Take the following class diagram as a reference. It models some of the interactions in an *auto salon*. An *auto salon* has several cars at disposal to be sold, as it connects sellers and buyers once they agree on the price. A *person* can be a seller and/or a buyer. *Cars* can also go to service, this means that if they need reparation, they will be sent to a *car workshop* that is owned by the *auto salon*.



The UML diagram does not model some characteristics of the domain. Try to define them by using *OCL*:

[1] When the car is sold (by calling the *sell()* operation), the number of cars at disposal within the *Autosalon* must be lower than the total number before executing the operation.

[2] A new law is introduced in the legislation, that states that only people that is born before 1.1.1982 can own a car of type *SPORT*

[3] after the *toService()* operation has been performed, an active service for the car must be existing, as well, the the same service must be associated to a car workshop

```
    context Car::sell():void post:
self.belongingTo.atDisposal()->size()<
self.belongingTo.atDisposal()->size()@pre
    context Car inv:
def limitDate:String = "1.1.1982"
self.carType::SPORT implies (self.owner.dateOfBirth <
limitDate.oclAsType(Date))
```

Note: there is no "Date" class in OCL, but depends on the implementation

Now the tricky aspect (apart from notation, as we have seen during the lecture):

```
context Person inv:
def limitDate:String = "1.1.1982"
self.dateOfBirth < limitDate.oclAsType(Date) implies
self.carType::SPORT
```

From the notation (in the example shown there was a wrong ...implies **Car::carType::SPORT)**, this is correct, but this is a kind of different constraint. We are reporting that all the instances of type Person that are born before 1.1.1982 have a car of type SPORT! (but if you read [2], here the point is that only people born before 1.1.1982 can own a car of SPORT (so the constraint is on the type), they still can own other types of cars if they want! (with this constraint, we do not allow this)

3. context Car::toService() post: self.activeService <> oclVoid AND self.activeService.servicingWorkshop <> oclVoid

Note, from the UML diagram we can derive that cardinality in all the relations activeService and servicingWorkshop is 1-1. If you considered them as collections, you will check then for activeService->size()>0 and activeService->select(m | id > 0)->servicingWorkshop-> notEmpty()