# IA010: Principles of Programming Languages State and Side-Effects

Achim Blumensath blumens@fi.muni.cz

Faculty of Informatics, Masaryk University, Brno

# Assignments

#### **Side-Effect:**

- mutating memory and IO
- Even purely functional programs must support side-effects.

```
\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid skip \mid print \langle msg \rangle \langle expr \rangle \mid \langle expr \rangle ; \langle expr \rangle 
\mid \langle id \rangle := \langle expr \rangle
```

```
let x = 1;
print "x has value: " x;
x := 2;
print "now x has value: " x;
```

# Ramifications

(a) evaluation turns

```
from env \rightarrow val
to env \times state \rightarrow val \times state
```

(b) identifiers turn

```
from constants with a value (r-values) to variables with a memory location (l-values)
```

- ⇒ changes the notion of an environment
- (c) evaluation order matters

```
let x = 0;
let y = (x := 1; 3) + (x := 2; 4);
x + y
```

⇒ makes lazy evaluation impractical

# Ramifications

- (d) allows uninitialised data structures
  - needed for mutually recursive structures
  - source of hard to find bugs

## (e) aliasing

- we need to distinguish between
  - "have the same value" and "have the same memory address"
- might require frequent copying of data structures

## (f) clean up code

- in conjunction with error checking and/or exceptions: lot of work and error prone
- finally and defer statements

## Discussion

#### **Advantages**

- drastically increases expressive power
- solutions without side-effects can be substantially more complicated or inefficient (RNG, debug output,...)

## Disadvantages

- error prone
- adds implicit interactions between program parts (encapsulation)
- $\Rightarrow$  separation between pure and impure parts desirable

# Parameter passing

```
let f(x) { x := 1; };
let y = 0;
f(y);
y
```

Parameter modes: in, out, in/out

### **Calling conventions**

- call-by-value
- call-by-result
- call-by-value/result, call-by-copy, call-by-copy-result
- call-by-reference
- call-by-name
- call-by-need
- call-by-macro-expansion

# Call-By-Value Call-By-Result

```
f(in x, out y, out z) {
  x := x + 1;
  y := x + 1;
  z := x + 2;
};
let u = 0;
f(u,u,u);
```

# Call-By-Copy

```
incr(inout x) {
   x := x + 1;
};
let u = 0;
incr(u);
```

# Call-By-Reference

```
let u = 1;
let v = 0;
f(x, y) {
    x := x + u - v;
    y := y + u - v;
};
f(u, v)
```

# Call-By-Name

```
let sum(k, 1, u, expr) {
  let s = 0;
  for k = 1 .. u {
    s := s + expr;
  };
  s;
};
sum(i, 1, 100, i*i)
```

## Discussion

#### **Standard**

- call-by-value for languages with side-effect
- call-by-need for those without
- call-by-reference for declarative languages

#### **Notes**

- call-by-value reduces aliasing (plus copying of data structures)
- call-by-reference can be simulated with reference or pointer types

# Memory management

#### **Kinds**

- manual
- automatic
- type based

#### **Problems**

- dangling pointers
- unreachable objects

## Manual memory management

- gives programmer full control
- tedious, error prone, hard to debug
- (de-)allocation of memory not cheap

### Manual memory management

- gives programmer full control
- tedious, error prone, hard to debug
- (de-)allocation of memory not cheap

## **Automatic memory management**

- reference counting
  - easy to implement
  - very slow
  - does not support cyclic data structures
- garbage collection
  - hard to implement
  - much faster
  - hard to control runtime impact

## Manual memory management

- gives programmer full control
- tedious, error prone, hard to debug
- (de-)allocation of memory not cheap

## **Automatic memory management**

- reference counting
  - easy to implement
  - very slow
  - does not support cyclic data structures
- garbage collection
  - hard to implement
  - much faster
  - hard to control runtime impact

## Type based memory management

- minimal runtime overhead
- typing is very restrictive and requires more work

# Loops

```
\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid while \langle expr \rangle \{ \langle expr \rangle \}
\mid for \langle id \rangle = \langle expr \rangle \dots \langle expr \rangle \{ \langle expr \rangle \}
```

# Loops

```
\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid while \langle expr \rangle \{ \langle expr \rangle \}
\mid for \langle id \rangle = \langle expr \rangle \dots \langle expr \rangle \{ \langle expr \rangle \}
```

#### Goto

```
\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid label \langle id \rangle \mid goto \langle id \rangle
```

- more expressive
- · can be misused
- can improve code

# Loops

```
\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid while \langle expr \rangle \{ \langle expr \rangle \}
\mid for \langle id \rangle = \langle expr \rangle \dots \langle expr \rangle \{ \langle expr \rangle \}
```

#### Goto

```
\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid label \langle id \rangle \mid goto \langle id \rangle
```

- more expressive
- · can be misused
- can improve code

## **Special cases**

```
\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid break \mid continue \mid return \langle expr \rangle
```

# Usages of side-effects

• recursive data structures

# Usages of side-effects

- recursive data structures
- efficiency: reusing space, avoiding copies

# Usages of side-effects

- recursive data structures
- efficiency: reusing space, avoiding copies
- passing values via global variables (RNG, logging,...)