Language Modeling (and the Noisy Channel) Prototypical case PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Output Input (noisy) The channel (adds noise) Pavel Rychlý 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0,... pary@fi.muni.cz Model: probability of error (noise): February 24, 2020 Example: p(0|1) = .3 p(1|1) = .7 p(1|0) = .4 p(0|0) = .6The task: known: the noisy output; want to know; the input (decoding) Source: Introduction to Natural Language Processing (600.465) Jan Hajič, CS Dept., Johns Hopkins Univ. www.cs.jhu.edu/~hajic PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel 2/14 **Noisy Channel Applications** The Golden Rule of OCR, ASR, HR, MT,... OCR - straightforward: text \rightarrow print (adds noise), scan \rightarrow image Recall: $p(A|B) = \frac{p(B|A)p(A)}{P(A)}$ Handwriting recognition (Bayes formula) p(B)- text \rightarrow neurons, muscles ("noise"), scan/digitize \rightarrow image $A_{best} = argmax_A p(B|A)p(A)$ (The Golden Rule) Speech recognition (dictation, commands, etc.) ■ p(B|A): the acoustic/image/translation/lexical model - text \rightarrow conversion to acoustic signal ("noise") \rightarrow acoustic waves - application-specific name Machine Translation - will explore later - text in target language ightarrow translation ("noise") ightarrow source language ■ p(A): language model Also: Part of Speech Tagging – sequence of tags \rightarrow selection of word forms \rightarrow text PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel 3/14 PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Canne 4/14 Markov Chain The Perfect Language Model

- Sequence of word forms (forget about tagging for the moment)
- Notation: $A \sim W = (w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_d)$
- The big (modeling) question:

p(W) = ?

• Well, we know (Bayes/chain rule)
$$\rightarrow$$
):

$$p(W) = p(w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_d) = p(w_1) \times p(w_2|w_1) \times p(w_3|w_1, w_2) \times ... \times p(w_d|w_1, w_2, ... w_{d-1})$$

• Not practical (even short $W \rightarrow$ too many parameters)

- Unlimited memory (cf. previous foil):
- for w_i we know <u>all</u> its predecessors $w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_{i-1}$
- Limited memory:

The Noisy Channel

- we disregard "too old" predecessors
- remember only k previous words: $w_{i-k}, w_{i-k+1}, ..., w_{i-1}$
- called "kth order Markov approximation"
- + stationary character (no change over time):

$$p(W) \cong \prod_{i=1..d} p(w_i | w_{i-k}, w_{i-k+1}, ..., w_{i-1}), d = |W|$$

n-gram Language Models

- In particular (assume vocabulary |V| = 60k):

| 0-gram LM: uniform model, | p(w) = 1/ V , | 1 parameter |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1-gram LM: unigram model, | p(w), | 6×10^4 parameters |
| 2-gram LM: bigram model, | $p(w_i w_{i-1}),$ | 3.6×10 ⁹ parameters |
| 3-gram LM: trigram model, | $p(w_i w_{i-2},w_{i-1}),$ | 2.16×10 ¹⁴ parameters |
| | | |

LM: Observations

(n-1)th order Markov approximation \rightarrow n-gram LM: ■ How large *n*? prediction - nothing in enough (theoretically) history – but anyway: as much as possible (\rightarrow close to "perfect" model) $p(W) =_{df} \prod_{i=1...d} p(w_i | w_{i-n+1}, w_{i-n+2}, ..., w_{i-1})$ - empirically: 3 parameter estimation? (reliability, data availability, storage space, ...) • 4 is too much: $|V|=60k \rightarrow 1.296 \times 10^{19}$ parameters ▶ but: 6-7 would be (almost) ideal (having enough data): in fact, one can recover original from 7-grams! ■ Reliability ~(1/Detail) (→ need compromise) For now, keep word forms (no "linguistic" processing) ſS PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel 7/14 PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Canne The Length Issue Parameter Estimation $= \forall n; \Sigma_{w \in \Omega^n} p(w) = 1 \Rightarrow \Sigma_{n=1..\infty} \Sigma_{w \in \Omega^n} p(w) \gg 1(\to \infty)$ Parameter: numerical value needed to compute p(w|h) We want to model all sequences of words ■ From data (how else?) - for "fixed" length tasks: no problem - n fixed, sum is 1 Data preparation: tagging, OCR/handwriting (if words identified ahead of time) get rid of formating etc. ("text cleaning") - for "variable" length tasks: have to account for define words (separate but include punctuation, call it "word") discount shorter sentences define sentence boundaries (insert "words" <s> and </s>) General model: for each sequence of words of length n, letter case: keep, discard, or be smart: define p'(w) = $\lambda_n p(w)$ such that $\sum_{n=1...\infty} \lambda_n = 1 \Rightarrow$ - name recognition - number type identification (these are huge problems per se!) $\sum_{n=1..\infty} \sum_{w \in \Omega^n} p'(w) = 1$ - numbers: keep, replace by <num>, or be smart (form ~ punctuation) e.g. estimate λ_n from data; or use normal or other distribution PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel 9/14 10/14 Maximum Likelihood Estimate Character Language Model MLE: Relative Frequency... Use individual characters instead of words: - ... best predicts the data at hand (the "training data") Trigrams from training Data T: $p(W) =_{df} \prod_{i=1..d} p(c_i | c_{i-n+1}, c_{i-n+2}, ..., c_i)$ - count sequences of three words in T: $c_3(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i)$ Same formulas etc. - (NB: notation: just saying that three words follow each other) - count sequences of two words in T: $c_2(w_{i-1}, w_i)$ Might consider 4-grams, 5-grams or even more • either use $c_2(y, z) = \sum_w c_3(y, z, w)$ Good only for language comparison) or count differently at the beginning (& end) of the data! Transform cross-entropy between letter- and word-based models: $p(w_i|w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) =_{est.} \frac{c_3(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i)}{c_2(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1})}$ $H_S(p_c) = H_S(p_w)/avg.$ # of characters/word in S PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel 11/14 PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel 12/14

LM: an Example

Training data:

<s> <s> He can buy the can of soda.

- Unigram:
- $p_1(He) = p_1(buy) = p_1(the) = p_1(of) p_1(soda) = p_1(.) = .125$ $p_1(can) = .25$
- Bigram:
- $p_2(\text{He}|<\text{s}>) = 1$, $p_2(\text{can}|\text{He}) = 1$, $p_2(\text{buy}|\text{can}) = .5$, $p_2(\text{of}|\text{can}) = .5$, $p_2(\text{the}|\text{buy}) = 1$,...
- Trigram: $p_3(\text{He}|<\text{s>}, <\text{s>}) = 1, p_3(\text{can}|<\text{s>},\text{He}) = 1, p_3(\text{buy}|\text{He},\text{can}) = 1, p_3(\text{o}|\text{the},\text{can}) = 1, ..., p_3(.|\text{o}f,\text{soda}) = 1.$
- Entropy:

 $H(p_1) = 2.75, H(p_2) = .25, H(p_3) = 0 \leftarrow Great?!$

PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel

13/14

LM: an Example (The Problem)

- Cross-entropy:
- \blacksquare S = <s><s> It was the greatest buy of all.
- Even $H_S(p_1)$ fails (= $H_S(p_2) = H_S(p_3) = \infty$), because:
 - all unigrams but p₁(the), p₁(buy), p₁(of) and p₁(.) are 0.
 all bigram probabilities are 0.
 - all trigram probabilities are 0.
- We want: to make all (theoretically possible*) probabilities non-zero.

PA154 Jazykové modelování (2.2) Noisy Cannel

*in fact, all: remeber our graph from day1?

14/14