

#### **Chapter 25**

#### Monopoly

# Pure Monopoly

- A monopolized market has a single seller.
- The monopolist's demand curve is the (downward sloping) market demand curve.
- So the monopolist can alter the market price by adjusting its output level.



**Output Level**, y

What causes monopolies?
 – a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service

- -a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service
- -a patent; e.g. a new drug

- -a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service
- -a patent; e.g. a new drug
- –sole ownership of a resource; e.g. a toll highway

- -a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service
- -a patent; e.g. a new drug
- –sole ownership of a resource; e.g. a toll highway
- -formation of a cartel; e.g. OPEC

- -a legal fiat; e.g. US Postal Service
- -a patent; e.g. a new drug
- –sole ownership of a resource; e.g. a toll highway
- -formation of a cartel; e.g. OPEC
- large economies of scale; e.g. local utility companies.

## Pure Monopoly

#### ♦ Suppose that the monopolist seeks to maximize its economic profit, Π(y) = p(y)y - c(y).

What output level y\* maximizes profit?













### **Profit-Maximization**



#### **Profit-Maximization**



Marginal revenue is the rate-of-change of revenue as the output level y increases;

$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}$$

Marginal revenue is the rate-of-change of revenue as the output level y increases;

$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}.$$

dp(y)/dy is the slope of the market inverse demand function so dp(y)/dy < 0. Therefore

$$MR(y) = p(y) + y \frac{dp(y)}{dy} < p(y)$$
  
for y > 0.

E.g. if 
$$p(y) = a - by$$
 then  
 $R(y) = p(y)y = ay - by^2$   
and so  
 $MR(y) = a - 2by < a - by = p(y)$  for y > 0.

E.g. if 
$$p(y) = a - by$$
 then  
 $R(y) = p(y)y = ay - by^2$   
and so  
 $MR(y) = a - 2by < a - by = p(y)$  for  $y > 0$ .  
 $a \int p(y) = a - by$   
 $a/2b$   $a/b$   $y$   
 $MR(y) = a - 2by$ 

### Marginal Cost

Marginal cost is the rate-of-change of total cost as the output level y increases;

$$MC(y) = \frac{dc(y)}{dy}.$$
  
E.g. if c(y) = F +  $\alpha$ y +  $\beta$ y<sup>2</sup> then  
$$MC(y) = \alpha + 2\beta y.$$



Profit-Maximization; An Example

At the profit-maximizing output level y\*,  $MR(y^*) = MC(y^*)$ . So if p(y) = a - by and  $c(y) = F + \alpha y + \beta y^2$  then  $MR(y^*) = a - 2by^* = \alpha + 2\beta y^* = MC(y^*)$  Profit-Maximization; An Example

At the profit-maximizing output level y\*,  $MR(y^*) = MC(y^*)$ . So if p(y) = a - by and if  $c(y) = F + \alpha y + \beta y^2$  then  $MR(y^*) = a - 2by^* = \alpha + 2\beta y^* = MC(y^*)$ 

and the profit-maximizing output level is

$$\mathbf{y}^* = \frac{\mathbf{a} - \alpha}{2(\mathbf{b} + \beta)}$$

Profit-Maximization; An Example

At the profit-maximizing output level y\*,  $MR(y^*) = MC(y^*)$ . So if p(y) = a - by and if  $c(y) = F + \alpha y + \beta y^2$  then  $MR(y^*) = a - 2by^* = \alpha + 2\beta y^* = MC(y^*)$ 

and the profit-maximizing output level is

$$y^* = \frac{a - \alpha}{2(b + \beta)}$$
  
causing the market price to be  
$$p(y^*) = a - by^* = a - b\frac{a - \alpha}{2(b + \beta)}.$$







Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand

 Suppose that market demand becomes less sensitive to changes in price (*i.e.* the own-price elasticity of demand becomes less negative).
 Does the monopolist exploit this by causing the market price to rise?

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price  
Elasticity of Demand  
$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}$$
$$= p(y) \left[1 + \frac{y}{p(y)}\frac{dp(y)}{dy}\right].$$

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price  
Elasticity of Demand  
$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}$$
$$= p(y) \left[1 + \frac{y}{p(y)}\frac{dp(y)}{dy}\right].$$

**Own-price elasticity of demand is** 

 $\varepsilon = \frac{p(y)}{y} \frac{dy}{dp(y)}$ 

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price  
Elasticity of Demand  
$$MR(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(p(y)y) = p(y) + y\frac{dp(y)}{dy}$$
$$= p(y) \left[1 + \frac{y}{p(y)}\frac{dp(y)}{dy}\right].$$

**Own-price elasticity of demand is** 

$$\varepsilon = \frac{p(y)}{y} \frac{dy}{dp(y)}$$
 so  $MR(y) = p(y) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right]$ .

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand  $MR(y) = p(y) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right].$ 

Suppose the monopolist's marginal cost of production is constant, at \$k/output unit. For a profit-maximum

$$MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} = k \text{ which is} \\ p(y^*) = \frac{k}{1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}}.$$

Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand  $p(y^*) = \frac{\kappa}{1+\frac{1}{-}}.$ 2 E.g. if  $\varepsilon = -3$  then  $p(y^*) = 3k/2$ , and if  $\varepsilon = -2$  then  $p(y^*) = 2k$ . So as  $\epsilon$  rises towards -1 the monopolist

alters its output level to make the market price of its product to rise.

## Monopolistic Pricing & Own-Price Elasticity of Demand

Notice that, since  $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] = k$ ,

 $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] > 0$
Notice that, since  $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] = k$ ,

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\varepsilon} \right] > 0 \implies 1 + \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\varepsilon} > 0$$

Notice that, since  $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] = k$ ,

$$p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right] > 0 \implies 1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} > 0$$
  
That is,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} > -1$ 

Notice that, since  $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] = k$ ,

$$p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right] > 0 \implies 1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} > 0$$
  
That is,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} > -1 \implies \varepsilon < -1.$ 

Notice that, since  $MR(y^*) = p(y^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] = k$ ,

$$p(\mathbf{y}^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] > 0 \implies 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} > 0$$
  
That is,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} > -1 \implies \varepsilon < -1.$ 

So a profit-maximizing monopolist always selects an output level for which market demand is own-price elastic.

## Markup Pricing

- Markup pricing: Output price is the marginal cost of production plus a "markup."
- How big is a monopolist's markup and how does it change with the own-price elasticity of demand?

Markup Pricing  

$$p(\mathbf{y}^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] = \mathbf{k} \implies p(\mathbf{y}^*) = \frac{\mathbf{k}}{1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\mathbf{k}\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon}$$

is the monopolist's price.

Markup Pricing  

$$p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] = k \implies p(y^*) = \frac{k}{1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}} = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$$
is the monopolist's price. The markup is  

$$p(y^*) - k = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} - k = -\frac{k}{1+\epsilon}.$$

Markup Pricing  

$$p(y^*)\left[1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] = k \implies p(y^*) = \frac{k}{1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}} = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$$

is the monopolist's price. The markup is

$$p(y^*) - k = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} - k = -\frac{k}{1+\epsilon}.$$

E.g. if  $\varepsilon$  = -3 then the markup is k/2, and if  $\varepsilon$  = -2 then the markup is k. The markup rises as the own-price elasticity of demand rises towards -1.

## A Profits Tax Levied on a Monopoly

- A profits tax levied at rate t reduces profit from Π(y\*) to (1-t)Π(y\*).
- ♦ Q: How is after-tax profit, (1-t)∏(y\*), maximized?

## A Profits Tax Levied on a Monopoly

- A profits tax levied at rate t reduces profit from Π(y\*) to (1-t)Π(y\*).
- ♦ Q: How is after-tax profit, (1-t)∏(y\*), maximized?
- ♦ A: By maximizing before-tax profit, Π(y\*).

## A Profits Tax Levied on a Monopoly

- A profits tax levied at rate t reduces profit from Π(y\*) to (1-t)Π(y\*).
- ♦ Q: How is after-tax profit, (1-t)∏(y\*), maximized?
- A: By maximizing before-tax profit,  $\Pi(y^*)$ .
- So a profits tax has no effect on the monopolist's choices of output level, output price, or demands for inputs.
- ♦ I.e. the profits tax is a neutral tax.

- A quantity tax of \$t/output unit raises the marginal cost of production by \$t.
- So the tax reduces the profitmaximizing output level, causes the market price to rise, and input demands to fall.
- The quantity tax is distortionary.

# Quantity Tax Levied on a Monopolist \$/output unit p(y)



## Quantity Tax Levied on a Monopolist **\$/output unit p(y)** MC(y) + t**p(y\*)** MC(v) Y MR(y)

#### Quantity Tax Levied on a Monopolist **\$/output unit p(y) p(y**<sup>t</sup>) MC(y) + t**p(y\*)** MC(v) Vt **V**\* Y MR(y)



- Can a monopolist "pass" all of a \$t quantity tax to the consumers?
- Suppose the marginal cost of production is constant at \$k/output unit.
- With no tax, the monopolist's price is  $p(y^*) = \frac{k\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$ .

The tax increases marginal cost to \$(k+t)/output unit, changing the profit-maximizing price to

$$p(y^t) = \frac{(k+t)\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}.$$

The amount of the tax paid by buyers is
p(y<sup>t</sup>) - p(y\*).

$$p(y^{t}) - p(y^{*}) = \frac{(k+t)\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} - \frac{k\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} = \frac{t\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$$

is the amount of the tax passed on to buyers. E.g. if  $\varepsilon = -2$ , the amount of the tax passed on is 2t.

Because  $\varepsilon < -1$ ,  $\varepsilon /(1+\varepsilon) > 1$  and so the monopolist passes on to consumers more than the tax!

## The Inefficiency of Monopoly

- A market is Pareto efficient if it achieves the maximum possible total gains-to-trade.
- Otherwise a market is Pareto inefficient.



















## The Inefficiency of Monopoly

\$/output unit



### The Inefficiency of Monopoly

**\$/output unit** 







## Natural Monopoly

A natural monopoly arises when the firm's technology has economies-ofscale large enough for it to supply the whole market at a lower average total production cost than is possible with more than one firm in the market.





## Entry Deterrence by a Natural Monopoly

- A natural monopoly deters entry by threatening predatory pricing against an entrant.
- A predatory price is a low price set by the incumbent firm when an entrant appears, causing the entrant's economic profits to be negative and inducing its exit.
## Entry Deterrence by a Natural Monopoly

 E.g. suppose an entrant initially captures one-quarter of the market, leaving the incumbent firm the other three-quarters.



У





V

Inefficiency of a Natural Monopolist

 Like any profit-maximizing monopolist, the natural monopolist causes a deadweight loss.







## Regulating a Natural Monopoly

- Why not command that a natural monopoly produce the efficient amount of output?
- Then the deadweight loss will be zero, won't it?





## Regulating a Natural Monopoly

- So a natural monopoly cannot be forced to use marginal cost pricing. Doing so makes the firm exit, destroying both the market and any gains-to-trade.
- Regulatory schemes can induce the natural monopolist to produce the efficient output level without exiting.