## Classwork N°11 due to 11th May 2012

Exercise: "Application of combinators to natural language analysis: Quantification"

## 1. Universal and existential quantifiers

Please represent the following sentences using the operators of the universal or existential quantification. Are there ambiguous sentences having more than one reading?

Every: $(\forall x)[P(x) =>Q(x)]$	
Some: $(\exists x)[P(x) \& Q(x)]$	

Every:	$(\lambda P.\lambda Q((\forall x)[P(x) => Q(x)]))$	
Some:	$(\lambda P.\lambda Q((\exists x)[P(x) \& Q(x)]))$	

- a) All cats are mammals.
- b) Some cats were sleeping by the fire.
- *c*) Some chairs are in the lounge.
- d) Every man loves a woman.
- e) A boy kissed every girl.
- 2. Formal semantic analysis of the quantifiers

Give the analysis of the following sentences which contain the quantifiers using the combinators. The illative quantifiers  $\Pi_2$  and  $\Sigma_2$  are defined in term of the combinators.

$$[\Pi_{2} =_{def} ((B(CB^{2})\Phi) => \Pi_{1})] \qquad [\Sigma_{2} =_{def} (B(CB^{2})\Phi) \& \Sigma_{1}]$$

- f) Some girl likes Fred
- g) Every boy admires a saxophonist (ambiguous)
- h) Every man knows Dexter
- i) Several girls carried a box (ambiguous)