# <span id="page-0-0"></span>7. Computer Networks & Multimedia

PA191: Advanced Computer Networking.

Eva Hladká

Slides by: Tomáš Rebok

Faculty of Informatics Masaryk University

Autumn 2019

# Lecture Overview

- [Media, Multimedia Applications](#page-3-0)
	- **[Media Characteristics](#page-6-0)**
	- **•** [Media Classification](#page-16-0)

[Multimedia Requirements on the Communication Network](#page-18-0)

- **[Traffic Requirements](#page-20-0)**
- **•** [Functional Requirements](#page-36-0)

#### 3 [Multimedia Applications @ CESNET/FI MU](#page-48-0)

- [SW-based Solutions](#page-51-0)
- **[HW-based Solutions](#page-56-0)**
- [CineGRID](#page-57-0)

[Further Information & Information Sources](#page-58-0)

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>Lecture Overview

- [Media, Multimedia Applications](#page-3-0)
	- **[Media Characteristics](#page-6-0)**
	- **•** [Media Classification](#page-16-0)
- [Multimedia Requirements on the Communication Network](#page-18-0)
	- **[Traffic Requirements](#page-20-0)**
	- **[Functional Requirements](#page-36-0)**
- 3 [Multimedia Applications @ CESNET/FI MU](#page-48-0)
	- [SW-based Solutions](#page-51-0)
	- **[HW-based Solutions](#page-56-0)**
	- [CineGRID](#page-57-0)

[Further Information & Information Sources](#page-58-0)

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Multimedia Applications I.

- $\bullet$  multimedia  $=$  the information/data that is composed of a number of different types/forms of media which are integrated together in some way
	- $\bullet$  media = text, images, speech/audio, video, interaction, etc.

#### multimedia applications

- powerful technologies that can enable remote sharing of resources or interactive work collaborations
	- thus saving both time and money
- examples of multimedia applications:
	- $\bullet$  video telephony/conferencing (speech and video)
	- multimedia electronic mail (text, images, and audio)
	- radio/television broadcast (audio and video)
	- electronic commerce (text, images, audio, and video)
	- web TV, distant learning (text, audio, and video)
	- real-time interactive and collaborative work environments (audio, video, and interaction)
	- $\bullet$  . . .

### Multimedia Applications II.

- while text is inherently digital, other media types (sound, visuals) can be analog
	- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  these are required to be converted into the digital form using appropriate analog to digital conversion techniques
	- then, the integrated digital information stream can be stored within a computer and/or transmitted over a network
- in order to reduce the volume of information to be transferred, appropriate compression algorithms can be applied
	- suitable for the particular media type
	- $\bullet$  lossy compression eliminate redundant information from data and subsequently introduce distortion or noise in the original data
	- *lossless compression* do not loose any information (received data is exactly identical to the original data)
- the communication requirements highly differ depending on the type of media/application

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Text I.

- most popular media type
- distributed in many forms
	- FTP (File Transfer Protocol), HTTP (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol), SMTP (Simple Mail Transfer Protocol), etc.
- bandwidth requirements depend on its size
	- can be further easily reduced by compression techniques
- **e** error characteristics depend largely on the application
	- $\bullet$  some text applications (e.g., file transfer) require text communication to be completely loss/error free  $\rightarrow$  TCP should be used
	- some text applications (e.g., instant messaging) may tolerate some errors as well as losses  $\rightarrow$  UDP can be used
- regarding the delay, text-based applications usually do not have any real-time constraints (such as bounded delay or jitter)
	- however, applications like instant messaging do require some guarantees on the experienced delay

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Text II.



#### Figure: Text Compression schemes.

#### Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Audio I.

- $\bullet$  = sound/speech converted into digital form using sampling and quantization techniques
	- sampling a reduction of a continuous signal to a discrete signal  $\bullet$  the samples are scanned in defined time periods (= sample rate)
	- quantization process of mapping/approximating the samples' signal strenghts by discrete symbols or integer values



#### Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Audio II.

- bandwidth requirements of digitized audio depend on its dynamic range and/or frequency spectrum
	- e.g., telephone-grade voice uses dynamic range reduction (logarithmic A-law mechanism)
		- $\bullet$  thus, the linear range of 12 bits is reduced to a nonlinear range of 8 bits only  $\Rightarrow$  throughput is reduced from 96 kbps to 64 kbps
	- a number of compression schemes also exist



#### Figure: Audio Compression schemes.



#### Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Audio III.

- audio media type has loose requirements on *packet loss/errors* 
	- $\bullet$  it can tolerate up to 1 to 2% packet loss/error without much degradation
	- most audio applications have further inbuilt mechanisms to deal with the lost packets using advanced interpolation techniques
- the real-time/latency requirements strictly depend on the expected interactivity between the involved parties
	- e.g., Internet telephony/conferencing applications are highly interactive and require shorter response times
		- the audio media requires strong bounds on end-to-end packet delay/jitter to be of acceptable/decipherable quality
		- $\bullet$  = Real-Time Intolerant (RTI) applications
		- most RTI applications require the end-to-end delay to be limited by  $\approx 100 - 200$  msec to get an acceptable performance
	- o on the other side, e.g., Internet webcasts have relatively low interactivity
		- it requires weaker bounds on delay/jitter
		- $\bullet$  = Real-Time Tolerant (RTT) applications

#### Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Graphics and Animation I.

- includes static media types (e.g., digital images) and dynamic media types (e.g., flash presentations)
- an uncompressed, digitally encoded image consists of an array of pixels, with each pixel encoded in a number of bits to represent luminance and color
	- usually *large in size*  $\Rightarrow$  compression schemes are usually used
- most modern image compression schemes are *progressive*  $\Rightarrow$  this has important implications to transmission over the communication networks
	- when such an image is received and decompressed, the receiver can display the image in a low-quality format and then improve the display as subsequent image information is received and decompressed
		- $\bullet$  e.g., a *pyramid-coding method* images encoded into layers, where early layers are of low resolution and the later layers progressively increase the resolution
- usually error-tolerant
	- **•** provided the application used to render them knows how to handle lost packets
- similarly to text files, images do not have any real-time constraints

### Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View)

#### Graphics and Animation II.



#### Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Video I.

- a sequence of images/frames displayed at a certain rate (e.g., 24 or 30 frames per second)
- similarly to digitized audio, also transmitted as a stream of discrete packets over the network
- bandwidth requirements are usually very high, depending whether a compression method is employed or not
	- *uncompressed video* usually large in size, but allows to minimize the end-to-end latency
		- $\bullet$  HD = 1.5 Gbps, 2K = 3 Gbps, 4K = 6 Gbps, etc.
	- compressed video smaller in size, but the compression method increases the latency
		- thus not suitable for real-time applications (particularly for the ones with strict real-time constraints)
- error requirements and real-time characteristics similar to the audio ones

#### Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Video II.



#### Figure: Video Compression schemes.

### Media Characteristics (From the Networking Point of View) Summary



#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>Media Classification (from the networking perspective) I.

- all media types can be classified as either real-time  $(RT)$  or non real-time (NRT)
	- RT media types require either hard or soft bounds on the end-to-end packet delay/jitter
	- NRT media types (text, image files, etc.) do not have any strict delay constraints
		- but may have rigid constraints on error
- the RT media can be further classified as **discrete media** (DM) or continuous media (CM)
	- depending on whether the data is transmitted in a discrete quantum (e.g., file or message) or continuously (as a stream of messages with inter-message dependency)
- the RT-CM media can be further classified as delay tolerant or delay intolerant
	- depending on whether the media can tolerate higher amounts of delay without significant performance degradation

### <span id="page-16-0"></span>Media Classification (from the networking perspective) II.



Figure: Network oriented classification of media types.

### <span id="page-17-0"></span>Lecture Overview

[Media, Multimedia Applications](#page-3-0)

- **[Media Characteristics](#page-6-0)**
- **[Media Classification](#page-16-0)**

[Multimedia Requirements on the Communication Network](#page-18-0)

- **[Traffic Requirements](#page-20-0)**
- **•** [Functional Requirements](#page-36-0)

[Multimedia Applications @ CESNET/FI MU](#page-48-0)

- [SW-based Solutions](#page-51-0)
- **[HW-based Solutions](#page-56-0)**
- [CineGRID](#page-57-0)

[Further Information & Information Sources](#page-58-0)

### <span id="page-18-0"></span>Multimedia Requirements on the Communication Network

- **•** can be generally divided into:
	- traffic requirements limits on real-time parameters (such as delay, jitter, bandwidth, and reliability)
		- **•** can be met only by enhancements to the basic Internet Architecture
	- functional requirements support for multimedia services (such as multicasting, security, mobility, and session management)
		- $\bullet$  can be met by introducing newer protocols over the TCP/IP networking stack

<span id="page-19-0"></span>Real-time characteristics (limits on delay and jitter) I.

- real-time traffic enforces strict bounds on end-to-end packet delay and jitter
	- $\bullet$  delay = time taken by the packet to travel from the source to the destination
		- human beings can tolerate a latency of  $\approx 100 200$  msec
	- $\bullet$  *jitter*  $=$  variability in the inter-packet delay at the receiver
	- the performance improves with decrease in both these quantities
- the end-to-end delay is influenced by:
	- Packet Processing Delay
	- **•** Packet Transmission Delay
	- Propagation Delay
	- Routing and Queuing delay

<span id="page-20-0"></span>Real-time characteristics (limits on delay and jitter) II.

#### Packet Processing Delay

- a constant amount of delay faced at both the source and the destination
	- $\bullet$  A/D, D/A conversion time and time taken to packetize it through different layers of protocols
- usually a characteristic of the operating system and the multimedia application
	- for a lightly loaded system this can be considered as negligible
	- however, with increasing load this delay can become significant
- its reductions imply software enhancements
	- including the use of multimedia OSs that provide enhanced process-, resource-, file- and memory-management techniques with real-time scheduling, and
	- enhancing the application

Real-time characteristics (limits on delay and jitter) III.

#### Packet Transmission Delay

- $\bullet$  time taken by the physical layer at the source to transmit the packets over the link
- $\bullet$  depends on multiple factors:
	- $\bullet$  number of active sessions since physical layer processes packets in FIFO order, this may become significant especially if the OS does not support real-time scheduling algorithms supporting multimedia traffic
	- $\bullet$  MAC access delay the delay influenced by accessing the media by the data sender(s)
		- collisions lead to delayed service time
		- widespread Ethernet networks cannot provide any firm guarantees on this access delay (due to the indeterminism of the CSMA/CD approach)
		- e.g., Isochronous Ethernet (integrated voice data LAN, IEEE 802.9) and demand priority Ethernet (100Base-VG, AnyLAN, IEEE 802.12) can provide QoS
	- context switch in the  $OS$  sending or receiving a packet involves context switch in the OS
		- $\bullet$  takes a finite time  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a theoretical maximum at which computer can transmit packets
		- reduction in this delay require enhancements to the device drivers and increasing the operating speed of the computer

Real-time characteristics (limits on delay and jitter) IV.

#### Propagation Delay

- the flight time of packets over the transmission link
	- limited by the speed of the transmission signal (at most, by the light)
- shorter distances imply shorter propagation delays
	- distance of 200  $m \Rightarrow$  propagation delay  $\approx 1 \, ms$
	- distance of 20000 km  $\Rightarrow$  propagation delay  $\approx$  100 ms (!!!)
		- the physical limits which cannot be reduced! (speed of the light)
		- remember, interactive applications require the response time to be lower than  $100 - 200$  ms
		- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  if the propagation delay becomes greater than this value, no enhancements can improve the quality of the interactive sessions

Real-time characteristics (limits on delay and jitter) V.

#### Routing and Queuing Delay

- the best-effort Internet treats every packet equally
	- regardless of whether it is a real-time packet or a non-real-time packet
- all intermediate routers make independent routing decisions for every incoming packet
	- when packets arrive at a queue, they have to wait for a random amount of time before they can be serviced
		- depends on the current load on the router
- this delay is random and thus becomes the major contributor to *jitter* in the traffic streams
- can be reduced by:
	- Integrated Services (Intserv), Differentiated Services (Diffserv), MPLS, etc.

#### Real-time characteristics (limits on delay and jitter) VI.



Figure: Integrated services model.

Real-time characteristics (limits on delay and jitter) VII.



Figure: Differentiated services model.

Bandwidth I.

- multimedia traffic streams have usually high bandwidth demands
- the communication network must be able to handle such high bandwidth requirements
	- without being unfair to other conventional flows
	- the required bandwidth can be reduced by compression mechanisms
		- $\bullet$  but, these cannot be used for all multimedia transmissions (e.g., interactive real-time video)
- the best-effort Internet does not provide any mechanism to reserve network resources to meet such high bandwidth requirements
	- left to the discretion of the application to dynamically adapt to network congestions
		- TCP-based applications take advantage of built in congestion control
		- however, multimedia apps are usually UDP-based these do not have any mechanism to control congestion (they can create a congestion collapse)

Bandwidth II.

- impossible to remove these shortcomings in the nowadays best-effort Internet
	- an enhanced Internet service model would require:
		- Admission control: application must first get a permission to send traffic at a given rate with given traffic characteristics
		- Bandwidth reservation: if the admission is given, appropriate resources (buffers, bandwidth) will get reserved along the path
		- Traffic policing mechanisms: to ensure that applications do not send data at a rate higher than what was negotiated
- cost of the protocol processing operations relates more directly to the packet processing rate than to the bandwidth (in terms of bit rate)
	- packet processing is largely dependent on number of packets and less on packet size
	- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  packet processing rate is an important metric

#### Error Requirements

• different media types have vastly different error requirements

- $\bullet$  (error  $=$  lost or damaged packet)
- from being completely error-intolerant to being somewhat error-tolerant
- the best-effort Internet cannot provide guarantees on the error characteristics because the path a packet follows is not fixed
	- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  the sender application has no knowledge of the error characteristics of the network
- most common methods for error correction:
	- Sender-based Repair
		- active repair Automatic Retransmission Request (ARQ) mechanisms, suitable for error intolerant applications
		- **•** passive repair Forward Error Correction (FEC), Interleaving, etc.
	- Receiver-based Repair Error Concealment

Error Recovery – Forward Error Correction (FEC) I.

- requires extra information (repair data) to be added to the packet stream
	- this information is used for recovering lost packets
- Media-independent FEC uses block or algebraic codes to produce additional packets which help in loss recovery
	- codes take a codeword of  $n$  data packets and generate  $m$  additional check packets
	- examples:
		- Parity Coding  $-$  XOR is applied across groups of packets to generate parity packets
		- Reed-Solomon Coding based on properties of polynomials over particular number bases
	- disadvantage: cause additional delay and increase bandwidth usage

Error Recovery – Forward Error Correction (FEC) II.

- Media-dependent FEC exploits media characteristics
	- each data unit is sent in multiple packets
		- $\bullet$  primary encoding  $+$  secondary encoding (could be of lower quality/bandwidth than the primary one)
		- may not be necessary to transmit FEC for every packet due to nature of the media
	- advantage: low latency (only single packet delay added)  $\Rightarrow$  suitable for interactive applications
- it is advantagenous for an application to know the error characteristics of the communication network
	- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  adequate level of FEC can be employed
		- e.g., wireless vs. wired networks

Error Recovery – Forward Error Correction (FEC) III.



Figure: A FEC technique – second stream in a lower quality.

Error Recovery – Interleaving II.

- can be used only when media unit size is smaller than packet size (e.g., audio units) and the end-to-end delay is not important
- $\bullet$  based on resequencing media units before transmission (originally adjacent units are separated by a guaranteed distance) and returning to the original order at the receiver
	- disperses the effect of the packet loss
		- loss of a single packet causes multiple smaller gaps among original media units (easier to deal with)
- advantage: does not increase bandwidth usage
- $\bullet$  disadvantage: increases latency  $\Rightarrow$  not well suited for interactive applications

#### Traffic Requirements Error Requirements – Interleaving II.



Figure: Interleaving technique.

Error Requirements – Error Concealment

- predicting/compensating the lost information from correctly received packets
- used by many error-tolerant applications
	- works well for relatively small loss rates  $(< 15\%)$  and for small packets  $(4 - 40$  ms)
- several types (in increasing order of computational cost and improved performance):
	- Insertion-based: inserting a fill-in packet that contains silence, noise, or a repitition of adjacent packets
	- Interpolation-based: some form of pattern matching and interpolation to derive the missing packet
	- Regeneration-based: derive decoder state from packets surrounding the loss and generate a lost packet from that  $(=$  model based recovery)

# <span id="page-35-0"></span>Functional Requirements

Multicasting Support I.

- multicast  $=$  delivery of a message/information to a group of destination computers simultaneously in a single transmission from the source, creating copies automatically in inner network elements (e.g., routers) only when the topology of the network requires it
	- network property (hop-by-hop, not end-to-end service)
	- however, not available in many networks
		- due to problems it usually brings
	- employed protocols: IGMP, DVMRP, MOSPF, PIM, etc.
	- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  can be compensated by the application layer (virtual) multicast
		- a single (or a set of) special-purpose node(s)/application(s) in the network reflecting the incoming traffic
		- e.g., UDP packet reflector, (Distributed) Active Element, SIP and H.323 MCUs, etc.
		- can provide additional features (data processing, access control, moderating, etc.)

### <span id="page-36-0"></span>Functional Requirements Multicasting Support II.



Figure: Native Multicast Distribution Tree (at most one data copy per link).

### Functional Requirements Multicasting Support III.



Figure: Virtual Multicast Distribution Tree (one data copy per host).

Eva Hladká (FI MU) [7. Networks & Multimedia](#page-0-0) Autumn 2019 38 / 59

#### Functional Requirements Security & Mobility

#### **Security**

- **•** provides the following aspects to multimedia data:
	- $\bullet$  Integrity = data cannot be changed in mid-flight
	- Authenticity  $=$  data comes from the right source
	- *Encryption*  $=$  data cannot be deciphered by any third party
- ensured by IPSec/IPv6
	- Authentication Header (AH) and Encapsulated Security Payload (ESP) protocols

#### Mobility

•  $\approx$  mobility in IPv6

# Functional Requirements

Session Management I.

The session management functionality includes:

- Media Description
	- enables a distributed multimedia application to distribute session information
		- e.g., media type (audio, video or data) used in the session, media encoding schemes (PCM, MPEG II), session start time, session stop time, IP addresses of involved hosts, etc.
	- usually essential to describe the session before establishment
	- e.g., Session Description Protocol (SDP), Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)
- Session Announcement
	- allows participants to announce future sessions
		- e.g., announcing scheduled shows by Internet radio stations
	- e.g., Session Announcement Protocol (SAP)

# Functional Requirements

Session Management II.

- **•** Session Identification
	- a multimedia session often consists of multiple media streams (audio, video, text, images, etc.) that need to be separately identified
		- e.g., sending the audio and video as two separate streams, which the receiver needs to decode synchronously
		- $\bullet$  e.g., dividing the quality of the A/V stream into low-bandwidth and high-bandwidth ones
	- e.g., Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP)
- **•** Session Control
	- since multimedia session may involve multiple media streams, whose information is often inter-related, the multimedia communication network must guarantee to maintain such relationships at the end user

 $\bullet = Multimedia Synchronization$ 

- moreover, many Internet multimedia applications may want to control the playback of continuous media by pausing, playing-back, repositioning playback, etc.
- e.g., Real-Time Control Protocol (RTCP), Real-Time Streaming **Protocol (RTSP)**<br>Eva Hladká (ELMU)

Session Description Protocol (SDP) I.

- developed by IETF
- a format for describing streaming media parameters (media type, media encoding, etc.)
- more of a description syntax than a protocol
- media descriptions encoded in text format
	- SDP message contains a series of lines (called fields)
	- each field has a <tag>=<value> format
		- $\bullet$  tag  $=$  a pre-defined single letter abbreviation

Session Description Protocol (SDP) II.

```
Session description
v= (protocol version)
o= (originator and session identifier)
s= (session name)
i=* (session information)
u=* (URI of description)
e=* (email address)
p=* (phone number)
c=* (connection information -- not required if included in all media)
b=* (zero or more bandwidth information lines)
One or more time descriptions ("t=" and "r=" lines; see below)
z=* (time zone adjustments)
k=* (encryption key)
a=* (zero or more session attribute lines)
Zero or more media descriptions
Time description
t= (time the session is active)
r=* (zero or more repeat times)
Media description, if present
m= (media name and transport address)
i=* (media title)
c=* (connection information -- optional if included at
session level)
b=* (zero or more bandwidth information lines)
k=* (encryption key)
a=* (zero or more media attribute lines)
```
Session Announcement Protocol (SAP)

- used for advertising multicast conferences and other multicast sessions
	- SAP announcer periodically multicasts announcement packets to a well-known multicast address and port (port number 9875)
		- with the same scope as the session being announced  $\Rightarrow$ announcements' recipients are also potential recipients of sessions being advertised
- uses SDP to describe the session(s)
- **•** contains mechanisms for:
	- ensuring integrity of session announcements
	- authenticating the origin of an announcement
	- encrypting such announcements

Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP)

- **•** runs on top of UDP (usually implemented within the application)
- $\bullet$  carries chunks of real-time (audio/video) data
- **•** provides:
	- Sequencing:
		- a sequence number in the RTP header helps to detect lost packets
	- Payload identification:
		- **•** payload identifier (included in each RTP packet) describes encoding of the media
	- **•** Frame indication:
		- $\bullet$  video and audio are sent in logical units called frames RTP's frame marker bit indicates the beginning and end of a frame
	- **•** Source identification:
		- $\bullet$  to identify the originator of a frame in a multicast session, the Synchronization Source (SSRC) identifier is provided
	- **·** Intramedia synchronization:
		- to compensate for different delay and jitter for packets within the same stream, timestamps are provided
	- additional media information can be inserted using profile headers and extensions
- RTP cannot assure any quality of the transmissions, but provides resources to the applications to do that

Real-Time Control Protocol (RTCP)

- a control protocol that works in conjunction with RTP
	- the primary function of RTCP is to gather statistics on quality aspects of the media distribution during a session and to transmit this data to the session media source and other session participants
	- the participants periodically send RTCP packets to give feedback about the quality of the received data
- provides out-of-band statistics and control information for an RTP flow
	- packets sent, lost, jitter, round-trip time, etc.
	- sources can use them to adjust their data rate (adaptive media encoding) and for detection of transmission faults
	- enclosed time information can be used for synchronization purposes
- o other information includes:
	- email address, phone number, name, etc.
	- allow users to know the identities of other users in the session

### Session Management Protocols Real-Time Streaming Protocol (RTSP)

- an out-of-band control protocol that allows the media player application to control the transmission of the media stream
	- including functions such as pause, resume, repositioning, playback, etc.
	- the transmission of streaming data itself is not a task of the RTSP protocol
- designed to work with RTP (and RSVP) to provide a complete streaming service over the Internet
- similar to HTTP in some ways
	- but, HTTP is stateless, while RTSP is statefull (an identifier is used when needed to track concurrent session)

## <span id="page-47-0"></span>Lecture Overview

[Media, Multimedia Applications](#page-3-0)

- **[Media Characteristics](#page-6-0)**
- **[Media Classification](#page-16-0)**

[Multimedia Requirements on the Communication Network](#page-18-0)

- **[Traffic Requirements](#page-20-0)**
- **[Functional Requirements](#page-36-0)**

#### 3 [Multimedia Applications @ CESNET/FI MU](#page-48-0)

- [SW-based Solutions](#page-51-0)
- **[HW-based Solutions](#page-56-0)**
- [CineGRID](#page-57-0)

[Further Information & Information Sources](#page-58-0)

### <span id="page-48-0"></span>State-of-the-Art I.

- current research in the area of multimedia networking mainly focuses on video media transmissions
- the research issues include:
	- to allow higher resolutions (4K, 8K, etc.)
	- to provide minimal latency (uncompressed video transmissions)
	- to lower the required transmission throughputs (JPEG2000, JPEG2000 on GPUs)
	- (including their combinations)



### State-of-the-Art II.

achieved by employing both SW (e.g., Ultragrid) and HW tools/devices (e.g., MVTP-4K)



Figure: Modular Video Transfer Platform (MVTP) designed by Cesnet.

# <span id="page-50-0"></span>FI:PA177 (High Performance Computing)



Figure: FI:PA177 (High Performance Computing) by Ultragrid & UDP packet reflectors: Course taught by prof. Thomas Sterling at Louisiana State University and transferred in realtime to FI students (uncompressed HD @ 1.5 Gbps). Remotely-involved universities include: FI MU, University of Arkansas, Louisiana Technical University, MCNC North Carolina, and North Carolina State University.

# <span id="page-51-0"></span>FI:PA177 (High Performance Computing)



#### Figure: LSU side.

### FI:PA177 (High Performance Computing) Connectivity scheme



Figure: FI:PA177 (High Performance Computing) by Ultragrid & UDP packet reflectors: Connectivity scheme with time axis.

### Visualisations in Collaborative Environments



Figure: High-volume real-time visualisation in collaborative environment: HDTV stream generated in Baton Rouge and transported (by Ultragrid) to Brno and San Diego.

Eva Hladk´a (FI MU) [7. Networks & Multimedia](#page-0-0) Autumn 2019 54 / 59

## Collaborative Environments Orchestration – CoUniverse



Figure: CoUniverse architecture overview.

#### <span id="page-55-0"></span>MVTP-4K demo



Figure: Cinepost demo (4K video @ 4.5Gbps) by MVTP: real-time (i.e., interactive) movies' post-production.

### <span id="page-56-0"></span>CineGRID

- a non-profit international membership organization
- promotes research, development, and deployment of new distributed applications of ultra-high performance digital media (sound and picture) over advanced (optical) networks
- established in 2007
- members from commerce (Cisco, Sony, JVC, Sharp, etc.) as well as education (many universities and research organization, including CESNET)



<www.cinegrid.org>

## <span id="page-57-0"></span>Lecture Overview

[Media, Multimedia Applications](#page-3-0)

- **[Media Characteristics](#page-6-0)**
- **[Media Classification](#page-16-0)**

[Multimedia Requirements on the Communication Network](#page-18-0)

- **[Traffic Requirements](#page-20-0)**
- **[Functional Requirements](#page-36-0)**

#### 3 [Multimedia Applications @ CESNET/FI MU](#page-48-0)

- [SW-based Solutions](#page-51-0)
- **[HW-based Solutions](#page-56-0)**
- [CineGRID](#page-57-0)

#### [Further Information & Information Sources](#page-58-0)

# <span id="page-58-0"></span>Further Information & Information Sources

FI Courses:

- PV188: Principles of Multimedia Processing and Transport (doc. Hladká, dr. Liška, Ing. Šiler)
- PV235: Basics of IP telephony (doc. Dočkal, doc. Hladká)

Literature:

- S. Khanvilkar, F. Bashir, D. Schonfeld, and A. Khokhar: Multimedia Networks and Communication. University of Illinois, Chicago. 2002.
- S. M. Rahman: Multimedia networking: technology, management and applications. Idea Group Inc (IGI), 2002.
- J. N. Hwang: Multimedia Networking: From Theory to Practice. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- **e** relevant RFCs

 $\bullet$  . . .