#### Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

Red Hat Kamil Dudka March 13th 2024



### Why do we use static analysis at Red Hat?

• ... to find programming mistakes soon enough – example:

		C <mark>HECK_WARNING</mark> : it.d/squid:136:10: <b>warning:</b> Use "\${var:?}" to ensure this never expands to /* .
#	134	RETVAL=\$?
#	135	if [ \$RETVAL -eq 0 ] ; then
#	136 ->	rm -rf \$SQUID_PIDFILE_DIR/*
#	137	start
#	138	else

https://bugzilla.redhat.com/1202858 - [UNRELEASED] restarting testing build of squid results in deleting all files in hard-drive

Static analysis is required for Common Criteria certification.



### Agenda

- **1** Linux Distribution, Reproducible Builds
- **2** Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution
- **3** Dynamic Analysis of a Linux Distribution
- 4 Static Analysis Results Interchange Format (SARIF)
- **5** OpenScanHub (OSH)

# **Linux Distribution**

- operating system (OS)
- based on the Linux kernel



a lot of other programs running in user space



usually open source

### Upstream vs. Downstream

- Upstream SW projects usually independent
- Downstream distribution of upstream SW projects
  - Red Hat uses the RPM package manager



- Files on the file system owned by RPM packages:
  - Dependencies form an oriented graph over packages.
  - We can query package database.
  - We can verify installed packages.



### Fedora vs. RHEL



- new features available early
- driven by the community (developers, users, ...)

RHEL (Red Hat Enterprise Linux)



- stability and security of existing deployments
- driven by Red Hat (and its customers)



## Where do RPM packages come from?

- Developers maintain source RPM packages (SRPMs).
- Binary RPMs can be built from SRPMs using rpmbuild:

```
rpmbuild --rebuild git-2.39.2-1.fc39.src.rpm
```

Binary RPMs can be then installed on the system:

sudo dnf install git

## **Reproducible Builds**

- Local builds are not reproducible.
- mock chroot-based tool for building RPMs:

mock -r fedora-rawhide-x86\_64 git-2.39.2-1.fc39.src.rpm

koji – service for scheduling build tasks

koji build rawhide git-2.39.2-1.fc39.src.rpm

- Easy to hook static analyzers on the build process!
- Who cares about reproducible builds? https://reproducible-builds.org/who/projects/



## Agenda

**I** Linux Distribution, Reproducible Builds

#### **2** Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

**B** Dynamic Analysis of a Linux Distribution

4 Static Analysis Results Interchange Format (SARIF)

**5** OpenScanHub (OSH)

## Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

- Thousands of packages developed independently of each other.
- Huge number of (potential?) defects in certain projects.
- No control over technologies and programming languages.
- No control over upstream coding style.
- There is no person that would be familiar with all the code of a big project.

## Static Analysis at Red Hat in Numbers

- Preliminary scan of all RHEL-9 packages in February 2021.
- Analyzed 480 million LoC (Lines of Code) in 3700 packages.
- 98.6 % packages scanned successfully.
- Approx. 680 000 potential bugs detected in total.
- Approx. one potential bug per each 750 LoC.

### **Analysis of RPM Packages**

- Command-line tool to run static analyzers on RPM packages.
- One interface, one output format, plug-in API for (static) analyzers.
- Fully open-source, available in Fedora and CentOS Stream.





### csmock – Supported Static Analyzers

	с	C++	C#	Java	Go	JavaScript	PHP	Python	Ruby	Shell
gcc	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$								
gcc -fanalyzer	$\checkmark$									
clanganalyze	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$								
cppcheck	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$								
coverity	$\checkmark$									
gitleaks	$\checkmark$									
shellcheck										$\checkmark$
pylint								$\checkmark$		
bandit								$\checkmark$		
infer	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$								
smatch	$\checkmark$									

#### Need more?

https://github.com/mre/awesome-static-analysis#user-content-programming-languages-1

### What is important for developers?

The static analyzers need to:

- be fully automatic
- provide reasonable signal to noise ratio
- provide reproducible and consistent results
- be approximately as fast as compilation of the package
- support differential scans:
  - added/fixed bugs in an update?
  - https://github.com/csutils/csdiff

### csmock – Output Format

#### Error: RESOURCE\_LEAK (CWE-772):

src/fptr.c:460: alloc\_fn: Storage is returned from allocation function "calloc". src/fptr.c:450: var\_assin: Assigning: "e" = storage returned from "calloc(24UL, 1UL)". src/fptr.c:450: var\_assin: Assigning: "e" = in "e = calloc(24UL, 1UL)" leaks the storage that "e" points to. # 448| if ((f = (strucd\_fptr \*) l->u,refp[l]->ent)->ent == NULL) { = 459|-> e = calloc (struct opd\_ent), 1); # 451| if (e = NULL) # 451| Error: EPRCHECK MABHINE (OWF-A01):

Error: RESOURCE\_LEAK (CWE-772):



### csmock – Output Format

checker Error: RESOURCE LEAK (CWE-772): src/fptr.c:450: alloc fn: Storage is returned from allocation function "calloc". src/fptr.c:450: var\_assign: Assigning: "e" = storage returned from "calloc(24UL, 1UL)". src/fptr.c:450: overwrite\_var: overwriting "e" in "e = calloc(24UL, 1UL)" leaks the storage that "e" points to. # 4481 if ((f = (struct opd\_fptr \*) l->u.refp[i]->ent)->ent == NULL) # 4491 kev event e = calloc (sizeof (struct opd\_ent), 1) # 450 -> # 4511 # 4521 CWE ID Error: CPPCHECK WARNING (CWE-401) src/fptr.c:464: error[memleak]: Memory leak: e # 462 Iocation info # 463 # 464 -> return ret: # 4651 other events Error: RESOURCE LEAK (CWE-772): returned from allocation function "calloc". src/fptr.c:450: alloc fn: src/fptr.c:450: var assign: Assigning: "e" = storage returned from "calloc(24UL, 1UL)". src/fptr.c:464: leaked storage: Variable "e" going out of scope leaks the storage it points to. # 4621 message associated with the key event # 4631 # 464 -> return ret; # 465 }

### csmock - Output Format (Trace Events)

Error: RESOURCE LEAK (CVE-772): src/fptr.ci447 cond.true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci447 cond.true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: alloc.fn: Storage is returned from allocation function "calloc". src/fptr.ci489: alloc.fn: Storage is returned from allocation function "calloc". src/fptr.ci489: alloc.fn: storage true branch. src/fptr.ci489: alloc.flate: Condition "e == NULL", taking false branch. src/fptr.ci489: implicit back to the beginning of loop. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking frue branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition "i < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition i < 1 < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition i < 1 < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition i < 1 < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition i < 1 < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition i < 1 < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition i < 1 < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci489: cond\_true: Condition i < 1 < 1->nrefs", taking true branch. src/fptr.ci480: cond\_true: Condition i < 1 < 1

#### **Red Hat**

### How could we fix all the 3 findings?

```
--- a/src/fptr.c
+++ b/src/fptr.c
@@ -438,28 +438,29 @@
GElf Addr
opd_size (struct prelink_info *info, GElf_Word entsize)
   struct opd_lib *l = info->ent->opd;
   int i;
   GElf_Addr_ret = 0:
   struct opd_ent *e;
   struct opd_fptr *f;
   for (i = 0; i < 1 - > nrefs; ++i)
     if ((f = (struct opd_fptr *) 1->u.refp[i]->ent)->ent == NULL)
        £
        e = calloc (sizeof (struct opd_ent), 1);
        if (e == NULL)
             error (O. ENOMEM. "%s: Could not create OPD table".
                     info->ent->filename):
             return -1:
        e \rightarrow val = f \rightarrow val:
        e \rightarrow gp = f \rightarrow gp:
        e->opd = ret | OPD_ENT_NEW;
        f \rightarrow ent = e;
        ret += entsize:
```

return ret:

÷.

13 / 26

### Upstream vs. Enterprise

Different approaches to static analysis:

- Upstream
  - Fix as many bugs as possible.
  - False positive ratio increases over time!
- Enterprise
  - Run differential scans to verify code changes.
  - Up to 10% of bugs usually detected as new in an update.
  - Up to 10% of them usually confirmed as real by developers.



## Agenda

**1** Linux Distribution, Reproducible Builds

**2** Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

#### **3** Dynamic Analysis of a Linux Distribution

**Given Static Analysis Results Interchange Format (SARIF)** 

**5** OpenScanHub (OSH)



## **Dynamic Analysis**

- Executes code in a modified run-time environment.
- Embedded in compilers: address sanitizer, thread sanitizer, UB sanitizer, ...
- Standalone tools: valgrind, strace, ...
- Not so easy to automate as static analysis.
- Good to have some test-suite to begin with.

## **Dynamic Analysis of RPM Packages**

- Experimental support for GCC sanitizers: https://github.com/csutils/csmock/pull/87
- csmock plug-ins for valgrind and strace:



- \$ sudo dnf install csmock-plugin-valgrind
- \$ csmock -t valgrind -r fedora-rawhide-x86\_64 \*.src.rpm

### Tests Embedded in RPM Packages

\$ fedpkg clone -a logrotate
\$ cd logrotate
\$ grep -A6 '%build' logrotate.spec
%build
%configure
%make build

#### %check

%make\_build check

\$ fedpkg srpm
\$ rpmbuild --rebuild \*.src.rpm

## **Dynamic Analysis of RPM Packages – Simple Approach**

- Dynamic analyzers usually support tracing of child processes.
- Let's combine it together:
  - valgrind --trace-children=yes rpmbuild --rebuild \*.src.rpm
  - strace --follow-forks rpmbuild --rebuild \*.src.rpm
- But did we want to dynamically analyze rpmbuild, bash, make, etc.?
  - This makes the analysis extremely slow.
  - We get reports unrelated to \*.src.rpm.

## **Dynamic Analysis of RPM Packages – Better Approach**

- Produce binaries that will launch a dynamic analyzer for themselves.
- We can use a compiler wrapper to instrument the build of an RPM package:

```
$ export PATH=$(cswrap --print-path-to-wrap):$PATH
$ export CSWRAP_ADD_CFLAGS=-Wl,--dynamic-linker,/usr/bin/csexec-loader
$ export CSEXEC_WRAP_CMD=valgrind
$ rpmbuild --rebuild *.src.rpm
```

• Only binaries produced in %build will run through valgrind in %check.

### **Program Interpreter**

Program interpreter specified by shebang:

\$ head -1 /usr/bin/dnf

#!/usr/bin/python3

Program interpreter specified by ELF header:

\$ file /sbin/logrotate
/sbin/logrotate: ELF 64-bit LSB shared object, x86-64, version 1 (SYSV),
dynamically linked, interpreter /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2, BuildID[sha1]=...

ELF interpreter can be set to a custom value when linking the binary:
 \$ file ./logrotate
 ./logrotate: ELF 64-bit LSB shared object, x86-64, version 1 (SYSV),
 dynamically linked, interpreter /usr/bin/csexec-loader, BuildID[sha1]=...

### Wrapper of Dynamic Linker – Implementation

- csexec works as a wrapper of the system dynamic linker: https://github.com/csutils/cswrap/wiki/csexec
- \$CSEXEC\_WRAP\_CMD can specify a dynamic analyzer to use.
- If the variable is unset, the binaries are executed natively.

· . . .

### Wrapper of Dynamic Linker – Evaluation

- No completely unrelated bug reports.
- Minimal performance overhead.
- Minimal interference with commonly used testing frameworks.
- Able to successfully run upstream test-suite of GNU coreutils (without valgrind).
- Some tests fail if we wrap them by valgrind though:
  - a test that verifies the count open file descriptors
  - a test that intentionally sets non-existing \$TMPDIR



## Agenda

**I** Linux Distribution, Reproducible Builds

**2** Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

**3** Dynamic Analysis of a Linux Distribution

**4** Static Analysis Results Interchange Format (SARIF)

**5** OpenScanHub (OSH)

### Human-Readable Output Formats

#### - GCC's default output format is both human and machine-readable.

encode.c: In function 'th.set.path':
encode.c:91:17: varning: use of possibly-NULL '\*t.th.buf.gnu.longname' where non-null expected [CWE-690] [-Wanalyzer-possible-null-argument]
encode.c:91:12: note: (1) following 'true' branch...
encode.c:90:42: note: (2) ...to here
encode.c:91:42: note: (3) this call could return NULL
encode.c:91:17: note: (4) argument 2 ('straw(pathamame)') from (3) could be NULL where non-null expected

- Supported by csdiff and IDEs (Integrated Development Environments).
- csdiff's parser needs to be tweaked for new versions of GCC (and other tools with GCC-compatible output format).
- Some tools produce human-redable output not suitable for parsing.

### Machine-Readable Output Formats

• Usually based on JSON (GCC, ShellCheck) or XML (Cppcheck, Valgrind).

#### Example – native JSON format supported by GCC-9 and newer:

"message": "use of possibly-NULL \*\*t.th\_buf.gnu\_longname' where non-null expected", "metadata": {"cwe": 690}}]

- These formats are not human-readable.
- Each tool uses its own JSON/XML scheme.

### Static Analysis Results Interchange Format (SARIF)

JSON-based data format standardized by OASIS:

https://docs.oasis-open.org/sarif/sarif/v2.1.0/os/sarif-v2.1.0-os.html

- Extremely complex:
  - Tree structure with excessive nesting and cross-references.
  - Wastes bandwidth and memory.
  - Multiple ways to express the same thing.
  - Different tools/services implement it differently.
- Supported by csdiff as both input and output data format.
- Supported by GitHub and used by various GitHub Actions, e.g.: https://github.com/marketplace/actions/differential-shellcheck



## Agenda

**1** Linux Distribution, Reproducible Builds

**2** Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

**B** Dynamic Analysis of a Linux Distribution

4 Static Analysis Results Interchange Format (SARIF)

**5** OpenScanHub (OSH)

# **OpenScanHub (OSH)**

- A service for fully automated static analysis based on csdiff and csmock.
- Developed and successfully used by developers at Red Hat since 2011.
- Transitioned into a fully open-source community project in 2023: https://openscanhub.dev/
- A publicly available instance is going to be deployed for Fedora: https://lists.fedoraproject.org/message/OMKLJFW4VC242QSA7R4KMGI6IGBT3YLM/



### **Slides Available Online**

https://kdudka.fedorapeople.org/muni24.pdf