

LM Smoothing (The EM Algorithm)

PA154 Language Modeling (2.2)

Pavel Rychlý

pary@fi.muni.cz February 23, 2023

Source: Introduction to Natural Language Processing (600.465)
Jan Hajič, CS Dept., Johns Hopkins Univ. www.cs.jhu.edu/~hajic

The Zero Problem

- Raw" n-gram language model estimate: **n** necessarily, some zeros **■** !many: trigram model \rightarrow 2.16 \times 10¹⁴ parameters, data ~10⁹
	- words
	- which are true 0?
		- optimal situation: even the least frequent trigram would be seen several times, in order to distinguish it's probability vs. other trigrams
		- optimal situation cannot happen, unfortunately *(open question: how many data would we need?)*
	- $\blacksquare \rightarrow$ we don't know ■ we must eliminate zeros
- Two kinds of zeros: $p(w|h) = 0$, or even $p(h) = 0!$

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing** • February 23, 2023 **2 / 18** 2 / 18

Why do we need Nonzero Probs?

- To avoid infinite Cross Entropy:
	- happens when an event is found in test data which has not been seen in training data
		- $H(p) = \infty$: prevents comparing data with ≥ 0 "errors"
- To make the system more robust
	- \blacksquare low count estimates:
		- they typically happen for "detailed" but relatively rare appearances
	- high count estimates: reliable but less "detailed"

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing •** February 23, 2023 **3/18** 3/18

Eliminating the Zero Probabilites: Smoothing

- Get new p'(w) (same Ω): almost p(w) but no zeros
- Discount w for (some) $p(w) > 0$: new $p'(w) < p(w)$

$$
\sum_{w \in \text{discounted}} (p(w) - p'(w)) = D
$$

- Distribute D to all w; $p(w) = 0$: new $p'(w) > p(w)$ possibly also to other w with low $p(w)$
- For some w (possibly): $p'(w) = p(w)$
- Make sure $\sum_{w \in \Omega} p'(w) = 1$
- There are many ways of **smoothing**

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing •** February 23, 2023 4 / 18

Smoothing by Adding 1

Simplest but not really usable:

Predicting words w from a vocabulary V, training data T :

$$
p'(w|h) = \frac{c(h, w) + 1}{c(h) + |V|}
$$

for non-conditional distributions:
$$
p'(w) = \frac{c(w)+1}{|T|+|V|}
$$

Problem if $|V| > c(h)$ (as is often the case; even $>> c(h)!$)

Example

Adding *less than 1*

Equally simple:

Predicting word w from a vocabulary V, training data T :

$$
p'(w|h) = \frac{c(h, w) + \lambda}{c(h) + \lambda |V|}, \quad \lambda < 1
$$

for non-conditional distributions: $p'(w) = \frac{c(w)+\lambda}{|T|+\lambda|V|}$

Example

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing** • February 23, 2023 **6/18** 6 / 18

Good-Turing

Suitable for estimation from large data

similar idea: discount/boost the relative frequency estimate:

$$
p_r(w) = \frac{(c(w)+1) \times N(c(w)+1)}{|\mathcal{T}| \times N(c(w))}
$$

where *N*(*c*) is the count of words with count *c* (count-of-counts)

- specifically, for $c(w) = 0$ (unseen words), $p_r(w) = \frac{N(1)}{|T| \times N(0)}$
- good for small counts $($5-10$, where $N(c)$ is high)$
- normalization! (so that we have $\sum_{w} p'(w) = 1$)

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing** • February 23, 2023 **7/18**

Smoothing by Combination: Linear Interpolation

- Combine what?
	- distribution of various level of detail vs. reliability
- n-gram models:
	- use (n-1)gram, (n-2)gram, ..., uniform
		- \longrightarrow reliability
		- ← detail
- Simplest possible combination:
	- sum of probabilities, normalize:
	- $p(0|0) = .8, p(1|0) = .2, p(0|1) = 1, p(1|1) = 0,$
	- $p(0) = .4, p(1) = .6$
	- \blacksquare p'(0|0) = .6, p'(1|0) = .4, p'(0|1) = .7, p'(1|1) = .3

Pavel Rychlý · **LM Smoothing** · February 23, 2023 9 / 18

Held-out Data

- What data to use?
	- try training data T: but we will always get $\lambda_3 = 1$
		- why? let p_{iT} be an i-gram distribution estimated using r.f. from T) minimizing $H_T(p^2)$ over a vector λ , p^2 =
			- $\lambda_3 p_{3} \tau + \lambda_2 p_{2} \tau + \lambda_1 p_{1} \tau + \lambda_0 / |V|$ $-$ remember $H_T(p^{\prime}) = H(p_{3T}) + D(p_{3T}||p^{\prime})$; p_{3T} fixed $\rightarrow H(p_{3T})$ fixed, best)

– which p'_{λ} minimizes $H_T(p'_{\lambda})$? Obviously, a p'_{λ} for which $D(p_{3T}||p'_{\lambda})$ = Ω

- ...and that's p_{37} (because $D(p||p) = 0$, as we know)
- ...and certainly $p'_{\lambda} = p_{37} i \lambda_3 = 1$ (maybe in some other cases, too).
- $-(p'_{\lambda} = 1 \times p_{3T} + 0 \times p_{2T} + 1 \times p_{1T} + 0/|V|)$ – thus: do not use the training data for estimation of $\lambda!$
	- must hold out part of the training data (*heldout* data, H)
	- ...call remaining data the (true/raw) *training* data, T
	- the *test* data **S** (e.g., for comparison purposes): still different data!

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing** • February 23, 2023 11 / 18

Good-Turing: An Example

```
Remember: p_r(w) = \frac{(c(w)+1) \times N(c(w)+1)}{|T| \times N(c(w))}Training data: \langle s \rangle what is it what is small? |T| = 8V = \{what, is, it, small, ?, <s>, flying, birds, are, a, bird, .}, |V| = 12p(it) = .125, p(what) = .25, p(.)=0 p(what is it?) = .25<sup>2</sup> × .125<sup>2</sup> ≅ .001
                                        p(it is flying.) = .125 \times .25 \times 0^2 = 0Raw estimation (N(0) = 6, N(1) = 4, N(2) = 2, N(i) = 0, for i > 2):
p_r(it) = (1+1)×N(1+1)/(8×N(1)) = 2×2/(8×4) = .125
p_r(\text{what}) = (2+1) \times N(2+1)/(8 \times N(2)) = 3 \times 0/(8 \times 2) = 0:
     keep orig. p(what)
p_r(.) = (0+1) \times N(0+1)/(8 \times N(0)) = 1 \times 4/(8 \times 6) \approx .083Normalize (divide by 1.5 = \sum_{w \in |V|} p_r(w)) and compute:<br>p'(it) ≅ .08, p'(what) ≅ .17, p'(.) ≅ .06
p'(what is it?) = .17<sup>2</sup> × .08<sup>2</sup> ≅ .0002
p'(it is flying.) = .08<sup>2</sup> × .17 × .06<sup>2</sup> ≅ .00004
```
Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing** • February 23, 2023 **8 / 18** and 18 an

Typical n-gram LM Smoothing

- **Weight in less detailed distributions using** $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$: $p'_{\lambda}(w_i|w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \lambda_3 p_3(w_i|w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) +$ $\lambda_2 p_2(w_i|w_{i-1}) + \lambda_1 p_1(w_i) + \lambda_0/|V|$
- Normalize: $\lambda_i > 0$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i = 1$ is sufficient ($\lambda_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$)(n = 3)
- **E** Estimation using MLE:
	- **fix** the p_3 , p_2 , p_1 and |V| parameters as estimated from the training data
	- then find such $\{\lambda_i\}$ which minimizes the cross entropy $(\text{maximazes probability of data}): -\frac{1}{|D|}\sum_{i=1}^{|D|} log_2(p'_\lambda(w_i|h_i))$

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing •** February 23, 2023 10 / 18

The Formulas

Repeat: minimizing $\frac{-1}{\sqrt{1}}$ |*H*| $\sum_{i=1}^{|H|} log_2(p'_\lambda(w_i|h_i))$ over λ

 $p'_{\lambda}(w_i|h_i) = p'_{\lambda}(w_i|w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) =$ $= \lambda_3 p_3(w_i|w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) + \lambda_2 p_2(w_i|w_{i-1}) + \lambda_1 p_1(w_i) + \lambda_0 \frac{1}{|V|}$

"Expected counts of lambdas": $j = 0..3$

$$
c(\lambda_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{|H|} \frac{\lambda_j p_j(w_i|h_i)}{p'_\lambda(w_i|h_i)}
$$

"Next λ ": $i = 0..3$

 $\lambda_{j,next} = \frac{c(\lambda_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^{3}$ $\sum_{k=0}^{3} c(\lambda_k)$

The (Smoothing) EM Algorithm

- 1. Start with some λ , such that $\lambda > 0$ for all $j \in 0..3$
- 2. Compute "Expected Counts" for eachλ*j*.
- 3. Compute new set of λ_i , using "Next λ " formula.
- 4. Start over at step 2, unless a termination condition is met.
- **Termination condition: convergence of** λ **.** – Simply set an ε, and finish if $|\lambda_j - \lambda_{j,n}$ _{ext} | < ε for each j (step 3).
- Guaranteed to converge: follows from Jensen's inequality, plus a technical proof.

Remark on Linear Interpolation Smoothing

■ "Bucketed Smoothing": – use several vectors of λ instead of one, based on (the frequency of) history: λ (h) **e.g.** for $h = (micrograms, per)$ we will have $\lambda(h) = (.999, .0009, .00009, .00001)$ (because "cubic" is the only word to follow...) – actually: not a separate set for each history, but rather a set for "similar" histories ("bucket"): $\lambda(b(h))$, where b: $V^2 \rightarrow N$ (in the case of trigrams) b classifies histories according to their reliability (~frequency)

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing** • February 23, 2023 13 / 18 and 13 / 18 and 13 / 18

Bucketed Smoothing: The Algorithm

- First, determine the bucketing function \underline{b} (use heldout!): – decide in advance you want e.g. 1000 buckets
	- compute the total frequency of histories in 1 bucket (*fmax* (b))
	- gradually fill your buckets from the most frequent bigrams so that the sum of frequencies does not exceed *fmax* (b) (you might end up with slightly more than 1000 buckets)
- Divide your heldout data according to buckets
- Apply the previous algorithm to each bucket and its data

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing •** February 23, 2023 15 / 18

Some More Technical Hints

- Set $V = \{$ all words from training data $\}$.
	- You may also consider $V = T \cup H$, but it does not make the coding in any way simpler (in fact, harder).
	- **But:** you must *never* use the test data for your vocabulary
- \blacksquare Prepend two "words" in front of all data:
	- avoids beginning-of-data problems
		- call these index -1 and 0: then the formulas hold exactly
- When $c_n(w,h) = 0$:
	- Assing 0 probability to $p_n(w|h)$ where $c_{n-1}(h) > 0$, but a uniform probablity (1/|V|) to those $p_n(w|h)$ where $c_{n-1}(h) = 0$ (this must be done both when working on the heldout data during EM, as well as when computing cross-entropy on the test data!)

Simple Example

Raw distribution (unigram only; smooth with uniform): $p(a) = .25$, $p(b) = .5$, $p(a) = 1/64$ for $a \in \{c..r\}$, = 0 for the rest: s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing** • February 23, 2023 14 / 18

- **Heldout data: baby; use one set of** λ $(\lambda_1:$ unigram, $\lambda_0:$ uniform)
- Start with $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = .5$:

$$
p'_{\lambda}(b) = .5 \times .5 + .5/26 = .27
$$

\n
$$
p'_{\lambda}(a) = .5 \times .25 + .5/26 = .14
$$

\n
$$
p'_{\lambda}(y) = .5 \times 0 + .5/26 = .02
$$

\n
$$
c(\lambda_1) = .5 \times .5/.27 + .5 \times .25/.14 + .5 \times .5/.27 + .5 \times 0/.02 = 2.27
$$

\n
$$
c(\lambda_0) = .5 \times .04/.27 + .5 \times .04/.14 + .5 \times .04/.27 + .5 \times .04/.02 = 1.28
$$

\nNormalize $\lambda_{1, \text{next}} = .68, \lambda_{0, \text{next}} = .32$
\nRepeat from step 2 (recompute p'_{λ} first for efficient computation,

then $c(\lambda_i)$, ...). Finish when new lambdas almost equal to the old ones (say, < 0.01

difference).

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing •** February 23, 2023 16 / 18 and 16 / 18 and 16 / 18

Back-off model

- Combines n-gram models
- using lower order in not enough information in higher order

$$
P_{bo}(w_i|w_{i-n+1}\dots w_{i-1}) =
$$
\n
$$
= d_{w_{i-n+1}\dots w_i} \frac{C(w_{i-n+1}\dots w_{i-1}w_i)}{C(w_{i-n+1}\dots w_{i-1})} \quad \text{if } C(w_{i-n+1}\dots w_i) > k
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_{w_{i-n+1}\dots w_{i-1}} P_{bo}(w_i|w_{i-n+2}\dots w_{i-1}) \quad \text{otherwise}
$$

Pavel Rychlý • **LM Smoothing** • February 23, 2023 17 / 18