IA168 — Problem set 2

Throughout this problem set, "game" means "two-player strategic-form game with mixed strategies".

Problem 1 [7 points]

Consider a game where each player has exactly five pure strategies, called A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i, E_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. The utility functions are defined by the following table:

| | A_2 | B_2 | C_2 | D_2 | E_2 |
|------------------|----------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| $\overline{A_1}$ | (-4,4) | (-3,2) | (2, 2) | (4,1) | (-2, -1) |
| B_1 | (0, 6) | (-3, 3) | (2, 3) | (7, 3) | (-3, 3) |
| C_1 | (-6,0) | (3, 6) | (4, 1) | (1, 2) | (-6,0) |
| D_1 | (-2, -1) | (-2,7) | (2, 2) | (5, 3) | (-5, 3) |
| E_1 | (-6, 3) | (-6, 3) | (1, 3) | (3, 2) | (3, 6) |

- (a) Find a Nash equilibrium $\sigma^* = (\sigma_1^*, \sigma_2^*)$ such that $|\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_1^*)| + |\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_2^*)|$ is maximal.
- (b) Prove that σ^* is a Nash equilibrium.
- (c) Prove the maximality of $|\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_1^*)| + |\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_2^*)|$.

Problem 2 [5 points]

Give an example of a game where

- (a) there is no weakly dominating pure strategy, but there exists a weakly dominating mixed strategy;
- (b) there is no weakly dominating pure strategy, but there exists a very weakly dominating mixed strategy;
- (c) there is no strictly dominated pure strategy, but there exists a strictly dominated mixed strategy;
- (d) there is no very weakly dominated pure strategy, but there exists a strictly dominated mixed strategy or prove that no such game exists.

Problem 3 [8 points]

Prove that for every $k \in M$ there is a game with exactly k Nash equilibria, where

- (a) $M = \{2^n 1 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\};$
- (b) $M = \mathbb{N}$.