IA159 Formal Verification Methods Introduction

Jan Strejček

Faculty of Informatics Masaryk University

Agenda

- **basic information about the course**
- quick overview of formal methods
- selected topics

Formal methods are a collection of notations and techniques for describing and analyzing systems. Methods are **formal** in the sense that they are based on some mathematical theories, such as logic, automata or graph theory. [Pel01]

Verification is the process of applying a manual or an automatic technique that is supposed to establish whether the code either satisfies a given property or behaves in accordance with some higher-level description of it. [Pel01]

In the context of this course, formal verification methods are techniques (usually based on mathematical theories) for analysing systems with the aim to improve their quality and reliability.

In the context of this course, formal verification methods are techniques (usually based on mathematical theories) for analysing systems with the aim to improve their quality and reliability.

...typically methods that can find a bug or prove its absence.

- The course focuses on theoretical and algorithmic bases of selected verification methods.
- The software engineering aspects of verification methods are beyond the scope of this course.

Books (cover only some topics of the course):

- *D. A. Peled: Software Reliability Methods, Springer, 2001.*
- *E. M. Clarke, O. Grumberg, D. Kroening, D. Peled, and R. Bloem: Model Checking, Second Edition, MIT, 2018.*
- Ch. Baier and J.-P. Katoen: Principles of Model Checking, *MIT, 2008.*
- *E. M. Clarke, T. A. Henzinger, H. Veith, and R. Bloem: Handbook of Model Checking, Springer, 2018.*
- *D. S. Scott: The Seventeen Provers of the World, Springer, 2006.*
- . . .
- Other sources (mainly journal or conference papers) will be referred and available in Study materials in IS.

Mandatory prerequisites

- IA169 System Verification and Assurance or
- IV113 Introduction to Validation and Verification († 2018)

Mandatory prerequisites

- IA169 System Verification and Assurance or
- IV113 Introduction to Validation and Verification († 2018)

Other relevant courses

- IA006 Selected Topics on Automata Theory (aka FJA II)
- IA040 Modal and Temporal Logics for Processes
- **IV022 Design and Verification of Algorithms**
- IV101 Seminar on Verification († 2015)
- **I** IA072 Seminar on Verification
- \blacksquare There will be an oral exam at the end.
- No intrasemestral tests, no written exams, no mandatory homeworks.

Overview of verification methods

- \blacksquare testing
- \blacksquare deductive verification (with use of theorem provers)
- \blacksquare equivalence checking
- \blacksquare reachability analysis and model checking
- abstract interpretation and other static analyses
- symbolic execution

Other related techniques

- abstraction
- slicing
- SAT/SMT solving
- **■** Craig interpolation

Abstraction

- \blacksquare reduces the size of systems to be analyzed
- can transform an infinite-state system into a finite one
- the set of system behaviours is usually increased (source \sim of false alarms)

- \blacksquare reduces the size of systems on the source code level
- \blacksquare the reduced system preserves values of given variables at given control locations
- M. Weiser: *Program Slicing*, IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering 10(4), 1984.

Slicing: example

```
1: char *copy(char *dst, char *src, int n, int *L) {<br>2: int i. len:
      int i, len;
 3: len = 0;
 4: if (src != NULL && dst != NULL) {
 5: len = n;
 6: lock(L);
7: }
8: i = 0;9: while (i < len) {
10: dst[i] = src[i];
11: i++;
12: }
13: if (len > 0) {
14: unlock(L);
15: }
16: return dst;
17: }
```
Assume that we are interested only in values of lock L at the end of line 16.

Slicing: example

```
1: char *copy(char *dst, char *src, int n, int *L) {<br>2: int i, len:
      int i, len;
 3: len = 0;
 4: if (src != NULL && dst != NULL) {
 5: len = n;
 6: lock(L);
7: }
8: i = 0;9: while (i < len) {
10: dst[i] = src[i];
11: i++;
12:13: if (len > 0) {
14: unlock(L);
15: }
16: return dst;
17: }
```
Assume that we are interested only in values of lock L at the end of line 16.

SAT problem is to decide satisfiability of a given propositional logic formula.

- Satisfiability Modulo Theories (SMT) problem is to decide satisfiability of a given first-order logic formula with respect to a given theory (e.g. theory of integers with addition and substraction).
	- \blacksquare crucial for symbolic execution, bounded model checking, abstraction, deductive verification
	- A. R. Bradley and Z. Manna: *The Calculus of Computation: Decision Procedures with Applications to Verification*, Springer, 2007.
- **i** if $\varphi \implies \psi$ then there exists an interpolant ρ such that $\varphi \implies \rho \implies \psi$ and ρ uses only propositional variables occurring in both φ and ψ
- \blacksquare ρ overapproximates φ and it is usually smaller than φ
- crucial for PDR/IC3, Ultimate Automizer, and many methods/tools using abstraction refinement
- W. Craig: *Three uses of the Herbrand-Gentzen theorem in relating model theory and proof theory*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic 22(3), 1957.
- simple, feasible, very good cost/performance ratio
- very effective in early stages of debugging process
- \blacksquare applicable directly to real systems
- cannot guarantee that there are no errors
- \blacksquare in practice: standard technique for enhancing the quality of systems, wide tool support

Deductive verification is a method for proving that, for any input values satisfying a given initial condition, a given program terminates and resulting variable values satisfy a given final assertion.

If the initial condition *x*2 > 0 holds, then the execution of

```
v1=0;
v2=0;while (y2 < x2) {
   y1 = y1 + x1;y2++;}
```
always terminates and the resulting variable values satisfy final assertion

Deductive verification is a method for proving that, for any input values satisfying a given initial condition, a given program terminates and resulting variable values satisfy a given final assertion.

If the initial condition *x*2 > 0 holds, then the execution of

```
v1=0;
v^2=0:
while (y2 < x2) {
   y1 = y1 + x1;y2++;}
```
always terminates and the resulting variable values satisfy final assertion $y1 = x1 \times x2$.

- \blacksquare applicable to models or small parts of real systems
- \blacksquare needs a huge effort of an expert on both deductive verification and systems under verification
- \blacksquare can guarantee that (a model of) a real system satisfies a given property
- in practice: used rarely (e.g. partial correctness of FPU in AMD processors)
- \blacksquare tools: Coq, ACL2, Dafny, \dots

Equivalence checking decides whether two given systems are equivalent with respect to a given equivalence.

- \blacksquare applicable mainly to models of real systems
- \blacksquare needs a detailed formal specification of a system under verification (or another "second system")
- \blacksquare there are no algorithms for reasonable equivalences and infinite-state systems
- **n** in practice: some specific applications (e.g. equivalence of different levels of hardware design)

Reachability analysis and model checking

Reachability analysis decides whether any run of a given system can reach a given state. Model checking decides whether each run of a given system satisfies a given specification property (which is typically described by a temporal logic formula).

Reachability analysis and model checking

- \blacksquare needs formal description of the property to be checked
- \blacksquare fully automatic, but feasible mainly for relatively small finite-state systems
- succesfull verification of real systems may require provision of a suitable abstraction
- **Department on the bounded model checking examines only a part of the** system (can find bugs, but not prove correctness)
- \blacksquare in practice: a standard technique for verification of simple hardware designs, used also for verification of small systems (e.g. communication protocols), bounded model checking applied to larger systems
- tools: DIVINE, SPIN, NuSMV, ...

Abstract interpretation and other static analyses

Abstract interpretation and other static analyses are typically used to overapproximate or underapproximate a set of reachable values of selected program variables in each program location. The analyzed code is not executed.

Consider the following states of a lock *x*:

Abstract interpretation and other static analyses

```
1: char *copy(char *dst, char *src, int n, int *L) {<br>2: int i, len: U
2: int i, len;
3: len = 0; \qquad \qquad \blacksquare4: if (src != NULL && dst != NULL) { U
5: len = n; U
6: \qquad \text{lock}(\mathbb{L}): \qquad \qquad \blacksquare7: } U,L
8: \t i = 0; \t U, L9: while (i < len) { U,L
10: \det[i] = \operatorname{src}[i]; U,L
11: i^{++}; U,L
12: } U,L
13: if (len > 0) { U,L
14: unlock(L); DU,U
15: } U,L
16: return dst; U,L
17: }
```
The indicated double unlock error is a false positive.

- **E** applicable directly to source code of real systems (or directly to executables)
- \blacksquare feasible
- **E** can verify only a specific class of properties (including many interesting properties)
- **n** may produce false alarms
- fully automatic
- \blacksquare in practice: some static analysis is performed by almost every compiler, there are many efficient tools able to work with big pieces of real software (e.g. Linux kernel)
- ortools: Coverity, CodeSonar, ...

Symbolic execution executes the code on abstract symbols instead of input values.

- \blacksquare can be seen as exhaustive testing
- **a** applicable directly to source code of real systems (or directly to executables)
- \blacksquare fully automatic
- o does not report false alarms
- \blacksquare feasible, but the computation usually did not finish due to large or even infinite number of execution paths
- \blacksquare in practice: several successful applications, but computational cost of pure symbolic execution is too high
- \blacksquare tools: Klee, \dots

Combined methods

popular combinations:

- model checking + abstraction + counter-example guided abstraction refinement (CEGAR)
- \blacksquare abstract interpretation + CEGAR
- testing $+$ model checking
- testing $+$ symbolic execution $+$ Craig interpolation
- **bounded model checking** $+ k$ **-induction**
- \blacksquare the aim is to develop methods which are automatic (as much as possible) and applicable directly to sources or binaries of real systems
- **n** may be incomplete and/or produce some false alarms
- \blacksquare in practice: already has some specific applications in verification (e.g. verification of Windows drivers by Static Driver Verifier, CPAchecker, Ultimate Automizer) and many applications in test-generation and bug-finding (e.g. SAGE, PEX, CBMC)
- \blacksquare the most promising approaches usually combine several basic techniques

IA159 Formal Verification Methods: Introduction 31/41

Verification of infinite-state systems

```
y1=0;y2=0;while (y2 < x2) {
   y1 = y1 + x1;y2++;}
```
- verification of algorithm vs. verification of programs
- **all verification problems are decidable for finite systems**
- \blacksquare for infinite-state systems, decidability depends on the problem and type of the system
- explicit and symbolic (BDD-based) model checking applicable only to finite systems

PRS-hierarchy of infinite-state systems

The hierarchy compares expressive power of many classes of infinite-state systems including BPA, BPP, PA, Petri nets (PN), and pushdown processes (PDA). systems.

Decidability of equivalence checking

The decidability boundary of strong bisimulation in the PRS-hierarchy.

The decidability boundary of the action-based LTL model checking in the PRS-hierarchy.

Actual topics of the course

- deductive verification
	- \blacksquare theorem prover ACL2 + Demo
- \blacksquare reachability analysis & verification of infinite-state systems
	- \blacksquare reachability analysis of pushdown systems
	- **LTL model checking of pushdown systems**
- LTL model checking
	- translation of LTL to Büchi automata (via alternating aut.)
	- partial order reduction
	- **abstraction and CEGAR**
- \blacksquare static analysis
	- abstract interpretation
	- shape analysis (abs. int. of dynamic memory operations)
- **Ultimate Automizer: verification via automata, symbolic** execution, and interpolation
- **property directed reachability (PDR/IC3)**
- symbolic execution

Automata-based LTL model checking of finite systems

Automata-based LTL model checking of finite systems

- Formal verification is used in Microsoft, Intel, facebook, Amazon, NASA, ...
- \blacksquare Formal verification is usually a supplementary method, the main methods are testing or simulation.
- In development of execution cluster of Core i7 (2008), formal verification has been used as a primary validation vehicle
	- simulation has been dropped
	- only 3 bugs escaped to silicon (2 other bugs were detected during the pre-silicon stage by full chip testing)
	- \blacksquare this number is usually about 40
	- \blacksquare the previous minimum is 11
	- More information in Kaivola et al: *Replacing Testing with Formal Verification in Intel Core i7 Processor execution Engine Validation*, CAV 2009, LNCS 5643, Springer, 2009.

Theorem prover ACL2

http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/moore/acl2/

- \blacksquare How it works?
- What is it good for?
- \blacksquare Including a live show!