged with governance exercise oversight of management's processes for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in the entity and the internal control that management has established to mitigate these risks.
- The auditor shall evaluate whether the information obtained from the other risk assessment procedures and related activities performed indicates that one or more fraud risk factors are present.
- When identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement due to fraud, the auditor shall, based on a presumption that there are risks of fraud in revenue

recognition, evaluate which types of revenue, revenue transactions or assertions give rise to such risks.

- Auditor shall design and perform procedure that are responsive to assessed risk.
- Auditor shall perform following procedure due to risk of Management override of control;
 - Test the appropriateness of journal entries, for end of period and throughout period and inquire about any unusual transaction.
 - Review accounting estimates for biases by evaluation and retrospective review.
 - For significant transaction outside the normal course of business, evaluate the business rationale.

Requirements (specific):

- Evaluation of audit evidence
 - Evaluate the result of analytical procedure that are

performed at the end of audit for consistency with assessed risk

Nov 9, 2015

- Evaluate identified misstatement weather material or not, if its indicative of fraud or not.
- If the auditor confirms that, or is unable to conclude whether, the financial statements are materially misstated as a result of fraud the auditor shall evaluate the implications for the audit
- Auditor is unable to continue the engagement
 - > Determine the professional and legal responsibilities
 - > Determine its appropriate to withdraw or not
 - If withdraw: discuss with the appropriate level of management and those charged with governance the auditor's withdrawal from the engagement and the reasons for the withdrawal; and determine whether there is a professional or legal requirement to report to the person or persons who made the audit appointment or, in some cases, to regulatory authorities, the auditor's withdrawal from the engagement and the reasons for the withdrawal. 54

- Written representations the auditor shall obtain written representations from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance that:
 - They acknowledge their responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud;
 - They have disclosed to the auditor the results of management's assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud
 - They have disclosed to the auditor their knowledge of fraud, or suspected fraud, affecting the entity and its financial statements.
- Communications to management and with those charged with governance:

Nov 9, 2015

 If the auditor has identified a fraud or has obtained information that indicates that a fraud may exist, the auditor shall communicate these matters on a timely basis to the appropriate level of management.

Appendix: ISA 450 – Misstat-s

Scope:

ISA 450 deals with the evaluation of misstatements identified during the audit of financial statements. ISA 320, "Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit" deals with the determination of materiality and its application in planning and performing an audit of financial statements. ISA 450 explains how materiality is applied in evaluating misstatements identified during the audit.

Objective:

The objective of the auditor is to evaluate the effect of uncorrected misstatements on the financial statements and whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatement.

Requirements:

 'Misstatement' is defined as 'a difference between the amount, classification, presentation, or disclosure of a reported financial

statement item and the amount, classification, presentation, or

Appendix: ISA 450 – Misstat-s

disclosure that is required for the item to be in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. Misstatement can arise from error or fraud.' This also relates to those that require adjustments for issuing a true and fair view on the financial statements.

For these kind of identified misstatements, the auditor should determine whether the nature and the circumstances of their occurrence indicate the existence of other misstatements, which could be material individually or in aggregate. These misstatements should be communicated to the appropriate level of management on a timely basis.

The auditor should also consider whether there is a need to revise the audit strategy and audit plan – especially when those areas are confirmed to have appropriate design, implementation and effective operation of controls, now there are identified misstatements. Does the initial conclusion need to be reconsidered? This would definitely affect the risk assessment, audit strategy and audit plan.

Appendix: ISA 450 – Misstat-s

- 'Uncorrected misstatements' are the 'misstatements that the auditor has accumulated during the audit that have not been corrected'. Before evaluating the effect of the uncorrected misstatements, the auditor should confirm whether the materiality still remains appropriate. Afterwards, the auditor will determine the uncorrected misstatements are material individually or in aggregate. The auditor will consider:
 - the size and nature of the misstatements, and the particular circumstances of the occurrence
 - the effect of uncorrected misstatements related to the prior periods.

Scope:

- ISA 700 deals with the auditor's responsibility to form an opinion on the financial statements. It also deals with the form and content of the auditor's report issued as a result of an audit of financial statements. It is written in the context of a complete set of general purpose financial statements.
- The requirements of this ISA are aimed at addressing an appropriate balance between the need for consistency and comparability in auditor reporting globally and the need to increase the value of auditor reporting by making the information provided in the auditor's report more relevant to users. This ISA promotes consistency in the auditor's report, but recognizes the need for flexibility to accommodate particular circumstances of individual jurisdictions. Consistency in the auditor's report, when the audit has been conducted in accordance with ISAs, promotes credibility in the global marketplace by making more readily identifiable those audits that have been conducted in accordance with globally Nov 9, 2015 59

recognized standards. It also helps to promote the user's understanding and to identify unusual circumstances when they occur.

- **Objective -** the objectives of the auditor are:
 - To form an opinion on the financial statements based on an evaluation of the conclusions drawn from the audit evidence obtained; and
 - □ To express clearly that opinion through a written report.

Requirements:

Auditors are required to form an opinion on the basis of sufficient appropriate audit evidence obtained and express it clearly in the form of a written report. In order to form an opinion auditor must adhere to the requirements of ISA 700 and under the circumstances of engagement appropriate select the type of opinion to be expressed i.e. qualified, adverse or disclaimer of opinion. Auditor must follow the guidelines of ISA 700 regarding the format and content of auditor's report and if any supplementary information is provided by the management⁰¹⁵

Nov 9, 2015

- auditor must ensure it is consistent with audited financial statements.
- Forming an opinion
 - The auditor's opinion on general purpose financial statements is if they are they prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with applicable financial reporting framework.
 - But before auditor is able to express an opinion, he is required to gather sufficient appropriate audit evidence as only sufficient appropriate audit evidence reduces the audit risk to an acceptably low level.
 - Audit risk is considered to have reduced to an appropriate level if auditor has obtained reasonable assurance that financial statements are free from material misstatements.
 - To conclude that reasonable assurance has been obtained, auditor shall consider:
 - If sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained

- Whether uncorrected misstatements are material individually or in aggregate and appropriate steps have been taken
- The results of evaluation in which auditor is required to evaluate:
 - Whether financial statements are prepared in accordance with applicable financial reporting framework by evaluating if:
 - Significant policies selected and applied are adequately disclosed
 - Accounting policies so selected and applied are consistent framework's requirements
 - Managements' estimates are reasonable
 - Financial statements fulfill qualitative requirements i.e. relevance, reliability, understandability, comparability etc.
 - Adequate disclosures accompany financial

statements so that user can understand the effects of material transactions

- Terminology used, including title of financial statements is appropriate
- Appropriateness of financial statements considering:
 - The qualitative aspects of entity's accounting process
 - Probability of distortion in financial statements due to management's bias
- Whether applicable financial reporting framework has been appropriately referred to or described.
- Forms of opinion
 - > The auditor shall express an unmodified opinion if:
 - Auditor concludes that financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with applicable financial reporting framework.

Or in other words:

- Financial statements are giving true and fair view of the \checkmark business.
- The auditor shall give modified opinion if:
 - Audit evidence obtained makes auditor conclude that financial statements are not free from material misstatements; or
 - Auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence whether financial statements are free from material misstatements. For modified opinion auditor is required to follow guidelines of ISA 705.
- Contents of Auditor's report with unmodified opinion:
 - Auditor expresses his opinion on entity's financial statements in the form of a written report. Oral expression cannot substitute a written report.

As auditor's expression enhances the credibility of financial statements therefore auditor's report follows a certain 64

format and comprises of specific elements and content.

- Local applicable laws and regulations may require auditor to change the content of auditor's. However, as per ISA 700 auditor's report has following elements:
 - Title
 - Addressee
 - Introductory paragraph
 - Management's responsibility for the financial statements
 - Auditor's responsibility
 - Auditor's opinion
 - Other reporting responsibilities
 - Signature of the auditor
 - Date of auditor's report
 - Auditor's address
- Supplementary information with Financial Statements

- If supplementary information has been included with the financial statements by the management then auditor shall evaluate if such information is consistent with the financial statements and has been differentiated from the audited financial statements.
- If management refuses to correct the presentation of such supplementary information that may confuse the user and take it as part of financial statements then auditor shall clearly state that such supplementary information is not audited.

Appendix: ISA 705 – AR modif.

ISA 705 deals with the auditor's responsibility to issue an appropriate report in circumstances when, in forming an opinion in accordance with ISA 700, the auditor concludes that a modification to the auditor's opinion on the financial statements is necessary.

Objective:

- The objective of the auditor is to express clearly an appropriately modified opinion on the financial statements that is necessary when:
 - The auditor concludes, based on the audit evidence obtained, that the financial statements as a whole are not free from material misstatement; or
 - The auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to conclude that the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement.

Requirements:

Nov 9, 2015 An opinion in the auditor's report is said to be modified if it is: ⁶⁷

Appendix: ISA 705 – AR modif.

- Qualified opinion a conditional opinion also known as "except for" opinion. An opinion according to which except for certain aspect of financial statement everything else is true and fair.
- Adverse opinion an opinion in which auditor declares that financial statements as a whole are not giving true and fair view.
- Disclaimer of opinion It is not really an opinion rather a statement that no opinion can be formed due to absence of sufficient appropriate audit evidence.
- Auditor shall express a qualified opinion if:
 - having obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence, auditor concludes that misstatements in the financial statements are material but not pervasive
 - auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that financial statements thus unable to form an opinion. However, concludes that undetected misstatements could be material but no pervasive

Appendix: ISA 705 – AR modif.

- Auditor shall express an adverse opinion as a result of obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence auditor concludes that misstatements are material but also pervasive.
- Auditor shall express a disclaimer if auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence and thus cannot form an opinion but concludes that undetected misstatements could be both material and pervasive.
- Sufficiency and appropriateness of audit evidence Most of the time auditor is not able to collect sufficient appropriate audit evidence due to:
 - Limitations imposed by circumstances
 - Limitations imposed by management