Autotuning

Introduction to autotuning, overview of our research

Jiří Filipovič et al. Institute of Computer Science Masaryk University

2019

 $2Q$

≣

画

Program development workflow

Implementation questions

- \blacktriangleright which algorithm to use?
- \triangleright how to implement the algorithm efficiently?
- \blacktriangleright how to set-up a compiler?

 \leftarrow \Box

 \leftarrow \overline{m} \rightarrow

K 로)

Program development workflow

Compiler's questions

- \blacktriangleright how to map variables to registers?
- \triangleright which unrolling factor to use for a loop?
- \triangleright which functions should be inlined?
- \blacktriangleright and many others...

 \leftarrow \Box

高 \sim $2Q$

佳

Program development workflow

Execution

- \triangleright how many nodes and threads assign to the program?
- \blacktriangleright should accelerators be used?
- \triangleright how to mix MPI and OpenMP threads?

 \leftarrow \Box

 \leftarrow \overline{m} \rightarrow

 $2Q$

K 로)

Program development workflow

Execution

- \triangleright how many nodes and threads assign to the program?
- \blacktriangleright should accelerators be used?
- \triangleright how to mix MPI and OpenMP threads?
- A compiler works with heuristics, people usually too.

 4.17 ± 1.0

 Ω

Tuning of the program

We can empirically tune those possibilities

- \blacktriangleright use different algorithm
- \blacktriangleright change code optimizations
- \triangleright use different compiler flags
- \triangleright execute in a different number of threads
- \blacktriangleright etc.

 \leftarrow \Box

 \leftarrow \overline{m} \rightarrow

Tuning of the program

A tuning allows us to outperform heuristics – we just test what works better.

- \triangleright however, we have to invest more time into development
- \triangleright there are vertical dependencies, so we cannot perform tuning steps in isolation
- \triangleright the optimum usually depends on hardware and input

 $4.17 \times$

へのへ

The tuning can be automated

 \blacktriangleright then we talk about autotuning

Autotuning

- \triangleright in design time, we define the space of tuning parameters, which can be changed
- \triangleright each tuning parameter defines some property of the tuned application
- \triangleright a search method is used to traverse the space of tuning parameters efficiently
- \triangleright performed according to some objective, usually performance

つくい

Taxonomy of Autotuning

Tuning scope

- \triangleright what properties of the application are changed by autotuner
- \triangleright e.g. compiler flags, number of threads, source code optimizations parameters
- Tuning time
	- \triangleright off-line autotuning (performed once, e.g. after SW installation)
	- \triangleright dynamic autotuning (performed in runtime)

Developer involvement

- \triangleright transparent, or requiring only minor developer assist (e.g. compiler flags tuning)
- \triangleright low-level, requiring an expert programmer to identify tunning opportunities (e.g. optimizations param[et](#page-7-0)e[rs](#page-9-0)[tu](#page-8-0)[ni](#page-9-0)[n](#page-0-0)[g](#page-1-0)[\)](#page-9-0)

Our focus

We target autotuning of code optimization parameters

- \triangleright the source code is changed during a tuning process
- \triangleright the user defines how tuning parameters influence the code
- \triangleright very powerful (source code may control nearly everything)
- \blacktriangleright implementation is difficult
	- \blacktriangleright requires recompilation
	- \blacktriangleright runtime checks of correctness/precision
	- \triangleright non-trivial expression of tuning parameters
	- \triangleright we have no implicit assumptions about tuning space
- **•** heterogeneous computing (we are tuning OpenCL or CUDA code)

∢ ロ ▶ - ∢ @ ▶ - ∢ ミ

へのへ

 \triangleright offline and dynamic autotuning

Motivation Example

Let's solve a simple problem – vectors addition

- \triangleright we will use CUDA
- \blacktriangleright we want to optimize the code

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 舌

一 三 三 ト

 \sim

重

Motivation Example

```
__global__ void add (float* const a, float* b) {
    int i = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;b[i] += a[i]:
}
```
It should not be difficult to write different variants of the code...

メロメ メ御 メメ きょ メモメ

 $2Q$

目


```
__global__ void add (float4∗ const a , float4∗ b) {
    int i = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
    b[i] += a[i];
}
```
Kernel has to be executed with $n/4$ threads.

メロメ メ御 メメ きょくきょう

重


```
__global__ void add (float2∗ const a , float2∗ b) {
    int i = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
    b[i] += a[i];
}
```
Kernel has to be executed with $n/2$ threads.

メロメ メ御 メメ きょくきょう

重

Optimization

```
\Boxglobal\Box void add (float* const a, float* b, const int n) {
    int i = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
    for (i \in \{1, j\} += blockDim.x*gridDim.x)
        b[i] += a[i];
}
```
Kernel has to be executed with n/m threads, where m can be anything.

メロメ メ御き メミメ メミメー

 $2Q$

唾

What to Optimize?

Mixture of:

- \blacktriangleright thread-block size
- \blacktriangleright vector variables
- \blacktriangleright serial work
- i.e. 3D space and this is trivial example...

K ロ ▶ K 伊 ▶

∢ 重う

×

重

Autotuning

Autotuning tools may explore code parameters automatically

```
__global__ void
add (VECTYPE* const a, VECTYPE* b, const int n) {
    int i = 10ckIdx .x * blockDim x + threadIdx.x;
#if SERIAL WORK > 1
    for (: i < n: i += blockDim.x*gridDim.x)#endif
        b[i] += a[i];
}
```
The code executing kernel add has to configure parallelism according to values of VECTYPE and SERIAL WORK tuning parameters.

 $2Q$

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right\}$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right\}$

- 세로 베

Kernel Tuning Toolkit

We have developed a Kernel Tuning Toolkit (KTT)

- \triangleright a framework allowing to tune code parameters for OpenCL and CUDA
- \blacktriangleright allows both offline and dynamic tuning
- \blacktriangleright enables cross-kernel optimizations
- \blacktriangleright mature implementation, documented, with examples
- ▶ <https://github.com/Fillo7/KTT>

 $4.17 \times$

つくい

Kernel Tuning Toolkit

Typical workflow similar to CUDA/OpenCL

- \blacktriangleright initialize the tuner for a specified device
- \triangleright create input/output of the kernel
- \blacktriangleright create kernel
- \triangleright create a tuning space for the kernel
- \triangleright assign input/output to the kernel
- \blacktriangleright execute or tune the kernel

KTT creates a layer between an application and OpenCL/CUDA.

 $4.17 \times$

つくい

KTT Sample Code

```
// Initialize tuner and kernel
ktt:: Tuner tuner (platformIndex, deviceIndex);
const ktt :: DimensionVector ndRangeDimensions ( inputSize );
const ktt :: DimensionVector workGroupDimensions (128);
ktt :: KernelId foo = tuner . addKernelFromFile ( kernelFile , " foo " ,
  ndRangeDimensions , workGroupDimensions );
// Creation and assign of kernel arguments
ktt :: ArgumentId a = tuner . addArgumentVector ( srcA ,
  ktt :: ArgumentAccessType :: ReadOnly );
ktt :: ArgumentId b = tuner . addArgumentVector ( srcB ,
  ktt :: ArgumentAccessType :: WriteOnly );
tuner . setKernelArguments ( foo ,
  std :: vector < ktt :: ArgumentId >{a , b });
// Addition of tuning variables
tuner.addParameter(foo, "UNROLL", {1, 2, 4, 8});
tuner . tuneKernel ( foo );
rintFormat:SV);=
                                                              290
```
Kernel Tuning Toolkit

In practise, we usually need more functionality

- \triangleright tuning parameters can affect parallelism configuration (e.g. block and grid size in CUDA)
	- by pre-defined functions (e.g. multiply specified block/grid dimmension)
	- \triangleright by lambda function provided by programmer
- \triangleright some combinations of tuning parameters can be discarded a priori
	- \blacktriangleright lambda functions constraining tuning space
- \triangleright KTT can check, if tuned kernel runs successfully
	- \blacktriangleright automatic check of successful execution
	- \triangleright user can provide reference kernel, or reference class, and comparing function, KTT compares results automatically

イロト イ団ト イラト

へのへ

Advanced features of KTT

Cross-kernel optimizations

- \triangleright the user can add specific code for kernels execution into launchComputation method
- \blacktriangleright the code may query tuning parameters
- \blacktriangleright the code may call multiple kernels
- \triangleright allows tuning code parameters with wider influence, as tuned kernels do not need to be functionally equivalent

へのへ

Reduction

 299

 \equiv

メロメ メ都 メメ きょうくきょう

Advanced features of KTT

Dynamic autotuning

- \blacktriangleright dynamic tuning performs autotuning during application runtime
- \triangleright KTT can execute the best kernel known so far to perform kernel's task
- \triangleright or try different combination of tuning parameters before the execution
- \blacktriangleright tuning is transparent for the application
- \blacktriangleright tuning can be queried in any time

へのへ

Dynamic Tuning Sample

```
// Main application loop
while ( application_run ) {
  ...
  if ( tuningRequired )
    tuner.tuneKernelByStep (foo, {b});
  else {
    ktt :: ComputationResult best =
      tuner -> getBestComputationResult ( foo );
    tuner . runKernel ( compositionId ,
      best . getConfiguration () , {b });
  }
  ...
}
```
 $2Q$

∢ロ ▶ ∢ 倒 ▶ ∢ ヨ ▶

一人 ヨート

Dynamic tuning

Dynamic autotuning is challenging

- \triangleright when the kernel is executed, there must be no significant performance drop
- \triangleright automatic memory management has to move only necessary data
- \triangleright KTT has to support asynchronous execution of
	- \triangleright memory copy, host and device code execution
	- \triangleright simultaneous execution of multiple kernels

Parallelism in KTT

- \triangleright intra-manipulator: parallelism inside launchComputation method
- \triangleright global parallelism: asynchronous execution of multiple launchComputation instances

During autotuning, global parallelism is disa[ble](#page-24-0)[d.](#page-26-0)

 Ω

KTT Architecture

Jiří Filipovič et al. [Autotuning](#page-0-0)

 299

Benchmark set

Table: A list of the benchmarks and the size and dimensionality (i.e., the number of tuning parameters) of their tuning spaces.

K ロ ▶ K 倒 ▶

 $2Q$

ミト

Testbed setup

Table: Devices used in our benchmarks. Arithmetic performance (SP perf.) is measured in single-precision GFlops, memory bandwidth (BW) is measured in GB/s.

 \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow

- 4 FB +

€

へのへ

Performance

Table: Performance of benchmarks autotuned for various hardware devices. The performance relative to the theoretical peak of devices.

重

경제

K ロ ▶ K 倒 ▶

Performance portability

Table: Relative performance of benchmarks ported across GPU architectures without re-tuning.

K ロ ト K 倒 ト K 走 ト

一 三 三 ト

 $2Q$

扂

Dynamic autotuining of Batched GEMM

Figure: Batched GEMM on GeForce GTX 1070.

目

In ≣

重

K ロ ▶ K 倒 ▶

Dynamic autotuining of Batched GEMM

Figure: Batched GEMM on Tesla K20.

 \rightarrow \oplus \rightarrow

4 0 F

重

B

ŧ

3D Fourier Reconstruction

Figure: Performance of dynamic tuned 3D Fourier reconstruction.

K ロ ⊁ K 倒 ≯ K ミ ⊁ K ミ ≯

 \equiv

3D Fourier Reconstruction

Table: Performance portability of 3D Fourier reconstruction with 128×128 samples.

∢ 重う

重

3D Fourier Reconstruction

Table: Performance portability on GeForce GTX1070 for different samples.

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 舌

ス 重っ

 \sim

重

3D Fourier Reconstruction

Table: The relative performance of dynamically-tuned 3D Fourier reconstruction.

←ロ ▶ → 伊 ▶

∢ 重う

重