Describe the SoundEx phonetic retrieval algorithm [2 points]. Give an example of two similarlysounding words with the same SoundEx code [1 point]. Explain the weak point of SoundEx [1 point], and give an example of two similarly-sounding words with different SoundEx codes [1 point].

Generally spenting, the Sound Ex algorithm naps similarly--sounding cords together by removing consonants, aud clustering vowels with similar English spelling to getter. Ford and short have code $563 \phi$.
The major weal point of SonndEx is the fact that the initial leaser of a word is retained - fog is FLDD., whereas thug is T20\%.

##  <br> sheet $\square$ učo

Consider the following XML document:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<menu>
    <item>
                <title>Egg, sausage, and spam</title>
                <note>That's not got much spam in it</note>
                <price unit="GBP">9.9</price>
    </item>
    <item>
            <title>Lobster Thermidor</title>
            <note>With a fried egg on top, and spam</note>
            <price unit="GBP">49.9</price>
    </item>
```

</menu>

Draw the XML document as a graph [1 point], and count all structural terms in the document [2 points].
Compute the structural similarity between the structural term item/title\#"That's not got much spam in it", and the queries //menu//price\#30.9, and //title\#"Lobster Thermidor" [2 points].
 menu/item/ritle\#"Egg,..", \#"that's..." note \#"that's...", item/ note \#"that's...", menn/item/note \#"that's...", \#9.9 price \# 9.9, isem/price \# 9.9, mehn/item/price \#9.9, \#"Lobster..." title \#"Lobster...", item / title \#"Lobster..." menu / item / title \#"lobster...", \#"With a ..." ", nose \#"With a..." isem/note \#"With a ...", menu/'isem / note \#"Wish a ...", \#49.9, price \#49.9, isem/price \#49.4, menn/item/price\#49.9

$$
6 \cdot 4=24 \text { structural terms. }
$$

//menu // price does not expand to item/title, the structural similarity is zero. It title expands to item / title by adding a single path segment, the structural similarity is $\frac{1+1}{1+2}=\frac{2}{3}$.

Explain the following aspects of the $K$-means flat clustering algorithm [2 points]:

1. What do we need to know about our dataset before using the algorithm?
2. What is the input and the output of the algorithm?
3. What are the two steps that take place in every epoch?
4. How do we decide in which epoch to stop the algorithm?
5. We need so know the number of classes $K$ and initial mean estimates (seeds), 2. The input ave unclassified points and $K$ seeds. 3. Reassigning points, recomputing centroids. 4. Centroids converged.

Given the points O , and the seeds $\square$, run the $K$-means algorithm for three epochs. Draw the state of the algorithm at the beginning and after every epoch; no computation should be necessary. What is the output of the algorithm? [2 points]


Centroids converged.


Hierarchical clustering.

Perform a hierarchical clustering of the above dataset into three classes using the single-link hierarchical agglomerative clustering algorithm, and draw the resulting dendrogram. [1 point] Is the output the same as the output of the $K$-means flat hierarchical clustering algorithm above? [1 point]

State the Zipf's law [1 point] and the Heaps' law [1 point] in its complete variant [1 point]. Let $\mathbf{C}$ denote the term-document matrix of a document collection that contains $M$ unique terms. According to the Heaps' law, what is the size of $\mathbf{C}$ in relation to $M$ ? [3 points]
Zip's law: $c f_{i}=c / i$, where $c f_{i}$ is the collection frequency of the isth most frequent term, and $c$ is a constant.

Heaps' law: $M=k T^{b}$, where $M$ is the vocabulary size, $T$ is the collection size in tokens, and $30 \leqslant f \leqslant 100$ and $b \approx 0,5$ are parameters.

The size of $C$ is $M \times N<T$, where $N$ is the number of documents in a collection. According so the Heaps' law, $T=\sqrt[6]{M / k} \approx M^{2}$.

Consider the following collection of four documents $d_{i}$ :

- $d_{1}$ : HOME SALES RISE IN JULY
- $d_{2}$ : JULY NEW HOME SALES RISE
- $d_{3}$ : NEW HOME SALES TOP FORECASTS
- $d_{4}$ : INCREASE IN HOME SALES IN JULY

Produce a list of (term, document ID) tuples [1 point], sort this list in lexicographical order [1 points], and use the sorted list to construct an inverted index [3 points]. Write down each step. Describe how you would produce this index using the MapReduce distributed framework [3 points].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (home, 1), (ines, 1), (rise, 1), (in, 1), (Jun }, 1) \text {, } \\
& (\text { in } 2,2),(\text { new, 2 }),(\text { home, } 2), 1(\text { sales, } 2) \text { ), } \\
& \text { (rise, 2), (new, 3), (home, 3), (sure, 3), (sop, 3), } \\
& \text { (forecasts, 3), (increase, 4), (in, 4), (home, 4), } \\
& \text { (sales, 4), (in, 4), (Juno, 4) } \\
& \text { (foreasses, 3), (home, 1), (home, 2), (home, 3), (home, 4), } \\
& \text { (in, 1), (in, 4), (increase, h), (Juno, 1), (Juicy, 2), } \\
& \text { (Jul, 4), (new, 2), (new, 3), (vise, 1), (rise, 2) } \\
& (\text { sales, 1), (sales, 2), (sales, 3), ( sales, 4), (top, 3) } \\
& \text { fovecusts } \rightarrow 3 \\
& \text { home } \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \\
& \text { in } \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \\
& \text { increase } \rightarrow 4 \\
& \text { new } \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \\
& \text { July } \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \\
& \text { rise } \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \\
& \text { sales } \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \\
& \text { top } \rightarrow \text { 了 } \\
& \text { Each parser would process } \\
& \text { a limited number of documents } \\
& \text { and produce a sorted (term, } \\
& \text { document 10) list. Each inverter } \\
& \text { would take all subsists in a } \\
& \text { certain alphabetical range of } \\
& \text { terms and produce postings } \\
& \text { for that alphabetical range. }
\end{aligned}
$$

