

# **PV213 Enterprise Information Systems** in Practice

09 – Testing



Tento projekt je spolufinancován Evropským sociálním fondem a státním rozpočtem České republiky.







INVESTICE DO ROZVOJE VZDĚLÁVÁNÍ

0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	0		0		0		0		0		0	
0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	0		0		0		0		0		0	
0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	0		0		0		0		0		0	
0		0		0		0		0		0		0
	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	_	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	

Tento projekt je spolufinancován Evropským sociálním fondem a státním rozpočtem České republiky.







OP Vzdělávání pro konkurenceschopnost



#### INVESTICE DO ROZVOJE VZDĚLÁVÁNÍ

# 0 1 0

#### MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

# **Content of this presentation**

- Purpose of testing
- Test process
- Multilevel testing
- Static techniques
- Blackbox and Whitebox testing
- Risk-based testing strategy
- Test-driven development
- Test automation and regression testing

# Testing

Process of validating and verifying that a product fits requirements



# **Reason for testing**

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

- Human activity  $\rightarrow$  errors
- SW works unexpectly
  - system may fail (or do something it shouldn't)
  - Ioosing money
  - time or business reputation
  - injury, even death
- Defects in software, system or document may result in failures, but not all defect do so

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

# AT&T Phone System Crash, 1990

- What happened
  - Mal-function in central server led to chain reaction
  - Service outage of half of the system for 9 hours
  - Loss of 75 million dollars damage for AT&T
- Reasons
  - Wrong usage of break command
  - Software update directly in largest part of the system

```
switch expression {
 . . .
 case (value):
    if (logical) {
       statement;
       break;
    } else {
       statement;
    }
    statement;
   ...
}
```

# Role of testing

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

- Reduce risk of problems occurring during operation
- Quality assurance activity
- Requirement in contract, industry-specific standards
- How much testing needed ?
  - Depends on the level of risk (technical, business, safety) and project constraints (time, budget)
  - Should provide sufficient information to stakeholders to make decision about the release for the next development phase or handover to the customers

www.muni.cz

# Tests as % of overall development

$\wedge$	
—	Aerospace, Nuclear Power Plants, (90%)
	Lotus 1-2-3 (66%)
	Microsoft Windows (50%)
	Microsoft, Borland, Novell, WordPerfect (33%)
	Internal survey (30%)
4	Internal survey of teams in UK (25%)
00	%
I	
	PV213 EIS in Practice: 09 - Testing

# **Testing activities**

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

- Planning and control
- Choosing test approach
- Design and test case execution
- Checking results
- Evaluating exit criteria
- Reporting results
- Tracking bugs
- Review of documents, source code, ...
- Conducting static/dynamic analysis



0 🗆 0 🗆 0 🗆 0 🗆 0 🗆 0 🗆 0

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

ОП

www.muni.cz



# **Basic Testing Principles**

- Principle 1 Testing shows presence of defects
- Principle 2 Exhaustive testing is impossible
- Principle 3 Early testing
- Principle 4 Defect clustering
- Principle 5 Pesticide paradox
- Principle 6 Testing is context dependent
- Principle 7 Absence-of-errors fallacy

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0



# Historical view

Show it works (demonstration)	Find defects (detection)	Measure quality Evaluation (prediction)	Influence quality Control quality (prevention)	Optimisation Improvement (process)
1950s view	1975s view	1980s view	1990s view	2000s view

Time

# **Test process**

попоп

0 🗆 0 🗆 0 🗆 0 🗆 0 🗆 0 🗆 0

- Test planning and control
- Test analysis and design
- Test implementation and execution
- Evaluating exit criteria and reporting
- Test closure activities



Although logically sequential, the activities in the process may overlap or take place concurrently.

Tailoring these main activities within the context of the system and the project is usually required.

# **Multilevel testing**

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

- A common type off V-model uses four test levels, corresponding to the four development levels.
  - Component (unit) testing



# **Multilevel testing – Component Testing**

- Test basis:
  - Component requirements
  - Detailed design
  - Code
- Typical test objects:
  - Components
  - Programs
  - Data conversion / migration programs
  - Database modules

# **Multilevel testing – Integration Testing**

- Test basis:
  - Software and system design
  - Architecture
  - Workflows
  - Use cases
- Typical test objects:
  - Subsystems
  - Database implementation
  - Infrastructure
  - Interfaces
  - System configuration and configuration data

# **Multilevel testing – System Testing**

- Test basis:
  - System and software requirement specification
  - Use cases
  - Functional specification
  - Risk analysis reports
- Typical test objects:
  - System, user and operation manuals
  - System configuration and configuration data

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1

# **Multilevel testing – Acceptance Testing**

- Test basis:
  - User requirements
  - System requirements
  - Use cases
  - Business processes
  - Risk analysis reports
- Typical test objects:
  - Business processes on fully integrated system
  - Operational and maintenance processes
  - User procedures
  - Forms
  - Reports
  - Configuration data

# Multilevel testing – Alpha and Beta testing

Developers of market, software often want to get feedback from potential or existing customers in their market before the software product is put up for sale commercially.

- Alpha testing is performed at the developing organization's site but not by the developing team.
- Beta testing, or field-testing, is performed by customers or potential customers at their own locations.

# Test exit criteria - unit and integration tests examples

- All unit and integration tests and results are documented
- There can be no High severity bugs
- There must be 100% statement coverage
- There must be 100% coverage of all programming specifications
- The results of a code walkthrough and they are documented and acceptable

### 0</t

# Test exit criteria - system tests examples

- All test cases must be documented and run
- 90% of all test cases must pass
- All test cases high risk must pass
- All medium and high defects must be fixed
- Code coverage must be at least 90% (including unit and integration tests)

# Test exit criteria - acceptance tests examples

- There can be no medium or high severity bugs
- There can be no more than 2 bugs in any one feature or 50 bugs total
- At least one test case must pass for every requirement
- Test cases 23, 25 and 38-72 must all pass
- 8 out of 10 experienced bank clerks must be able to process a loan document in 1 hour or less using only the on-line help system
- The system must be able to process 1000 loan applications/hour
- The system must be able to provide an average response time of under 1 second per screen shift with up to 100 users on the system
- The users must sign off on the results

# Test exit criteria - also possible

- Time is over
- Budget is used up
- The boss says "ship it!"
- Testing is never finished, it's stopped!
- Software products are never released, they escape!

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

### Static techniques: Static code analysis

- Typical defects discovered by static analysis tools include:
  - Referencing a variable with an undefined value
  - Inconsistent interfaces between modules and components
  - Variables that are not used or are improperly declared
  - Unreachable (dead) code
  - Missing and erroneous logic (potentially infinite loops)
  - Overly complicated constructs
  - Programming standards violations
  - Security vulnerabilities
  - Syntax violations of code and software models

### Static code analysis tools

# Black box and white box testing

#### Black box testing

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

No knowledge of internal implementation (user view)



#### White box testing

Internal implementation is know and usually also tested





# **Risk-based testing strategy**

- Base the testing strategy on business goals and priorities
   => Risk-based testing strategy
- No risk = No test
- Risk =  $P \times D$ 
  - P ... probability of failure
  - D ... damage (consequnce & cost for business & test & usage)

	0		0		0		0		0		0		
0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
	0		0		0		0		0		0		
0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
	0		0		0		0		0		0		
0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
	0		0		0		0		0		0		
0		0		0		0		0		0		0	

www.muni.cz



# medium high Damage (consequence, cost)

PV213 EIS in Practice: 09 - Testing

low

0 🗆	0		0		0		0		0		0
		0		0		0		0		0	
0 🗆	0		0		0		0		0		0
		0		0		0		0		0	
0 🗆	0		0		0		0		0		0
		0		0		0		0		0	
0 🗆	0		0		0		0		0		0
		$\bigcirc$									



#### MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

# **Test data selection**

- Best guess
  - Intuition and hope and luck
- Random choice
  - Expert know-how
- All combinations
  - Every combination used in test cases
  - Suitable for trivial cases
- Each choice
  - Each value of each parameter to be included in at least one test case

# 0 1 0

#### MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

# **Test Automation**

- SW to control test execution
  - Code driven testing
  - Graphical user interface testing
- Limitations (Minefield metaphor)



#### MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

# **Test-Driven Development (TDD)**



#### MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

# **TDD - calculator example**

x	у	add()	subtract()	multiply()	divide()
0	0	0	0	0	error
1	1	2	0	1	1
4	2	6	2	8	2
9	3	12	6	27	3
35	5	40	30	175	7

public class CalculatorFixture extends ColumnFixture {

```
public int x;
public int y;
public int add() { return 0; }
public int subtract() { return 0; }
public int multiply() { return 0; }
public int divide() { return 0; }
```

#### MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

## **TDD - calculator example**

x	у	add()	subtract()	multiply()	divide()
0	0	0	0	0	expected: error
1	1	expected: 2 actual: 0	0	expected: 1 actual: 0	expected: 1 actual: 0
4	2	expected: 6 actual: 0	expected: 2 actual: 0	expected: 8 actual: 0	expected: 2 actual: 0
9	3	expected: 12 actual: 0	expected: 6 actual: 0	expected: 27 actual: 0	expected: 3 actual: 0
35	5	expected: 40 actual: 0	expected: 30 actual: 0	expected: 175 actual: 0	expected: 7 actual: 0

public class CalculatorFixture extends ColumnFixture {

```
public int x;
public int y;
public int add() { return 0; }
public int subtract() { return 0; }
public int multiply() { return 0; }
public int divide() { return 0; }
```

#### MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

# **TDD - calculator example**

x	у	add()	subtract()	multiply()	divide()
0	0	0	0	0	expected: error
1	1	2	0	expected: 1 actual: 0	expected: 1 actual: 0
4	2	6	2	expected: 8 actual: 0	expected: 2 actual: 0
9	3	12	6	expected: 27 actual: 0	expected: 3 actual: 0
35	5	40	30	expected: 175 actual: 0	expected: 7 actual: 0

public class CalculatorFixture extends ColumnFixture {

```
public int x;
public int y;
public int add() { return x+y; }
public int subtract() { return x-y; }
public int multiply() { return 0; }
public int divide() { return 0; }
```

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 1
 1
 1

# Result of the refactoring

```
public class Calculator {
public int plus(x, y) { return x + y; }
public int minus(x, y) { return x - y; }
public int times(x, y) { return x * y; }
public int divide(x, y) { return x / y; }
}
public class CalculatorFixture extends ColumnFixture {
 public int x;
 public int y;
  private Calculator calc;
  public CalculatorFixture() { calc = new Calculator(); }
  public int add() { return calc.plus(x,y); }
  public int subtract() { return calc.minus(x,y); }
  public int multiply() { return calc.times(x,y); }
  public int divide() { return calc.divide(x,y); }
```

# **Regression Testing**

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

- The fundamental problem with software maintenance is that fixing a defect has a substantial (20-50 %) chance of introducing another. Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., 1995
- When you fix one bug, you introduce several newer bugs.
- ISTQB Glossary (2007)
  - Testing of a previously tested program following modification to ensure that defects have not been introduced or uncovered in unchanged areas of the software, as a result of the changes made. It is performed when the software or its environment is changed.

# **Regression Testing - test selection strategy**

Retest all

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

- Retest by risk priority, severity, criticality
- Retest by profile (frequency of usage)
- Retest changed parts
- Retest parts that are influenced by changes

# Some characteristics of good testing

- For every development activity there is a corresponding testing activity
- Each test level has test objectives specific to that level
- The analysis and design of tests for a given test level should begin during the corresponding development activity
- Testers should be involved in reviewing documents as soon as drafts are available in the development life cycle

# **Continuous Integration example**

- Production
- Module Tests, run in "common mode"
  - ≥ 4,000
  - Note: PureCov & Purify on a weekly base
- Automated Installation
- Pre-Integration Tests
  - 100 (as far as they fit into the 24h-frame)
  - Sanity Tests (on target-machine)
- Bring-Up & Sanity Tests
  - Plus Integration Regression Tests that fit into a 24-hours run
- Integration tests
  - Cca 1,800 fully automated regression and feature tests



example

#### MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

www.muni.cz

# **Development tools** JUnit Apache Meter Test Implement Jenkins Deploy 5 SUBVERSION SQLFire tegrated SCM & Project Management artifactory Build Maven sonar

# Certifications

ISTQB (International Software Testing Qualifications Board)

# Next lessons

 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0

- 21.4. Introduction to Safety Critical Software Development in Aerospace (Radek)
- 28.4. Retrospective, final game (Ales)

0 [	0 נ		0		0		0		0		0
		0		0		0		0		0	
0 [	0		0		0		0		0		0
		0		0		0		0		0	
0 (	0		0		0		0		0		0
		0		0		0		0		0	
0 [	0 נ		0		0		0		0		0
		0		0		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$		0	

www.muni.cz

# Děkuji za pozornost.

Tento projekt je spolufinancován Evropským sociálním fondem a státním rozpočtem České republiky.









#### INVESTICE DO ROZVOJE VZDĚLÁVÁNÍ