## BKM\_DATS: Databázové systémy 10. Indexing and Hashing

Vlastislav Dohnal

### Indexing and Hashing

- Basic Concepts
- Ordered Indices
  - □ B<sup>+</sup>-Tree Index
- Static Hashing
  - Dynamic Hashing
- Comparison of Ordered Indexing and Hashing
- Index Definition in SQL

### **Basic Concepts**

- □ Indexing mechanisms used to speed up access to desired data.
  - □ E.g., author catalog in library
- Search Key an attribute or a set of attributes used to look up records in a file.
- □ An index file consists of records (called index entries) of the form

search-key pointer

- □ Index files are typically much smaller than the original file
- □ Two basic kinds of indices:
  - □ Ordered indices: search keys are stored in sorted order
  - Hash indices: search keys are distributed uniformly across "buckets" using a "hash function".

### **Ordered Indices**

- In an ordered index, index entries are stored sorted on the search key value.
  - □ E.g., author catalog in library.
- Primary index: assume a sequential file, the index whose search key specifies the sequential order of records in the file.
  - □ Also called **clustering index**
  - The search key of a primary index is usually but not necessarily the primary key.
- Secondary index: an index whose search key specifies an order different from the sequential order of records in the file.
  - Also called **non-clustering index**
- □ **Index-sequential file:** sequential file with a primary index.

### **Secondary Indices**

- Frequently, one wants to find all the records whose values in a certain attribute (which is not the search-key of the primary index) satisfy some condition.
  - Example 1:
    - □ The *instructor* relation stored sequentially by ID
    - We may want to find all instructors in a particular department
  - Example 2:
    - As above
    - We want to find all instructors with a specified salary or with salary in a specified range of values
- □ We can have a <u>secondary</u> index
  - where an index record exists for each search-key value



- Index record points to a bucket that contains pointers to all the actual records with that particular search-key value.
- □ Secondary indices <u>have to be dense.</u>

### **Primary and Secondary Indices**

- □ Indices offer substantial benefits when searching for records.
- BUT: Updating indices imposes overhead on database modification
  - When a file is modified, every index on the file must be updated.
- □ Sequential scan using primary index is efficient.
- □ But a sequential scan using a secondary index is expensive.
  - Each record access may fetch a new block from disk
  - Block fetch requires about 5 to 10 milliseconds, versus about 100 nanoseconds for memory access

### **B+-Tree Index Files**

B<sup>+</sup>-tree file organization is an alternative to indexed-sequential files.

- Disadvantage of indexed-sequential files
  - Performance degrades as file grows, since many overflow blocks get created.
  - Periodic reorganization of entire file is required.
- □ Advantage of B<sup>+</sup>-tree files:
  - Automatically reorganizes itself with small, local, changes, in the face of insertions and deletions.
  - Reorganization of entire file is not required to maintain performance.
- □ (Minor) disadvantage of B<sup>+</sup>-trees:
  - Extra insertion and deletion overhead, space overhead.
- □ Advantages of B<sup>+</sup>-trees outweigh disadvantages
  - □ B<sup>+</sup>-trees are used extensively



### B<sup>+</sup>-Tree Index

- □ A B<sup>+</sup>-tree is a rooted tree satisfying the following properties:
  - □ All paths from root to leaf are of the same length
  - Each node that is not a root or a leaf has between  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  and *n* children.
  - □ A leaf node has between  $\lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil$  and n-1 values
  - □ Special cases:
    - □ If the root is not a leaf, it has at least 2 children.
    - □ If the root is a leaf (i.e., there are no other nodes in the tree), it can have between 0 and (*n*−1) values.

### **B+-Tree Node Structure**

□ Node structure:

$P_1$	<i>K</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>P</i> <sub>2</sub>	•••	<i>P</i> <sub><i>n</i>-1</sub>	<i>K</i> <sub><i>n</i>-1</sub>	$P_n$
-------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----	--------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------

 $\Box$  *K<sub>i</sub>* are <u>values</u> of the search key

 $\square$  *P<sub>i</sub>* are <u>pointers</u> to children (for non-leaf nodes) or pointers to records or buckets of records (for leaf nodes).

□ The search-key values in a node are <u>ordered</u>

 $K_1 < K_2 < K_3 < \ldots < K_{n-1}$ 

(We assume no duplicate keys)

### Leaf Nodes in B<sup>+</sup>-Trees

- Properties of a leaf node:
  - □ For i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, pointer  $P_i$  points to a file record with searchkey value  $K_i$ ,
  - □ If  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  are leaf nodes and i < j,  $L_i$ 's search-key values are less than  $L_i$ 's search-key values
  - □ *P<sub>n</sub>* points to the next leaf node in search-key order leaf node



### Non-Leaf Nodes in B<sup>+</sup>-Trees

- Non-leaf nodes form a multi-level sparse index on the leaf nodes.
- □ For a non-leaf node with *n* pointers:
  - □ All the search-keys K in the sub-tree to which  $P_1$  points are less than  $K_1$  ( $K < K_1$ )
  - □ For  $2 \le i \le n-1$ , all the search-keys in the sub-tree to which  $P_i$  points have values K greater than or equal to  $K_{i-1}$  and less than  $K_i$   $(K_{i-1} \le K < K_i)$
  - □ All the search-keys in the sub-tree to which  $P_n$  points have values *K* greater than or equal to  $K_{n-1}$  ( $K_{n-1} \le K$ )





 $\mathbf{D}$  -tree of *matrie* for *matrice* of the (n =

Leaf nodes must have

between 3 and 5 values ( $\lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil$  and n-1, with n = 6).

Non-leaf nodes other than root must have

□ between 3 and 6 children ( $\lceil (n/2 \rceil \text{ and } n \text{ with } n = 6)$ ).

Root must have at least 2 children.

### **Observations about B+-trees**

- Since the inter-node connections are done by pointers,
  "logically" close blocks need not be "physically" close.
- □ The non-leaf levels of the B<sup>+</sup>-tree form a hierarchy of sparse indices.
- □ The B<sup>+</sup>-tree contains a relatively small number of levels

Level below root has at least 2\* [n/2] values

Next level has at least 2\* [n/2] \* [n/2] values

□ etc.

- □ If there are *m* search-key values in the file, the tree height is no more than  $\lceil \log_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}(m) \rceil$
- □ thus searches can be conducted efficiently.
- Insertions and deletions to the main file can be handled efficiently, as the index can be restructured in logarithmic time (as we shall see).

### Queries on B<sup>+</sup>-Trees

- □ Find record with a search-key value *V*.
  - 1. Set C=root
  - 2. While C is not a leaf node
    - 1. Let *i* be the least value such that  $V < K_i$
    - 2. If no such exists, Let i be index of last non-null pointer in C
    - 3. Set C = node that  $P_i$  points to

// now we are in a leaf node

- 1. Let *i* be the value such that  $K_i = V$
- 2. If there is such a value *i*, follow pointer  $P_i$  to the desired record.
- 3. Else no record with search-key value k exists.



## Queries on B+-Trees (Cont.)

### **Query evaluation efficiency:**

- □ Tree height is no more than  $\lceil \log_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}(m) \rceil$ 
  - □ where *m* is the number of search-key values in the file
  - □ *n* is B<sup>+</sup>-tree arity (number of fan-outs)
- □ A node is generally the same size as a disk block, typically 8 KB

and *n* is typically around 200 (40 bytes per index entry).

- □ With 1 million search key values and n = 200
  - at most  $log_{100}(1,000,000) = 3$  nodes are accessed in a lookup.
- Contrast this with a balanced binary tree with 1 million search key values around 20 nodes are accessed in a lookup
  - above difference is significant since every node access may need a disk I/O, costing around 20 milliseconds

### Range (interval) queries:

- Look for the lower boundary of the interval
- Use leaf-node chaining to inspect next siblings
  - Stop when a key value greater than the upper boundary is found

### Updates on B<sup>+</sup>-Trees: Insertion

- 1. Find the leaf node in which the search-key value would appear
  - 1. (See query algorithm)
- 2. If the search-key value is already present in the leaf node
  - 1. Add the new record to the file
  - 2. If necessary, add a pointer to the bucket, which stores pointers to all records of the same search-key.
- 3. If the search-key value is not present, then
  - 1. Add the new record to the file
  - 2. If there is room in the leaf node, insert (key-value, pointer) pair in the leaf node
  - 3. Otherwise, split the node

(along with the new (key-value, pointer) entry) as discussed in the next slide.

## Updates on B<sup>+</sup>-Trees: Insertion (Cont.)

- □ Splitting a leaf node:
  - Take the *n* (search-key value, pointer) pairs (including the one being inserted) in sorted order.
    - □ Place the first  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  in the original node, and the rest in a new node.
  - Let the new node be *p*, and let *k* be the least key value in *p*.

□ Insert (k,p) in the parent of the node being split.

- □ If the parent is full, split it and **propagate** the split further up.
- □ Splitting of nodes proceeds upwards till a node that is not full, is found.
  - In the worst case, the root node may be split increasing the height of the tree by 1.



Next step: Insert entry with (Califieri, pointer-to-new-node) into parent





## Insertion in B<sup>+</sup>-Trees (Cont.)

- Splitting a non-leaf node: when inserting (k,p) into an already full internal node N
  - Copy N to an in-memory area M with space for n+1 pointers and n keys
  - Insert (k,p) into M (keep all items sorted!)
  - □ Copy  $P_1, K_1, ..., K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil 1}, P_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$  from M back into node N
  - $\Box \quad Copy \ P_{\lceil n/2 \rceil+1}, K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil+1}, \dots, K_n, P_{n+1} \ from \ M \ into \ newly \ allocated \ node \ N'$
  - □ Insert ( $K_{[n/2]}$ , N') into the parent of N



BKM\_DATS, Vlastislav Dohnal, FI MUNI, 2022

## Hashing

- □ In a hash file organization we obtain the address of a record directly from its search-key value using a hash function.
  - Address is typically a **bucket** a unit of storage containing one or more records
    - □ A bucket corresponds to a disk block.
- □ Hash function *h* 
  - a function from the set of all search-key values K to the set of all bucket addresses B.
  - □ used to locate records for access, insertion as well as deletion.
- Records with different search-key values may be mapped to the same bucket
  - thus entire bucket has to be searched sequentially to locate a record.

## Example of Hash File Organization

### □ Hash file organization of *instructor* file, using *dept\_name* as the key.

bucket 0

#### bucket 1

15151	Mozart	Music	40000

#### bucket 2

32343	El Said	History	80000
58583	Califieri	History	60000

bucket 3

22222	Einstein	Physics	95000
33456	Gold	Physics	87000
98345	Kim	Elec. Eng.	80000

bucket 4

12121	Wu	Finance	90000
76543	Singh	Finance	80000

#### bucket 5

76766	Crick	Biology	72000

#### bucket 6

10101	Srinivasan	Comp. Sci.	65000
45565	Katz	Comp. Sci.	75000
83821	Brandt	Comp. Sci.	92000

#### bucket 7

BKM\_DATS, Vlastislav Dohnal, FI MUNI, 2022

### Example of Hash File Organization

- □ Hash function on *dept\_name* can be defined as:
  - The binary representation of the i-th character in the alphabet is assumed to be the integer i.

□ E.g. A = 1, B = 2, ...

The hash function returns the sum of the binary representations of all the characters modulo 8.

□ i.e., there are 8 buckets.

E.g.

- □ h(Music) = 1 (**M**=13, **u**=21, **s**=19, **i**=9, **c**=3 => 65 mod 8 => 1)
- h(History) = 2
- $\square h(Physics) = 3$
- □ h(Elec. Eng.) = 3

### Hash Functions

- Worst hash function maps all search-key values to the same bucket
  - this makes access time proportional to the number of search-key values in the file.
- An ideal hash function
  - uniform = each bucket is assigned the same number of search-key values from the set of *all* possible values.
  - random = each bucket will have the same number of records assigned to it irrespective of the actual distribution of search-key values in the file.
- Typical hash functions perform computation on the internal binary representation of the search-key.
  - □ Example for a numeric search-key:
    - a value V could be multiplied by a prime number and the result module the number of buckets could be returned.

## Handling of Bucket Overflows

- Collision occurs when two *different search-key* values are hashed to the same address (bucket).
- Bucket overflow can occur because of
  - Insufficient bucket size
  - Skew in distribution of records. This can occur due to two reasons:
    - multiple records have the same search-key value
    - chosen hash function produces non-uniform distribution of key values
- Although the probability of bucket overflow can be reduced, it cannot be eliminated; it is handled by using
  - Overflow buckets
  - Collision function

## Handling of Bucket Overflows (Cont.)

- Overflow chaining the overflow buckets of a given bucket are chained together in a linked list.
  - □ This scheme is called **closed hashing**.



- An alternative, called **open hashing**, which does not use overflow buckets, is not suitable for database applications.
  - A collision function is defined (it computes an alternative address for storing the record).

BKM\_DATS, Vlastislav Dohnal, FI MUNI, 2022

### Hash Indices

- Hashing can be used not only for file organization, but also for indexstructure creation.
- □ A hash index organizes the search keys, with their associated record pointers, into a hash file structure.
- □ Strictly speaking, hash indices are always dense indices
  - □ If the file itself is organized using hashing, a separate primary hash index on it using the same search-key is unnecessary.
- □ Hash indices are typically used as secondary indices
  - However, we use the term <u>hash index</u> to refer to both secondary index structures and hash organized files.

# Example of Hash Index



bucket 1 45565 76543

Hash function = sum of all digits modulo 8 e.g. 7+6+7+6+6=32 mod 8

bucket 2

33456

83821

bucket 6

bucket 7 12121

32343

22222	76766	Crick	Biology	72000
	10101	Srinivasan	Comp. Sci.	65000
hugkat 2	45565	Katz	Comp. Sci.	75000
	83821	Brandt	Comp. Sci.	92000
	98345	Kim	Elec. Eng.	80000
	12121	Wu	Finance	90000
bucket 4	76543	Singh	Finance	80000
	32343	El Said	History	60000
	58583	Califieri	History	62000
	15151	Mozart	Music	40000
bucket 5	22222	Einstein	Physics	95000
	33465	Gold	Physics	87000

### hash index on instructor on attribute ID

BKM\_DATS, Vlastislav Dohnal, FI MUNI, 2022

### **Deficiencies of Hashing**

- Static hashing: the function h maps search-key values to a fixed set of bucket addresses. Databases grow or shrink with time.
  - If initial number of buckets is too small, and file grows, performance will degrade due to too much overflows.
  - If space is allocated for anticipated growth, a significant amount of space will be wasted initially (and buckets will be under-filled).
  - □ If database shrinks, again space will be wasted.
- One solution
  - Periodic re-organization of the file with a new hash function
  - □ Expensive, disrupts normal operations
- Better solution
  - □ Allow the number of buckets to be modified dynamically.

### **Dynamic Hashing**

- Good for database that grows and shrinks in size
- □ Allows the hash function to be modified dynamically
- Dynamic hashing (subject of course PV062 File Organizations)
  - Allows incremental growth / shrinkage of address space
  - Extensible hashing
    - Directory of bucket pointers
  - Linear hashing
    - Bucket address space is linearly increased

### Comparison of Ordered Indexing and Hashing

### Hashing

- constant query time
  - constant time to compute address
  - Inear time when overflow buckets are present or a collision function defined
    - usually inevitable
- □ type of query
  - exact match (records having a specified search-key value)
  - range search almost impossible
- □ Indexing
  - Iogarithmic query time
  - □ type of query
    - exact match
    - □ range search (in B<sup>+</sup> trees, very good efficiency)

### Comparison of Ordered Indexing and Hashing

- □ Cost of periodic re-organization
- □ Relative frequency of insertions and deletions
- Is it desirable to optimize average access time at the expense of worst-case access time?
- □ In practice:
  - PostgreSQL supports hash indices but only single-column indexes.
    - Values are not stored in the index, but rather their 4-byte hash codes only.
  - Oracle supports a static hash organization but not hash indices.
  - □ SQLServer supports B<sup>+</sup>-trees only.

### Index Definition in SQL

Create an index

create index <index-name> on <relation-name>
 (<attribute-list>)

E.g.: create index branch\_index on branch(branch\_name)

Drop an index

### drop index <index-name>

Most database systems allow specification of type of index, and clustering.