## IA169 System Verification and Assurance

# Verification of Systems with Probabilities

Vojtěch Řehák

## Motivation example

#### Fail-repair system



What are the properties of the model?

- $G(\text{working} \implies F \text{ done}) \text{ NO}$
- $G(\text{working} \implies F \text{ error}) \text{ NO}$
- *FG*(working ∨ error ∨ repair) **NO**

# The example with probability

#### Fail-repair system



- What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working" with no visit of "error"?
- What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working" with at most one visit of "error"?
- What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working"?

# Discrete-time Markov Chains (DTMC)

#### Discrete-time Markov Chains (DTMC)

- Standard model for probabilistic systems.
- State based model with probabilities on branching.
- Based on the current state, the succeeding state is given by a discrete probability distribution.
- Markov property ("memorylessness") only the current state determines the successors (the past states are irrelevant).
- Probabilities on outgoing edges sums to 1 for each state.
- Hence, each state has at least one outgoing edge ("no deadlock").

#### Model of a queue



Queue for at most 4 items. In every time tick, a new item comes with probability 1/3 and an item is consumed with probability 2/3.

What if a new items comes with probability p = 1/2 and an item is consumed with probability q = 2/3?

#### Model of the new queue



## DTMC - formal definition

Deterministic Time Markov Chain is given by

- a set of states S,
- an initial state  $s_0$  of S,
- a probability matrix P:S imes S
  ightarrow [0,1], and
- an interpretation of atomic propositions  $I: S \rightarrow AP$ .



## Back to our questions

### Fail-Repair System



- What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working" with no visit of "error"?
- What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working" with at most one visit of "error"?
- What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working"?

## Markov chain analysis

### **Transient analysis**

- distribution after k-steps
- reaching/hitting probability
- hitting time

### Long run analysis

- probability of infinite hitting
- stationary (invariant) distribution
- mean inter visit time
- long run limit distribution

# **Property Specification**

## Property specification languages

Recall some non-probabilistic specification languages:

LTL formulae

$$\varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid X \varphi \mid \varphi \, U \varphi$$

#### CTL formulae

$$\varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid \mathsf{EX} \varphi \mid \mathsf{E}[\varphi \: \mathsf{U} \, \varphi] \mid \mathsf{EG} \, \varphi$$

### Syntax of CTL\*

state formula
$$\varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid E \psi$$
path formula $\psi ::= \varphi \mid \neg \psi \mid \psi \lor \psi \mid X \psi \mid \psi U \psi$ 

A169 System Verification and Assurance – 12

# Property specification languages

We need to quantify probability that a certain behaviour will occur.

Probabilistic Computation Tree Logic (PCTL)

Syntax of PCTL

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{state formula} & \varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid P_{\bowtie b} \psi \\ \text{path formula} & \psi ::= X \varphi \mid \varphi \, U \varphi \mid \varphi \, U^{\leq k} \varphi \end{array}$ 

where

- $b \in [0, 1]$  is a probability bound,
- $\bowtie \in \{\leq,<,\geq,>\},$  and
- $k \in \mathbf{N}$  is a bound on the number of steps.

A PCTL formula is always a state formula.

 $\alpha U^{\leq k} \beta$  is a bounded until saying that  $\alpha$  holds until  $\beta$  within k steps. For k = 3 it is equivalent to  $\beta \lor (\alpha \land X \beta) \lor (\alpha \land X (\beta \lor \alpha \land X \beta))$ .

Some tools also supports  $P_{=?}\psi$  asking for the probability that the specified behaviour will occur.

## PCTL examples

We can also use derived operators like G, F,  $\land$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ , etc.



**Probabilistic reachability**  $P_{\geq 1}(F \text{ done})$ 

• probability of reaching the state *done* is equal to 1

Probabilistic bounded reachability  $P_{>0.99}(F^{\leq 6} done)$ 

 $\bullet\,$  probability of reaching the state done in at most 6 steps is >0.99

**Probabilistic until**  $P_{<0.96}((\neg error) U(done))$ 

probability of reaching *done* with no visit of *error* is less than 0.96 IA169 System Verification and Assurance - 12

## Qualitative vs. quantitative properties

### Qualitative PCTL properties

•  $P_{\bowtie b} \psi$  where b is either 0 or 1

### Quantitative PCTL properties

•  $P_{\bowtie b}\psi$  where b is in (0,1)

In DTMC where zero probability edges are erased, it holds that

- P<sub>>0</sub>(X φ) is equivalent to EX φ
   there is a next state satisfying φ
- $P_{\geq 1}(X \varphi)$  is equivalent to  $AX \varphi$ 
  - $\bullet\,$  the next states satisfy  $\varphi$
- $P_{>0}(F\varphi)$  is equivalent to  $EF\varphi$ 
  - $\bullet\,$  there exists a finite path to a state satisfying  $\varphi$

### but

•  $P_{\geq 1}(F \varphi)$  is **not** equivalent to  $AF \varphi$ 

There is no CTL formula equivalent to  $P_{\geq 1}(F\varphi)$ , and no PCTL formula equivalent to  $AF\varphi$ .

A169 System Verification and Assurance – 12

## How the transient probabilities are computed?



	Γ0	1	0	0	0 ]
<i>P</i> =	0	0	0.05	0	0.95
	0	0	0	1	0
	0	1	0	0	0
	Lo	0	0	0	1

Probability in the k-th state when starting in 1

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.0025 & 0 & 0.9975 \end{bmatrix}$$

## How the transient probabilities are computed?



Probability of being in 5 or 2 in the k-th state

$$P \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.95 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$P^{2} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.95 & 0.95 & 1 & 0.95 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$P^{3} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.95 & 1 & 0.95 & 0.95 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$P^{4} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.9975 & 0.95 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$P^{5} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9975 & 0.9975 & 1 & 0.9975 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

### Unbounded reachability

Let p(s, A) be the probability of reaching a state in A from s.

It holds that:

• 
$$p(s,A) = 1$$
 for  $s \in A$ 

• 
$$p(s,A) = \sum_{s' \in succ(s)} P(s,s') * p(s',A)$$
 for  $s \notin A$ 

where succ(s) is a set of successors of s and P(s, s') is the probability on the edge from s to s'.

### Theorem

• The minimal non-negative solution of the above equations equals to the probability of unbounded reachability.

# Long Run Analysis

## Long run analysis



Recall that we reach the state 5(done) with probability 1.



What are the states visited infinitely often with probability 1?

A169 System Verification and Assurance – 12

### Transient and recurrent states

### Definitions

- A state of DMTC is called **transient** iff there is a positive probability that the system will not return back to this state.
- A state *s* of DMTC is called **recurrent** iff, starting from *s*, the system eventually returns back to the *s* with probability 1.

#### Theorem

- Every transient state is visited finitely many times with probability 1.
- Each recurrent state is with probability 1 either **not visited** or **visited infinitely many times**.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>This holds only in DTMC models with finitely many states. IA 169 System Verification and Assurance – 12 Which states are transient? Which states are recurrent?

Decompose the graph representation onto strongly connected components.



### Theorem <sup>1</sup>

• A state is **recurrent** if and only if it is in a **bottom strongly connected component**. All other states are **transient**.

A169 System Verification and Assurance – 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This holds only in DTMC models with finitely many states.

For the sake of infinite behaviour, we will concentrate on bottom strongly connected components only.

### Definition

• A Markov chain is said to be **irreducible** if every state can be reached from every other state in a finite number of steps.

### Theorem

• A Markov chain is **irreducible** if and only if its graph representation is a single strongly connected component.

### Corollary

• All states of a finite irreducible Markov chain are recurrent.

# Stationary (Invariant) Distribution

### Definition

• Let P be the transition matrix of a DTMC and  $\vec{\lambda}$  be a probability distribution on its states. If

$$\vec{\lambda}P = \vec{\lambda},$$

then  $\vec{\lambda}$  is a stationary (or steady-state or invariant or equilibrium) distribution of the DTMC.

### Question:

How many stationary distributions can a Markov chain have? Can it be more than one? Can it be none? **Answer:** It can be more that one. For example, in the Drunkard's walk



both (1,0,0,0) and (0,0,0,1) are stationary distributions.

But, this is not an irreducible Markov chain.

### Theorem

- In every finite irreducible DTMC there is a unique invariant distribution.
- Q: Can it be none? Theorem
  - For each finite DTMC, there is an invariant distribution.

**Q**: How can we compute the invariant distribution of a finite irreducible Markov chain?

Again, we can construct a set of equations that express the result.

### Theorem

 Let P be a transition matrix of a finite irreducible DTMC and π = (π<sub>1</sub>, π<sub>2</sub>,..., π<sub>n</sub>) be a stationary distribution corresponding to P. For any state i of the DTMC, we have

$$\sum_{j\neq i} \pi_j P_{j,i} = \sum_{j\neq i} \pi_i P_{i,j}.$$

### Theorem

• Let us have a finite irreducible DTMC and the unique stationary distribution  $\vec{\pi}$ . It holds that

 $\pi_i = \lim_{n \to \infty} E(\# \text{ of visits of state } i \text{ during the first } n \text{ steps})/n.$ 

• Let us have a finite irreducible DTMC and the unique stationary distribution  $\vec{\pi}$ . It holds that

$$\pi_i = 1/m_i$$

where  $m_i$  is the mean inter visit time of state *i*.

# Aperiodic Markov Chains

For example:



### Definition

- A state s is periodic if there exists an integer Δ > 1 such that length of every path from s to s is divisible by Δ.
- A Markov chain is **periodic** if any state in the chain is periodic.
- A state or chain that is not periodic is **aperiodic**.

### Theorem

• Let us have a finite aperiodic irreducible DTMC and the unique stationary distribution  $\vec{\pi}$ . It holds that

$$\vec{\pi} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \vec{\lambda} P^n$$

where  $\vec{\lambda}$  is an arbitrary distribution on states.

**Q**: What this is good for?

Last remark on some DTMC extensions.

### Modules and synchronisation

- modules
- actions
- rewards

### **Decision extension**

- Markov Decision Processes (MDP)
- Pmin and Pmax properties