Natural Language Processing with Deep Learning CS224N/Ling284



John Hewitt Lecture 10: Pretraining

Lecture Plan

- 1. A brief note on subword modeling
- 2. Motivating model pretraining from word embeddings
- 3. Model pretraining three ways
 - 1. Decoders
 - 2. Encoders
 - 3. Encoder-Decoders
- 4. Interlude: what do we think pretraining is teaching?
- 5. Very large models and in-context learning

Reminders:

Assignment 5 is out today! It covers lecture 9 (Tuesday) and lecture 10 (Today)! It has ~pedagogically relevant math~ so get started!

Word structure and subword models

Let's take a look at the assumptions we've made about a language's vocabulary.

We assume a fixed vocab of tens of thousands of words, built from the training set. All *novel* words seen at test time are mapped to a single UNK.



Word structure and subword models

Finite vocabulary assumptions make even *less* sense in many languages.

- Many languages exhibit complex **morphology**, or word structure.
 - The effect is more word types, each occurring fewer times.

Example: Swahili verbs can have hundreds of conjugations, each encoding a wide variety of information. (Tense, mood, definiteness, negation, information about the object, ++)

Here's a small fraction of the conjugations for *ambia* – to tell.

Conjug	ation of -	ambia																[less 🔺
									n-finite fo	rms								
		Form						Positive							Negative			
Infinitive					kuambia						kutoambia							
					Simple finite forms													
Positive form					Singular					Plural ambieni								
Imperative Habitual				ambia														
		Habituai						Comr	lov finito	forme	nua	mbia						
	Demons				Pers	Complex finite forms												
Polarity	Persons				Persons / Classes							Classes						
	131		2nd		3rd / M-wa		M-mi		Ma		_ Ki-vi		N		U	Ku	Pa 16	Mu 18
	Sg.	PI.	Sg.	PI.	Sg. / 1	Pl. / 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 / 14	15/17	16	
	_		-		_				Past									[less]
Positive	niliambia naliambia	tuliambia twaliambia	uliambia waliambia	mliambia mwaliambia	aliambia	waliambia	uliambia	iliambia	liliambia	yaliambia	kiliambia	viliambia	iliambia	ziliambia	uliambia	kuliambia	paliambia	muliambia
Negative	sikuambia	hatukuambia	hukuambia	hamkuambia	hakuambia	hawakuambi a	haukuambia	haikuambia	halikuambia	hayakuambi a	hakikuambia	havikuambia	haikuambia	hazikuambia	haukuambia	hakukuambi a	hapakuambi a	hamukuam a
								Pr	esent									[less]
Positive	ninaambia naambia	tunaambia	unaambia	mnaambia	anaambia	wanaambia	unaambia	inaambia	linaambia	yanaambia	kinaambia	vinaambia	inaambia	zinaambia	unaambia	kunaambia	panaambia	munaambi
Negative	siambii	hatuambii	huambii	hamambii	haambii	hawaambii	hauambii	haiambii	haliambii	hayaambii	hakiambii	haviambii	haiambii	haziambii	hauambii	hakuambii	hapaambii	hamuamb
								F	uture									[less]
Positive	nitaambia	tutaambia	utaambia	mtaambia	ataambia	wataambia	utaambia	itaambia	litaambia	yataambia	kitaambia	vitaambia	itaambia	zitaambia	utaambia	kutaambia	pataambia	mutaambia
Negative	sitaambia	hatutaambia	hutaambia	hamtaambia	hataambia	hawataambi a	hautaambia	haitaambia	halitaambia	hayataambia	hakitaambia	havitaambia	haitaambia	hazitaambia	hautaambia	hakutaambia	hapataambia	a hamutaam a
									unctive									[less]
Positive	niambie	tuambie	uambie	mambie	aambie	waambie	uambie	iambie	liambie	yaambie	kiambie	viambie	iambie	ziambie	uambie	kuambie	paambie	muambie
Negative	nisiambie	tusiambie	usiambie	msiambie	asiambie	wasiambie	usiambie	isiambie	lisiambie	yasiambie	kisiambie	visiambie	isiambie	zisiambie	usiambie	kusiambie	pasiambie	musiambie
									Conditio									[less]
Positive	ningeambia		ungeambia		angeambia			ingeambia	lingeambia	yangeambia	kingeambia	vingeambia	ingeambia		ungeambia			
	nisingeambi	tusingeambi	usingeambia	msingeambi	asingeambia	wasingeamb ia	usingeambia	isingeambia	lisingeambia	yasingeambi	kisingeambi a	visingeambi a	isingeambia	zisingeambi		kusingeambi	pasingeamb	i musingeam ia
Negative	a singeambia	hatungeamb	hungeambia	hamngeambi	hangeambia	hawangeam	haungeambi	haingeambia	halingeambi a	hayangeamb	hakingeambi	havingeambi	haingeambia	hazingeambi	haungeambi	hakungeamb	hapangeam	
	singeambia	ia	-	a	-	bia	a			la	a	a	-	a	a	iā	bia	bia
									onditiona									less 🔺
Positive			ungaliambia			a		ingaliambia		a						а	pangaliambi a	а
	nisingaliamb	tusingaliamb	usingaliambi	msingaliamb	asingaliambi	wasingaliam	usingaliambi	isingaliambia	lisingaliambi	yasingaliam	kisingaliambi	visingaliambi	isingaliambia	zisingaliambi	usingaliambi	kusingaliam	pasingaliam	musingalia
Negative	ia singaliambia	hat up gallans	a hungaliambi	ia hamngaliam bia	a hangaliambi	hawangalia mbia	a haungaliamb	haingaliambi a	a halingaliamb			a havingaliam		a	a haungaliamb	Dia	DIa	Dia
		Dia	a	Dia	a	mola	Cor	nditional	Contrany	to Eact	Dia	Dia		Dia	ia	Dia	Dia	[less]
Positive	ningeliambia	tungeliambia	ungeliambia	mngeliambia	angeliambia	wangeliambi		ingeliambia			kingeliambia	vingeliambia	ingeliambia	zingeliambia	ungeliambia	kungeliambi	pangeliambi	
	-	-	-	-	-	d	-		nomic	d	-	-	-	-	-	d	d	a [less]
Positive	naambia	twaambia	waambia	mwaambia	aambia	waambia	waambia	yaambia	laambia	yaambia	chaambia	vyaambia	yaambia	zaambia	waambia	kwaambia	paambia	mwaambia
r oandve	naambia	Graambia	waambia	mwaambia	aanibia	waambia	waambia		erfect	yaambia	chadilibid	vyaambia	yaambia	Zaambia	waambid	Kiradilibia	paanibia	fless

The byte-pair encoding algorithm

Subword modeling in NLP encompasses a wide range of methods for reasoning about structure below the word level. (Parts of words, characters, bytes.)

- The dominant modern paradigm is to learn a vocabulary of **parts of words (subword tokens).**
- At training and testing time, each word is split into a sequence of known subwords.

Byte-pair encoding is a simple, effective strategy for defining a subword vocabulary.

- 1. Start with a vocabulary containing only characters and an "end-of-word" symbol.
- 2. Using a corpus of text, find the most common adjacent characters "a,b"; add "ab" as a subword.
- 3. Replace instances of the character pair with the new subword; repeat until desired vocab size.

Originally used in NLP for machine translation; now a similar method (WordPiece) is used in pretrained models.

Word structure and subword models

Common words end up being a part of the subword vocabulary, while rarer words are split into (sometimes intuitive, sometimes not) components.

In the worst case, words are split into as many subwords as they have characters.



Outline

- 1. A brief note on subword modeling
- 2. Motivating model pretraining from word embeddings
- 3. Model pretraining three ways
 - 1. Decoders
 - 2. Encoders
 - 3. Encoder-Decoders
- 4. Interlude: what do we think pretraining is teaching?
- 5. Very large models and in-context learning

Motivating word meaning and context

Recall the adage we mentioned at the beginning of the course:

"You shall know a word by the company it keeps" (J. R. Firth 1957: 11)

This quote is a summary of **distributional semantics**, and motivated **word2vec**. But:

"... the complete meaning of a word is always contextual, and no study of meaning apart from a complete context can be taken seriously." (J. R. Firth 1935)

Consider I record the record: the two instances of record mean different things.

Where we were: pretrained word embeddings

Circa 2017:

- Start with pretrained word embeddings (no context!)
- Learn how to incorporate context in an LSTM or Transformer while training on the task.

Some issues to think about:

- The training data we have for our downstream task (like question answering) must be sufficient to teach all contextual aspects of language.
- Most of the parameters in our network are randomly initialized!



[Recall, *movie* gets the same word embedding, no matter what sentence it shows up in]

Where we're going: pretraining whole models

In modern NLP:

- All (or almost all) parameters in NLP networks are initialized via pretraining.
- Pretraining methods hide parts of the input from the model, and train the model to reconstruct those parts.
- This has been exceptionally effective at building strong:
 - representations of language
 - **parameter initializations** for strong NLP models.
 - Probability distributions over language that we can sample from



[This model has learned how to represent entire sentences through pretraining]

Stanford University is located in _____, California.

I put _____ fork down on the table.

The woman walked across the street, checking for traffic over ____ shoulder.

I went to the ocean to see the fish, turtles, seals, and _____.

Overall, the value I got from the two hours watching it was the sum total of the popcorn and the drink. The movie was ____.

Iroh went into the kitchen to make some tea. Standing next to Iroh, Zuko pondered his destiny. Zuko left the _____.

I was thinking about the sequence that goes 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ____

Looking back at the whole model, zooming in on an Encoder block:



[input sequence]

[output sequence]

Looking back at the whole model, zooming in on an Encoder block:



[input sequence]

[output sequence]





Pretraining through language modeling [Dai and Le, 2015]

Recall the **language modeling** task:

- Model $p_{\theta}(w_t|w_{1:t-1})$, the probability distribution over words given their past contexts.
- There's lots of data for this! (In English.)

Pretraining through language modeling:

- Train a neural network to perform language modeling on a large amount of text.
- Save the network parameters.



The Pretraining / Finetuning Paradigm

Pretraining can improve NLP applications by serving as parameter initialization.

Step 1: Pretrain (on language modeling)

Lots of text; learn general things!



Step 2: Finetune (on your task)

Not many labels; adapt to the task!



Stochastic gradient descent and pretrain/finetune

Why should pretraining and finetuning help, from a "training neural nets" perspective?

- Consider, provides parameters $\hat{\theta}$ by approximating $\min_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{pretrain}}(\theta)$.
 - (The pretraining loss.)
- Then, finetuning approximates $\min_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{finetune}}(\theta)$, starting at $\hat{\theta}$.
 - (The finetuning loss)
- The pretraining may matter because stochastic gradient descent sticks (relatively) close to $\hat{\theta}$ during finetuning.
 - So, maybe the finetuning local minima near $\hat{\theta}$ tend to generalize well!
 - And/or, maybe the gradients of finetuning loss near $\hat{\theta}$ propagate nicely!

Lecture Plan

- 1. A brief note on subword modeling
- 2. Motivating model pretraining from word embeddings
- 3. Model pretraining three ways
 - 1. Decoders
 - 2. Encoders
 - 3. Encoder-Decoders
- 4. Interlude: what do we think pretraining is teaching?
- 5. Very large models and in-context learning

Pretraining for three types of architectures

The neural architecture influences the type of pretraining, and natural use cases.



Decoders

Encoders

- Language models! What we've seen so far.
- Nice to generate from; can't condition on future words



• Gets bidirectional context – can condition on future!

• Wait, how do we pretrain them?



- Good parts of decoders and encoders?
- What's the best way to pretrain them?

Pretraining for three types of architectures

The neural architecture influences the type of pretraining, and natural use cases.



Decoders

Encoders

- Language models! What we've seen so far.
- Nice to generate from; can't condition on future words



• Gets bidirectional context – can condition on future!

• Wait, how do we pretrain them?



- Good parts of decoders and encoders?
- What's the best way to pretrain them?

Pretraining decoders

When using language model pretrained decoders, we can ignore that they were trained to model $p(w_t|w_{1:t-1})$.

We can finetune them by training a classifier on the last word's hidden state.

> $h_1, \dots, h_T = \text{Decoder}(w_1, \dots, w_T)$ $y \sim Aw_T + b$

Where A and b are randomly initialized and specified by the downstream task.

Gradients backpropagate through the whole network.



[Note how the linear layer hasn't been pretrained and must be learned from scratch.]

Pretraining decoders

It's natural to pretrain decoders as language models and then use them as generators, finetuning their $p_{\theta}(w_t|w_{1:t-1})!$

This is helpful in tasks **where the output is a sequence** with a vocabulary like that at pretraining time!

- Dialogue (context=dialogue history)
- Summarization (context=document)

$$h_1, \dots, h_T = \text{Decoder}(w_1, \dots, w_T)$$
$$w_t \sim Aw_{t-1} + b$$

Where *A*, *b* were pretrained in the language model!



[Note how the linear layer has been pretrained.]

Generative Pretrained Transformer (GPT) [Radford et al., 2018]

2018's GPT was a big success in pretraining a decoder!

- Transformer decoder with 12 layers.
- 768-dimensional hidden states, 3072-dimensional feed-forward hidden layers.
- Byte-pair encoding with 40,000 merges
- Trained on BooksCorpus: over 7000 unique books.
 - Contains long spans of contiguous text, for learning long-distance dependencies.
- The acronym "GPT" never showed up in the original paper; it could stand for "Generative PreTraining" or "Generative Pretrained Transformer"

Generative Pretrained Transformer (GPT) [Radford et al., 2018]

How do we format inputs to our decoder for **finetuning tasks?**

Natural Language Inference: Label pairs of sentences as *entailing/contradictory/neutral* Premise: *The man is in the doorway* Hypothesis: *The person is near the door*

Radford et al., 2018 evaluate on natural language inference. Here's roughly how the input was formatted, as a sequence of tokens for the decoder.

[START] The man is in the doorway [DELIM] The person is near the door [EXTRACT]

The linear classifier is applied to the representation of the [EXTRACT] token.

Generative Pretrained Transformer (GPT) [Radford et al., 2018]

GPT results on various *natural language inference* datasets.

Method	MNLI-m	MNLI-mm	SNLI	SciTail	QNLI	RTE
ESIM + ELMo [44] (5x)	-	-	<u>89.3</u>	-	-	-
CAFE [58] (5x)	80.2	79.0	<u>89.3</u>	-	-	-
Stochastic Answer Network [35] (3x)	80.6	80.1	-	-	-	-
CAFE [58]	78.7	77.9	88.5	<u>83.3</u>		
GenSen [64]	71.4	71.3	-	-	82.3	59.2
Multi-task BiLSTM + Attn [64]	72.2	72.1	-	-	82.1	61.7
Finetuned Transformer LM (ours)	82.1	81.4	89.9	88.3	88.1	56.0

Increasingly convincing generations (GPT2) [Radford et al., 2018]

We mentioned how pretrained decoders can be used **in their capacities as language models. GPT-2,** a larger version of GPT trained on more data, was shown to produce relatively convincing samples of natural language.

Context (human-written): In a shocking finding, scientist discovered a herd of unicorns living in a remote, previously unexplored valley, in the Andes Mountains. Even more surprising to the researchers was the fact that the unicorns spoke perfect English.

GPT-2: The scientist named the population, after their distinctive horn, Ovid's Unicorn. These four-horned, silver-white unicorns were previously unknown to science.

Now, after almost two centuries, the mystery of what sparked this odd phenomenon is finally solved.

Dr. Jorge Pérez, an evolutionary biologist from the University of La Paz, and several companions, were exploring the Andes Mountains when they found a small valley, with no other animals or humans. Pérez noticed that the valley had what appeared to be a natural fountain, surrounded by two peaks of rock and silver snow.

Pretraining for three types of architectures

The neural architecture influences the type of pretraining, and natural use cases.





- Language models! What we've seen so far.
- Nice to generate from; can't condition on future words



Encoders

- Gets bidirectional context can condition on future!
- Wait, how do we pretrain them?



- Good parts of decoders and encoders?
- What's the best way to pretrain them?

Pretraining encoders: what pretraining objective to use?

So far, we've looked at language model pretraining. But **encoders get bidirectional context,** so we can't do language modeling!

Idea: replace some fraction of words in the input with a special [MASK] token; predict these words.

$$h_1, \dots, h_T = \text{Encoder}(w_1, \dots, w_T)$$

 $y_i \sim Aw_i + b$

Only add loss terms from words that are "masked out." If \tilde{x} is the masked version of x, we're learning $p_{\theta}(x|\tilde{x})$. Called **Masked LM**.



BERT: Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Tranformers

Devlin et al., 2018 proposed the "Masked LM" objective and **released the weights of a pretrained Transformer**, a model they labeled BERT.

Some more details about Masked LM for BERT:

- Predict a random 15% of (sub)word tokens.
 - Replace input word with [MASK] 80% of the time
 - Replace input word with a random token 10% of the time
 - Leave input word unchanged 10% of the time (but still predict it!)
- Why? Doesn't let the model get complacent and not build strong representations of non-masked words. (No masks are seen at fine-tuning time!)



[Devlin et al., 2018]
BERT: Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Tranformers

• The pretraining input to BERT was two separate contiguous chunks of text:

Input	[CLS] my	dog is	cute [5	SEP] he	likes play	##ing [SEP]
Token Embeddings	E _[CLS] E _{my}	E _{dog} E _{is}	E _{cute} E	[SEP] E _{he}	E _{likes} E _{play}	E _{##ing} E _[SEP]
Segment Embeddings	+ + E _A E _A	• • E _A E _A	► E _A	+ + E _A E _B	+ + Ε _B Ε _B	+ + Ε _B Ε _B
	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +
Position Embeddings	E ₀ E ₁	E ₂ E ₃	E ₄	E ₅ E ₆	E ₇ E ₈	E ₉ E ₁₀

- BERT was trained to predict whether one chunk follows the other or is randomly sampled.
 - Later work has argued this "next sentence prediction" is not necessary.

BERT: Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Tranformers

Details about BERT

- Two models were released:
 - BERT-base: 12 layers, 768-dim hidden states, 12 attention heads, 110 million params.
 - BERT-large: 24 layers, 1024-dim hidden states, 16 attention heads, 340 million params.
- Trained on:
 - BooksCorpus (800 million words)
 - English Wikipedia (2,500 million words)
- Pretraining is expensive and impractical on a single GPU.
 - BERT was pretrained with 64 TPU chips for a total of 4 days.
 - (TPUs are special tensor operation acceleration hardware)
- Finetuning is practical and common on a single GPU
 - "Pretrain once, finetune many times."

BERT: Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Tranformers

BERT was massively popular and hugely versatile; finetuning BERT led to new state-ofthe-art results on a broad range of tasks.

- QQP: Quora Question Pairs (detect paraphrase questions)
- QNLI: natural language inference over question answering data
- SST-2: sentiment analysis

- **CoLA**: corpus of linguistic acceptability (detect whether sentences are grammatical.)
- STS-B: semantic textual similarity
- MRPC: microsoft paraphrase corpus
- **RTE**: a small natural language inference corpus

System	MNLI-(m/mm)	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	CoLA	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	Average
	392k	363k	108k	67k	8.5k	5.7k	3.5k	2.5k	-
Pre-OpenAI SOTA	80.6/80.1	66.1	82.3	93.2	35.0	81.0	86.0	61.7	74.0
BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn	76.4/76.1	64.8	79.8	90.4	36.0	73.3	84.9	56.8	71.0
OpenAI GPT	82.1/81.4	70.3	87.4	91.3	45.4	80.0	82.3	56.0	75.1
BERTBASE	84.6/83.4	71.2	90.5	93.5	52.1	85.8	88.9	66.4	79.6
BERTLARGE	86.7/85.9	72.1	92.7	94.9	60.5	86.5	89.3	70.1	82.1

Limitations of pretrained encoders

Those results looked great! Why not used pretrained encoders for everything?

If your task involves generating sequences, consider using a pretrained decoder; BERT and other pretrained encoders don't naturally lead to nice autoregressive (1-word-at-a-time) generation methods.



Extensions of BERT

You'll see a lot of BERT variants like RoBERTa, SpanBERT, +++

Some generally accepted improvements to the BERT pretraining formula:

- RoBERTa: mainly just train BERT for longer and remove next sentence prediction!
- SpanBERT: masking contiguous spans of words makes a harder, more useful pretraining task





Extensions of BERT

A takeaway from the RoBERTa paper: more compute, more data can improve pretraining even when not changing the underlying Transformer encoder.

Model	data	bsz	steps	SQuAD (v1.1/2.0)	MNLI-m	SST-2
RoBERTa						
with BOOKS + WIKI	16GB	8K	100K	93.6/87.3	89.0	95.3
+ additional data (§3.2)	160GB	8K	100K	94.0/87.7	89.3	95.6
+ pretrain longer	160GB	8K	300K	94.4/88.7	90.0	96.1
+ pretrain even longer	160GB	8K	500K	94.6/89.4	90.2	96.4
BERTLARGE						
with BOOKS + WIKI	13GB	256	1 M	90.9/81.8	86.6	93.7

Pretraining for three types of architectures

The neural architecture influences the type of pretraining, and natural use cases.



Decoders

Encoders

- Language models! What we've seen so far.
- Nice to generate from; can't condition on future words



• Gets bidirectional context – can condition on future!

• Wait, how do we pretrain them?



- Good parts of decoders and encoders?
- What's the best way to pretrain them?

For **encoder-decoders**, we could do something like **language modeling**, but where a prefix of every input is provided to the encoder and is not predicted.

$$\begin{aligned} h_1, \dots, h_T &= \text{Encoder}(w_1, \dots, w_T) \\ h_{T+1}, \dots, h_2 &= Decoder(w_1, \dots, w_T, h_1, \dots, h_T) \\ y_i &\sim Aw_i + b, i > T \end{aligned}$$

The **encoder** portion benefits from bidirectional context; the **decoder** portion is used to train the whole model through language modeling.



What <u>Raffel et al., 2018</u> found to work best was **span corruption.** Their model: **T5**.

Inputs

Replace different-length spans from the input with unique placeholders; decode out the spans that were removed!

Original text

Thank you for inviting me to your party last week.

This is implemented in text preprocessing: it's still an objective that looks like language modeling at the decoder side.



<u>Raffel et al., 2018</u> found encoder-decoders to work better than decoders for their tasks, and span corruption (denoising) to work better than language modeling.

Architecture	Objective	Params	Cost	GLUE	CNNDM	SQuAD	SGLUE	EnDe	EnFr	EnRo
\star Encoder-decoder	Denoising	2P	M	83.28	19.24	80.88	71.36	26.98	39.82	27.65
Enc-dec, shared	Denoising	P	M	82.81	18.78	80.63	70.73	26.72	39.03	27.46
Enc-dec, 6 layers	Denoising	P	M/2	80.88	18.97	77.59	68.42	26.38	38.40	26.95
Language model	Denoising	P	M	74.70	17.93	61.14	55.02	25.09	35.28	25.86
Prefix LM	Denoising	P	M	81.82	18.61	78.94	68.11	26.43	37.98	27.39
Encoder-decoder	LM	2P	M	79.56	18.59	76.02	64.29	26.27	39.17	26.86
Enc-dec, shared	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}$	P	M	79.60	18.13	76.35	63.50	26.62	39.17	27.05
Enc-dec, 6 layers	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}$	P	M/2	78.67	18.26	75.32	64.06	26.13	38.42	26.89
Language model	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}$	P	\dot{M}	73.78	17.54	53.81	56.51	25.23	34.31	25.38
Prefix LM	LM	P	M	79.68	17.84	76.87	64.86	26.28	37.51	26.76

A fascinating property of T5: it can be finetuned to answer a wide range of questions, retrieving knowledge from its parameters.

NQ: Natural Questions WQ: WebQuestions TQA: Trivia QA

All "open-domain" versions

perty			(\sim	~	
wera	Pre-training Fine-tuning		<	resident F Roosevelt in Janua	was bor		
ving its	When was Frankl Roosevelt bor		{T5		82		
		NQ	WQ	T	QA		
				dev	test		
stions	Karpukhin et al. (2020)	41.5	42.4	57.9	_	-	
ons	T5.1.1-Base	25.7	28.2	24.2	30.6	220 million params	
	T5.1.1-Large	27.3	29.5	28.5	37.2	770 million params	
	T5.1.1-XL	29.5	32.4	36.0	45.1	3 billion params	
	T5.1.1-XXL	32.8	35.6	42.9	52.5	11 billion params	
า"	T5.1.1-XXL + SSM	35.2	42.8	51.9	61.6	_	

[Raffel et al., 2018]

Outline

- 1. A brief note on subword modeling
- 2. Motivating model pretraining from word embeddings
- 3. Model pretraining three ways
 - 1. Decoders
 - 2. Encoders
 - 3. Encoder-Decoders
- 4. Interlude: what do we think pretraining is teaching?
- 5. Very large models and in-context learning

What kinds of things does pretraining learn?

There's increasing evidence that pretrained models learn a wide variety of things about the statistical properties of language. Taking our examples from the start of class:

- Stanford University is located in _____, California. [Trivia]
- I put _____ fork down on the table. [syntax]
- The woman walked across the street, checking for traffic over _____ shoulder. [coreference]
- *I went to the ocean to see the fish, turtles, seals, and* _____. [lexical semantics/topic]
- Overall, the value I got from the two hours watching it was the sum total of the popcorn and the drink. The movie was ____. [sentiment]
- Iroh went into the kitchen to make some tea. Standing next to Iroh, Zuko pondered his destiny. Zuko left the _____. [some reasoning – this is harder]
- I was thinking about the sequence that goes 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ____ [some basic arithmetic; they don't learn the Fibonnaci sequence]
- Models also learn and can exacerbate racism, sexism, all manner of bad biases.
- More on all this in the interpretability lecture!

Outline

- 1. A brief note on subword modeling
- 2. Motivating model pretraining from word embeddings
- 3. Model pretraining three ways
 - 1. Decoders
 - 2. Encoders
 - 3. Encoder-Decoders
- 4. Interlude: what do we think pretraining is teaching?
- 5. Very large models and in-context learning

GPT-3, In-context learning, and very large models

So far, we've interacted with pretrained models in two ways:

- Sample from the distributions they define (maybe providing a prompt)
- Fine-tune them on a task we care about, and take their predictions.

Very large language models seem to perform some kind of learning **without gradient steps** simply from examples you provide within their contexts.

GPT-3 is the canonical example of this. The largest T5 model had 11 billion parameters. **GPT-3 has 175 billion parameters.**

GPT-3, In-context learning, and very large models

Very large language models seem to perform some kind of learning **without gradient steps** simply from examples you provide within their contexts.

The in-context examples seem to specify the task to be performed, and the conditional distribution mocks performing the task to a certain extent.

Input (prefix within a single Transformer decoder context):

" thanks -> merci hello -> bonjour mint -> menthe otter -> "

Output (conditional generations):

loutre..."

GPT-3, In-context learning, and very large models

Very large language models seem to perform some kind of learning **without gradient steps** simply from examples you provide within their contexts.



Parting remarks

These models are still not well-understood.

"Small" models like BERT have become general tools in a wide range of settings.

More on this in later lectures!

Assignment 5 out today! Tuesday's and today's lectures in its subject matter.