IA169 System Verification and Assurance

Verification of Systems with Probabilities

Vojtěch Řehák Jiří Barnat



What are the properties of the model?

- G(working \implies F done)
- G(working \implies F error)
- *FG*(working \lor error \lor repair)



What are the properties of the model?

- G(working \implies F done)
- G(working \implies F error)
- *FG*(working \lor error \lor repair)

NO



What are the properties of the model?

- G(working \implies F done)
- G(working \implies F error)
- *FG*(working \lor error \lor repair)

IA169 System Verification and Assurance – 10

NO

NO



What are the properties of the model?

- $G(\text{working} \implies F \text{ done})$ NO • $G(\text{working} \implies F \text{ error})$ NO NO
- FG(working \lor error \lor repair)



What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working"

- with no visit of "error"?
- with at most one visit of "error"?
- with arbitrary many visits of "error"?



What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working"

• with no visit of "error"?

0.95

- with at most one visit of "error"?
- with arbitrary many visits of "error"?



What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working"

• with no visit of "error"?

0.95

0.95 + (0.05*0.95)

- with at most one visit of "error"?
- with arbitrary many visits of "error"?



What is the probability of reaching "done" from "working"

with no visit of "error"?
with at most one visit of "error"?
with arbitrary many visits of "error"?
1

Discrete-time Markov Chains (DTMC)

Discrete-time Markov Chains (DTMC)

- Standard modeling formalism for probabilistic systems.
- A finite diagram of states and state-changing transitions.
- Each transition is annotated with a probability p ($p \in [0, 1]$).
- The probabilities over transitions from a single state sum to 1. (They form discrete probability distribution.)

Observation

- Markov property ("memoryless structure") only the current state determines the successors (the past states are irrelevant).
- Each state has at least one outgoing edge ("no deadlock").

DTMC Examples

Task: create DTMC modeling the following scenario

- A queue for at most 4 items.
- States of the graph encode how many items are enqueued.
- Every transitions encodes that either an item has arrived in the queue or one item has been consumed from the queue (exclusive or).
- Arrival of an item happens with the probability of 1/3, while the dequeue operation happens with the probability of 2/3.

DTMC Examples

Task: create DTMC modeling the following scenario

- A queue for at most 4 items.
- States of the graph encode how many items are enqueued.
- Every transitions encodes that either an item has arrived in the queue or one item has been consumed from the queue (exclusive or).
- Arrival of an item happens with the probability of 1/3, while the dequeue operation happens with the probability of 2/3.



DTMC examples

Task: create DTMC modeling the following scenario - continued

- If the actions of item arrival and item removal are independent, they both have their own probability of appearance with every time tick.
- A new item comes with probability p = 1/2, an item is removed with probability q = 2/3?
- With every time tick, one of the actions may occur, both actions may occur simultaneously, or none of them may occur at all.

DTMC examples

Task: create DTMC modeling the following scenario - continued

- If the actions of item arrival and item removal are independent, they both have their own probability of appearance with every time tick.
- A new item comes with probability p = 1/2, an item is removed with probability q = 2/3?
- With every time tick, one of the actions may occur, both actions may occur simultaneously, or none of them may occur at all.



Discrete-time Markov Chain is given by

- a set of states S,
- an initial state s_0 of S,
- \bullet a probability matrix $P:S\times S\rightarrow [0,1],$ and
- an interpretation of atomic propositions $I: S \rightarrow AP$.

Discrete-time Markov Chain is given by

- a set of states S,
- an initial state s_0 of S,
- a probability matrix P:S imes S
 ightarrow [0,1], and
- an interpretation of atomic propositions $I: S \rightarrow AP$.



Property Specification

Property specification languages

Recall some non-probabilistic specification languages:

LTL formulae

$$\varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid X \varphi \mid \varphi U \varphi$$

CTL formulae

$$\varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid EX \varphi \mid E[\varphi \cup \varphi] \mid EG \varphi$$

Syntax of CTL*

state formula
$$\varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid E \psi$$
path formula $\psi ::= \varphi \mid \neg \psi \mid \psi \lor \psi \mid X \psi \mid \psi U \psi$

IA169 System Verification and Assurance - 10

Property specification languages

We need to quantify probability that a certain behaviour will occur.

Probabilistic Computation Tree Logic (PCTL)

Syntax of PCTL

state formula	$\varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid P_{\bowtie b} \psi$
path formula	$\psi ::= X \varphi \mid \varphi U \varphi \mid \varphi U^{\leq k} \varphi$

where

- $b \in [0,1]$ is a probability bound,
- $\bullet \bowtie \in \{\leq, <, \geq, >\},$ and
- $k \in \mathbf{N}$ is a bound on the number of steps.

Property specification languages

We need to quantify probability that a certain behaviour will occur.

Probabilistic Computation Tree Logic (PCTL)

Syntax of PCTL

state formula	$\varphi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \lor \varphi \mid P_{\bowtie b} \psi$
path formula	$\psi ::= X \varphi \mid \varphi U \varphi \mid \varphi U^{\leq k} \varphi$

where

- $b \in [0,1]$ is a probability bound,
- $\bullet \bowtie \in \{\leq, <, \geq, >\},$ and
- $k \in \mathbf{N}$ is a bound on the number of steps.

A PCTL formula is always a state formula.

 $\alpha U^{\leq k} \beta$ is a bounded until saying that α holds until β within k steps. For k = 3 it is equivalent to $\beta \lor (\alpha \land X \beta) \lor (\alpha \land X (\beta \lor \alpha \land X \beta))$.

Some tools also supports $P_{=?}\psi$ asking for the probability that the specified behaviour will occur.

IA169 System Verification and Assurance – 10

PCTL examples

We can also use derived operators like G, F, \land , \Rightarrow , etc.



Probabilistic reachability $P_{\geq 1}(F \text{ done})$

• probability of reaching the state *done* is equal to 1

Probabilistic bounded reachability $P_{>0.99}(F^{\leq 6} done)$

- probability of reaching the state *done* in at most 6 steps is > 0.99 **Probabilistic until** $P_{<0.96}((\neg error) U(done))$
 - probability of reaching *done* with no visit of *error* is less than 0.96

Qualitative PCTL properties

• $P_{\bowtie b} \psi$ where b is either 0 or 1

Quantitative PCTL properties

• $P_{\bowtie b} \psi$ where $b \in (0,1)$

Selected Qualitative PCTL Properties

In DTMC where zero probability edges are erased, it holds that

- $P_{>0}(X \varphi)$ is equivalent to $EX \varphi$
 - $\bullet\,$ there is a next state satisfying φ
- $P_{\geq 1}(X \varphi)$ is equivalent to $AX \varphi$
 - $\bullet\,$ the next states satisfy φ
- $P_{>0}(F\varphi)$ is equivalent to $EF\varphi$
 - $\bullet\,$ there exists a finite path to a state satisfying φ

but

 P_{≥1}(F φ) is **not** equivalent to AF φ (see, e.g., AF done on our running example)

Selected Qualitative PCTL Properties

In DTMC where zero probability edges are erased, it holds that

- $P_{>0}(X \varphi)$ is equivalent to $EX \varphi$
 - $\bullet\,$ there is a next state satisfying φ
- $P_{\geq 1}(X \varphi)$ is equivalent to $AX \varphi$
 - $\bullet\,$ the next states satisfy φ
- $P_{>0}(F\varphi)$ is equivalent to $EF\varphi$
 - $\bullet\,$ there exists a finite path to a state satisfying φ

but

 P_{≥1}(F φ) is **not** equivalent to AF φ (see, e.g., AF done on our running example)

There is no CTL formula equivalent to $P_{\geq 1}(F\varphi)$, and no PCTL formula equivalent to $AF\varphi$.

Analysis of Discrete-time Markov Chains

Transient analysis

- probability distribution after k-steps
- probability of reaching a state within k-steps

Long run analysis

- states visited infinitely often with probability one
- stationary (invariant) distribution

Model Checking

- model checking DTMCs
- model checking MDPs

Transient Analysis



	Γ0	1	0	0	0]	
	0	0	0.05	0	0.95	
P =	0	0	0 0.05 0 0	1	0	
	0	1	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	1	



	Γ0	1	0	0	0]	
	0	0	0.05	0	0.95	
P =	0	0	0 0.05 0 0	1	0	
	0	1	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	1	

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



	Γ0	1	0	0	0]	
	0	0	0.05	0	0.95	
P =	0	0	0 0.05 0 0 0	1	0	
	0	1	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	1	

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$



	Γ0	1	0	0	0]	
	0	0	0.05	0	0.95	
P =	0	0	0 0.05 0 0	1	0	
	0	1	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	1	

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$



	Γ0	1	0	0	0]	
	0	0	0.05	0	0.95	
P =	0	0	0 0.05 0 0 0	1	0	
	0	1	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	1	

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$



	Γ0	1	0	0	0]	
	0	0	0.05	0	0.95	
P =	0	0	0 0.05 0 0 0	1	0	
	0	1	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	1	

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times P^5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.0025 & 0 & 0.9975 \end{bmatrix}$$



	Γ0	1	0	0	0]
P =	0	0	0.05	0	0.95
	0	0	0	1	0 0.95 0 0
	0	1	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1

Prob. of being in states 2 or 5 after k steps, i.e. $P_{=?}F^{=k}(2 \lor 5)$



Prob. of being in states 2 or 5 after k steps, i.e. $P_{=?}F^{=k}(2 \lor 5)$

$$P \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.95 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$P^{2} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.95 & 0.95 & 1 & 0.95 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$P^{3} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.95 & 1 & 0.95 & 0.95 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$P^{4} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.9975 & 0.95 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$P^{5} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9975 & 0.9975 & 1 & 0.9975 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

IA169 System Verification and Assurance – 10

Unbounded reachability

• Let p(s, A) be the probability of reaching a state in A from s.

Observation: It holds that:

•
$$p(s, A) = 1$$
 for $s \in A$

•
$$p(s,A) = \sum_{s' \in succ(s)} P(s,s') * p(s',A)$$
 for $s \notin A$

where succ(s) is a set of successors of s and P(s, s') is the probability on the edge from s to s'.

Theorem

• The minimal non-negative solution of the above equations equals to the probability of unbounded reachability.

"Up to" reachability

Task

- For the given DTMC compute the probability of reaching state 3 within 6 steps.
- Compute $P_{=?} F^{\leq 6}$ 3.



Wrong Solution

- BEWARE!
- We cannot sum the probabilities of repeated visits!

$$P_{=?} F^{\leq 6} 3 \neq \sum_{i=0}^{6} P_{=?} F^{=i} 3$$

"Up to" reachability - continued

Possible Solution 1

- We may only sum the probabilities if we make sure, that no revisit of a state is possible.
- We have to modify the DTMC.

•
$$P_{=?} F^{\leq 6} 3 = \sum_{i=0}^{6} P_{=?} F^{=i} 3$$



Possible Solution 2

• Alternativelly, we can make the target state absorbing.

•
$$P_{=?} F^{\leq 6} 3 = P_{=?} F^{=6} 3$$



Long Run Analysis

Long run analysis



Recall that we reach the state 5(done) with probability 1.

Long run analysis



Recall that we reach the state 5(done) with probability 1.



What are the states visited infinitely often with probability 1?

States visited infinitely often

Decompose the graph representation onto strongly connected components.



¹This holds only in DTMC models with finitely many states.

IA169 System Verification and Assurance - 10

States visited infinitely often

Decompose the graph representation onto strongly connected components.



Theorem ¹

- A state is **not visited** or **visited infinitely often** with probability 1 if and only if it is in a **bottom strongly connected component**.
- All other states are **visited finitely many times** with probability 1.

 $^{^{1}}$ This holds only in DTMC models with finitely many states. IA169 System Verification and Assurance – 10

How often is a state visited among the states visited infinitely many times?



Theorem

$$lim_{n\to\infty} E\left(\frac{\# \text{ visits of state } i \text{ during the first } n \text{ steps}}{n}\right) = \pi_i$$

where π is a so called **stationary** (or **steady-state** or **invariant** or **equilibrium**) distribution satisfying $\pi \times P = \pi$.

DTMC Extensions

Markov Decision Processes

Markov Decision Processes (MDP)

- Extends DTMC with non-determinism.
- For a given state, there is a choice of probability distribution we may use to proceed to the next state (non-deterministic choice of action, every action represents one probability distribution over the successors).

Model Checking MDPs

- Satisfaction of a property ranges between **Pmin** and **Pmax** depending on the resolution of the non-determinism.
- By resolving the non-determinism in MDP we get DTMC.
- PRISM Probabilistic model checker

Other DTMC, MDP Extensions

- Rewards
- Partial observability

IA169 System Verification and Assurance - 10