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## Lecture 3

## - Continue about the theory of combinators

- Interesting book
"To mock a Mockingbird and Other Logic Puzzles: including an Amazing Adventure in Combinatory Logic" by Raymond Smullyan (1985)
$\rightarrow$ combinator Birds
B from Bluebird, C from Cardinal, I from Identity Bird, $K$ from Kestrel, s from starling, and so on.


## Theory of combinators

- Combinatory base: S and K

All combinators can be defined from the two combinators $S$ and $K$.

- Combinators, called elemantary: I, K, B, W, C, S, $\Phi, \Psi$
- All combinators work with the elimination ( $e_{\mathbf{~}}$ ) and the introduction (i.) rules.
- All combiantors are defined by $\beta$-reduction: $X_{f g x} \ldots \rightarrow \beta$ fgx....


## Introduction and elimination rules (in Gentzen style)

- Rules analogues to the rules of the natural deduction of Gentzen
- Rules introduce or eliminate the logical constants
: $\Rightarrow$ of implication, \& of conjonction, $v$ of disjonction

Rule of elimination
$p \quad p=>q$
-------- [e。=>]
$q$
$p \& q$
----[e-\&]
p

Rule of introduction
$q$
-------------- $\left[i_{0}=>\right]$
$p \Rightarrow>q$
$p \& q$
$p, q$
---- $[i-\&]$
$p \& q$

## Comment:

a. With each of the simpler combinators we shall associate a rule of reduction, designated by the symbol for the combinator between parentheses.
b. This rule states that when the combinator in question is applied sucessively to a (finite ) series of variables, the resulting combination reduces to a certain combination of those variables.
c. This reduction will follow from the definition of the combinator by ( $\beta-$ ) rule.
d. And we shall use lower case italic letters for unspecified variables. The letters $x, y, z$ will often be used, when in the usual application, the variable is thought of as a function or an argument.

- The combinator $B$ takes two functiors $f$ and $g$ and composes the function $g$ with the argument $x_{0} \rightarrow$ composition
$B f g x \longrightarrow \beta f(g x)$


The introduction and elimination rule of the combinator $B$

| $(\mathrm{Bfg})(\mathrm{x})$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}))$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ---------------- |  |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}))$ | $(\mathrm{Bfg})(\mathrm{x})$ |

- The combinator $w$ takes one functor $f$ and applies the functor $f$ to the argument $x$ by duplicating the argument $x_{0} \rightarrow$ duplication


The introduction and elimination rule of the combiantor $W$

| (Wf) (x) | $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ ) (x) | (Wf) (x) |

- The combinator $C$ takes one functor $f$ and two arguments $x$ and $y_{0}$. The elimination of the combinator $C$ by $\beta$-reduction allows to converse the position of the arguement $x$ with $y_{0} \rightarrow$ conversion

$$
\text { Cfxy } \quad \longrightarrow \beta \quad \text { fyx }
$$



The introduction and elimination rule of the combinator $C$

| $((C f)(x))(y)$ | $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ ) (x) | (Cf) (x)) (y) |

- The combinator $\Phi$ takes three functors $f, g$, and $h$, and the functor $g$ and $h$ become intricate with the argument $x_{0} \rightarrow$ intrication


## $\Phi f g h x \longrightarrow \beta f(g x)(h x)$



The introduction and elimination rule of the combinator $\Phi$

| ( $\Phi$ fgh) ( x ) | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{gx})(\mathrm{hx})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{gx})(\mathrm{hx})$ | ( $\Phi$ fgh) ( x ) |

- The combinator C* takes an argument $x$ (operand) and transforms it in functor(operator). $\rightarrow$ transformation of operand to operator
$\left(C^{*} x\right) f \longrightarrow \beta f x$


The introduction and elimination rule of the combinator $C *$

| (C*x) (f) | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\left(C^{*} \mathrm{x}\right)(\mathrm{f})$ |

- $\Psi f g x y \rightarrow f(g x)(g y)$
the combinator $\Psi$ takes two functions $f$ and $g$ and composes with the arguments $x$ and $y$ by distributing the second function $g$ to each of them. $\rightarrow$ distribution



## $\beta$-reduction

| I( $\mathbf{x}$ ) | -> $\beta$ | x |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Wf)(x) | -> $\beta$ | $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ )(x) | = fxx |
| (Cf) $(\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{x})$ | -> $\beta$ | $(f(x))(\mathrm{y})$ | = fxy |
| $(\mathrm{Bfg})(\mathrm{x})$ | -> $\beta$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$ ) | $=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{gx})$ |
| (¢fgh)(x) | -> $\beta$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$ )( $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})$ | $=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{gx})(\mathrm{hx})$ |
| $(\Psi f g)(\mathrm{x})$ )(y) | -> $\beta$ | $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}))$ )(g) | $)=f(g x)(\mathrm{gy})$ |
| $(\mathrm{Sfg})(\mathrm{x})$ | -> $\beta$ | $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}))(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$ | $=f x(g x)$ |
| (Kf)(x) | -> $\beta$ | $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{x})$ | $=\mathrm{fyx}$ |
| $\left(C^{*} \mathrm{x}\right)(\mathrm{f})$ | -> $\beta$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $=\mathrm{fx}$ |

- Examples of $\beta$-reduction: $X \geq \beta \quad Y$

By the scheme of $\beta$-reduction [B]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(f x) g x \geq_{\beta} f x(g x) \\
& X=x y(B x y z) \cup T \geq_{\beta} \quad x y(x(y z)) \cup T=y
\end{aligned}
$$

By the scheme of $\beta$-reduction [W]

$$
X=B(W x y) U \geq_{\beta} \quad B(x y y) U \quad=Y
$$

We use here the infix $\leq$ to denote the relation converse to $\geq$.

## Interdefinability of simple combinators

- Certain of the combinators can be defined by the others.
$\Rightarrow$ by the way that the reduction rule for the derived combinator will follow from its definition and the reduction rule for the basic combinators.


## Important point

We shall show that (1) $W, S, \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ can be so defined in terms of $B, C$ and either $W$ or $S$ (see the classwork $n^{\circ} 3$ ); (2) that I can be defined in terms of $W$ and $K$; and (3) that all the combinators on the list can be expressed in terms of $s$ and $K$ (refer to the classwork $n^{\circ} 2$ : completeness of the $s-K$ base).

Properties of $B$-Theorems

Theorem 1. If $x$ is a regular combinaotr and $y$ a combinator; then $x \cdot y$ applied to a sequence of arguments performs upon them first the transoformation $x$, then the transformation $Y$.

Example:
$C$ performs a permutation, $W$ performs a duplication, $C \cdot W$ performs a permutation, then a duplication on the result:

$$
(C \cdot W) f_{x y} \geq C(W f) x y \geq W f_{y x} \geq f_{y y x}
$$

Theorem 2. The product is associative, i.e.,

$$
x \cdot(y \cdot z)=(x \cdot y) \cdot z
$$

Proof

$$
\text { a. } \begin{aligned}
((X \cdot y) \cdot Z) f & \geq B(B X Y) Z f \\
& \geq B X Y(Z f) \\
& \geq X(Y(Z f)) \\
\text { b. }(X(Y Z)) f & \geq B X(B Y Z) f \\
& \geq X(B Y Z f) \\
& \geq X(Y(Z f))
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. The product is distributive with respect to pre-application of $B$, io.,

$$
B(X \cdot Y)=B X \cdot B Y
$$

Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(X \cdot Y) & f_{x} \geq(X \cdot Y)\left(f_{x}\right) \\
& \geq X\left(Y\left(f_{x}\right)\right. \\
\leq & X\left(B Y f_{x}\right) \\
\leq & B X(B Y f) \times \\
\leq & (B X \cdot B Y) f_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Power of combinators

- Definition: We define the powers of a combinatory composite by natural induction thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{0}={ }_{\text {def }} I \\
& X^{1}={ }_{\operatorname{def}} X \\
& X^{n+1}=\operatorname{def} X \cdot X^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

given the combinatorial expression $X$ :

- From these definitions, it is possible to deduce the following $x, x^{2}, x^{3}$, that is, $X, X \cdot X, X \cdot X \cdot X$,
- Application to the combinators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{2} f_{x y} \geq C(C f) x y \geq C f_{y x} \geq f_{x y} \\
& W^{2} f_{x} \geq W\left(W f_{x}\right) \geq W f_{x x} \geq f_{x x x} \\
& K^{2} f_{x y} \geq K(K f) x y \geq K f_{y} \geq f
\end{aligned}
$$

- $B^{2} f x y z \equiv B(B f) x y z \geq B f(x y) z \geq f((x y) z) \equiv f(x y z)$

Theorem: For any expressions $u, x, y, z_{1} \ldots \ldots, z_{n^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{n} U X Z_{1} \ldots Z_{n} \geq U\left(X Z_{1} \ldots Z_{n}\right) \\
& \Phi^{n} U X Y Z_{1} \ldots Z_{n} \geq U\left(X Z_{1} \ldots Z_{n}\right)\left(Y Z_{1} \ldots Z_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summing up-combinators

| combinators | $\beta$-reduction |
| :---: | :---: |
| $B$ for functional composition | Bxyz $\quad \geq_{\beta} \quad x(y z)$ |
| I for identity | $\underline{\mathrm{I}} \times \geq_{\beta} \times$ |
| $c$ for conversion | Cxyz $\geq_{\beta}{ }^{\chi(z y)}$ |
| W for duplication | $W_{x y} \geq_{\beta} x y y$ |
| $s$ for distribution | $S_{x y z} \quad \geq_{\beta} \quad x z(y z)$ |
| $K$ for effacement | $K x y \geqslant \beta x$ |
| C* for transposition | $\mathrm{C}^{*} x y \geq_{\beta}{ }^{\text {y }}$ |
| $\Phi$ for intrication | $\Phi x y z u \geq_{\beta} \times(y u)(z u)$ |
| $\Psi$ for distribution | $\psi x y z u \quad \geq_{\beta} x(y z)(y u)$ |

Summing up-theorems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S K K \times x \rightarrow x(y z) \\
& S(K S) K \times y z \rightarrow(y x) \\
& S(K(S I)) K \times y \quad \rightarrow(y, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
[S(K(S I))(S(K K) I)=C]
$$

**Completeness of the $s-K$ base

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S & K \\
=I
\end{array}\right]
$$

$[S(K S) K=B]$
$[S(K(S I)) K=C]$

Next week...

- About the Combinators vs $\lambda$-conversion and the application of the combinators to natural language analysis

