

1. Fingerprinting and string comparison → pattern matching
2. primality testing

Schwarze-Zippel thm.

$$\Pr(Q(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0 \mid Q \neq 0) \leq \frac{\deg(Q)}{|S|}$$

for $v_i \in S$.

Problem: Verify whether two strings $X, Y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ are equal.

deterministically $O(n)$

$$X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

Use S-Z theorem

interpret X and Y as multivariable polynomials:

$$X(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i \mod p$$

$$Y(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i z_i \mod p$$

$$X(z_1, \dots, z_n) - Y(z_1, \dots, z_n) \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

choose $r \in \{0, 1\}^n \leftarrow$

by schwartz-zippel theorem

$$\Pr(X(v_1, \dots, v_n) - Y(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mid [X-Y](z) \neq 0) \leq \frac{\deg[X-Y]}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Context: Database comparison

- two distant databases X and Y are they the same?
- is the above method efficient in number of transmitted bits?
No! random r needed to calculate the fingerprint is as long as the database.

Solution 1

Interpret both X and Y as numbers

$$\text{num}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i 2^{i-1}$$

$$\text{num}(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i 2^{i-1}$$

Compare:

$X \bmod p$ with $Y \bmod p$

if p is well chosen, fingerprints are small and probability of error is also small.

When does this give a wrong answer? ($X \neq Y$, but $X \equiv Y \pmod p$)

$X - Y \equiv 0 \pmod p$ (read as $X - Y$ is divisible by p).

$\pi(\ell)$ - all primes smaller than ℓ .

$$\pi(\ell) \approx \frac{\ell}{\ln \ell}$$

$$\text{for } \ell \geq 29 \quad \pi(\ell) \leq (1.2 \dots) \frac{\ell}{\ln \ell}$$

$$\Pr(X - Y \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \mid X \neq Y) = \frac{\# \text{bad primes}}{\# \text{primes we choose from}} = \frac{n}{\pi(n)} \leq \frac{\ln k \cdot n}{k}$$

bad primes: how many divisors can $X - Y$ have at most?

what is the largest value of $X - Y$?

$$X - Y < 2^n$$

smallest number with n prime divisors

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n p_i > 2^n = \prod_{i=1}^n 2$$

$$p_i > p_1 = 2$$

p_i - i th smallest prime

bad primes < n

$$\Pr \leftarrow \frac{\text{for } k = \lfloor t \cdot n \log(tn) \rfloor}{\ln(t \cdot n \log(tn)) \cdot n} \cdot \frac{1}{\log(tn)}$$

$$\in O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$$

How many bits do we need to send? for $t=n$ we need to send prime p $O(\log n)$ bits and the hash $O(\log n)$

What we did:

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i z^{i-1} \pmod{p}$$

method 1:

choose $z=2$ and randomize over \mathbb{P}

Method 2:

choose p and randomize over \mathbb{Z}

Method 2 can be analysed using polynomial comparison.

$X(z)$ and $Y(z)$ are polynomials, by L-2

$$\Pr \left\{ (X-Y)(r) = 0 \mid (X-Y)_{(2)} \neq 0 \right\} \leq \frac{\deg(x_i)}{|S|} = \frac{n-1}{|S|}$$

$r \in S$

to match method 1 we want this to be roughly $\frac{1}{n}$

$\Rightarrow |S|$ is roughly n^2 . $= p$ needs to be larger than n^2 .

How many bits do we need to send?

Prime $p \sim O(\log n)$

number $t < p \sim O(\log n)$

3rd method:

choose a random polynomial $P \bmod p$ and evaluate $P(\text{num}(x))$ and $P(\text{num}(y))$ and compare. \rightsquigarrow this is an idea behind universal hashing.

Pattern matching

X - a string of length n

Y - a string of length m with $m < n$ $\left/ \text{where } x, y \in \{0, 1\}^b \right.$

Is Y a substring of X ?

Is t a substring of π :

Naive algorithm $\approx O(n \cdot n)$ comparisons

Better solutions (Knuth-Morris) $\approx O(m+n)$ comparisons

Rabin-Karp in $O(mn)$ time \approx Monte Carlo

Main idea: Compare fingerprints.

Imagine calculating fingerprints is for free. How many comparisons?

Each fingerprint is $O(\log m)$ bits long
 $\approx O(n \cdot \log m)$

↓
this is not what is meant
in the slides.

Strings are arrays of objects:

both π and t are in memory of a computer in an indexed array,
that is each x_i and y_j need to be addressed separately in the
memory. This is the expensive operation.

So in analysis of Rabin-Karp algorithm, the expensive operation
is calculating the hash $\text{num}(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \cdot 2^{m-i} \bmod p$ because
need to access each bit of array π . $\approx O(m)$

(Comparison of fingerprints is comparison of two integers, therefore
in $O(1)$).

Naive calculation of hashes results in $O(m \cdot n)$

What we need is cheaper fingerprint calculation:

let $X_j = (x_{j,1}, \dots, x_{j,m-1})$

$$F(x_j) = x_{j,1} \cdot 2^{m-1} + x_{j,2} \cdot 2^{m-2} + \dots + x_{j,m-1}$$

$$F(x_{j,n}) = x_{j,n} \cdot 2^{m-1} + x_{j,1} \cdot 2^{m-2} + \dots + x_{j,m}$$

$$\bar{F}(x_{j,n}) = 2 \cdot [F(x_j) - 2^{m-1} \cdot x_j] + x_{j,m}$$



Time analysis

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{The hash of } Y: F(Y) \text{ in steps} \\ \text{First hash of } X_1: F(X_1) \text{ in steps} \\ \text{n following hashes each in } O(1) \text{ in steps} \end{array} \right\} O(m+n)$$