Funded by the European Union under Grant Agreement No. 101087529. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Research Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.





Co-funded by

the European Union

Lasaris Lab 9.11.2023

Petr Muzikant

Information Security Research Institute @ Cybernetica, Estonia



Presentation outline

- Introduction
- Our experience with PQC implementation
- Current state of PQC
 - Libraries, ASN.1, JSON Web Algorithms, Hybrid modes
- General remarks
 - Preparations, technological constraints, implementation
- Conclusions



Introduction

- Quantum PC \rightarrow RSA, ECDSA, ... \rightarrow PQC \rightarrow new algorithms
- Standardization of PQC (e.g. NIST)
- Next step:
 - PQ support in all system and architecture layers
 - Ensure functionality, compatibility, interoperability
- My activities:
 - Exploring current options and state-of-the-art
 - Focus on engineering aspects of PQ protocol implementations
 - Gather experience, remarks, and tips



Post-Quantum Algorithms

- NIST standardization efforts (2016-now)
 - Round 4:
 - Digital signatures:
 - Dilithium, Falcon (lattice-based)
 - Sphincs+ (hash-based)
 - Key Encapsulation Mechanisms:
 - Kyber (lattice-based)
 - More algs.: TBA ("On ramp")

• other evaluation efforts (BSI, ENISA, ...) \rightarrow possibly other algorithms



Our experience with PQC implementation

PQ versions of Web-eID, CDOC2, ASICE, IVXV, TOPCOAT



Project with direct implementation

PQ-Web-eID

- authentication framework for web applications
- Estonian electronic ID cards + state web services
- dig. signatures, smart cards \rightarrow ESP32 programmable microcontroller

• PQ-CDOC2

- Estonian standard for securing and exchanging data
- KEMs, problems with TLS

• PQ-ASiC-E

• (almost Estonian) standard for digitally signed container of data



Project with problematic implementations

• PQ-IVXV

- electronic voting system
- preparation of infrastructure, PQ-OCSP, PQ-TSA
- dig. signatures are OK, but vote encryption is problem
 - elGamal \rightarrow completely new PQ protocol (lattice-based)

TOPCOAT

- threshold digital signature scheme
- almost no existing implementations → completely new PQ protocol (lattice-based)



Go-to libraries

- <u>PQClean</u> (C)
 - Cleaned aggregation of NIST-submitted algorithms
 - Source of source-code (i.e. not a library)
- <u>libOQS</u> (C)
 - + wrappers for C++, Python, Java, Go, .NET, and Rust
 - + applications built with libOQS (OpenSSL, OpenSSH, OpenVPN forks)
- <u>BouncyCastle</u> (Java), <u>rustpq/pqcrypto</u> (Rust), <u>pqm4</u> (C, Cortex-M4), <u>botan-pq</u> (C++)
- custom wrappers



PQ ASN.1 structures

- **No standards exist yet** → NIST requires raw bytes
- <u>RFC drafts</u>
 - private and public keys with alg. specific parameters
 - e.g. DilithiumPrivateKey (contains nonce, tr, s1, s2, t0, etc.)
- PQ Object Identifiers (OIDs):
 - OQS <u>defined their own</u> (most commonly used so far)
 - BouncyCastle <u>expanded</u> with KEMs



JSON Web Algorithms (RFC 7518)

- Usage: JW Signature
- Format: (DIGSIG + HASH identification)
- Example: ES384 = ECDSA using P-384 curve and SHA-384
- No RFC drafts for PQ JWAs
- <u>RFC draft</u> for **PQ JW Encodings**
 - e.g. CRYDI5 = CRYSTALS-Dilithium parameter set 5
 - HASH? \rightarrow SHA-512
- <u>RFC drafts for PQ JW Object Signing and Encryption</u> (JOSE)
 - alg. specific parameters encoding



Hybrid mode (PQ + classic crypto)

- Longevity of data confidentiality + protection against emerging threats in PQC
- Concatenation, sequential modes
 - Ghinea et al.
 - both have security issues
 - novel method to improve unforgeability of ECDSA+PQ signatures
- <u>RFC Draft</u> for hybrid **KEM in TLS1.3** follows **concatenation**
- Cloudflare and Google Chrome follow <u>RFC draft</u> using concatenation (X25519 + Kyber)



General remarks

Preparations, technological constraints, implementation



Identifying relevant locations

- Identify all PKI objects through their lifetime
- Beware of MTUs
 - bigger objects, variable sizes (Falcon)
- Beware of changing data formats
 - ASN1, Base64, PEM, JOSE, other...



BPMN example





Technological constraints

- Assess current boundaries of the system
 - Increased performance, memory, and storage overhead
 - Limited devices and slow networks
- Protocol adjustments:
 - streaming public keys and signatures into memory
 - key encapsulation instead of digital signatures (credit cards)
 - allocate all objects in heap instead of limited stack memory (our case)



Implementation

- Start at the **beginning of the data lifecycle**
- Implement PQC one step at a time
- Implementation is still not straight-forward
- Extensions, adjustments, adaptations of crypto libraries
- Create your own wrappers using <u>SWIG</u>
- Expect future changes standardization is not over!

Embedded devices

- Smart cards are not suitable → LilyGO T-Display-S3
- Problematic memory management:
 - Limited to 8 KB of stack RAM
 - PQClean allocates to a stack a lot
- Solved by adjusting PQClean code by using:
 - malloc and free functions
 - std::unique_ptr (C++ v11)





libOQS extensions

- Available wrappers for C++, Python, Java, Go, .NET, and Rust
 - PHP? \rightarrow SWIG wrapper generator!
- C/C++ interface definition required
 - \rightarrow liboqs-php, liboqs-python, liboqs-go
- Some remapping was required:
 - PHP string \leftrightarrow C++ uint8_t*
 - Python bytes \leftrightarrow C++ uint8_t*



PQ in PHP

OpenSSL usage → OQS-OpenSSL

- (v1 forks discontinued)
- v3 extension provider:
 - extends regular OpenSSL@3
 - PHP algorithms IDs hardcoded for DSA, DH, RSA, and EC
 - some built-in functions do not require algID (e.g. openssl_verify())
- my notes on OQS-OpenSSL in PHP

PHPSecLib → new PQC-PHPSecLib fork

• OQS-OpenSSL or liboqs-php (based on availability)



PQ in BouncyCastle (v1.74+)

- Not well documented
 - bc-java / core / src / main / java / org / bouncycastle / asn1 / bc / BCObjectIdentifiers.java
 - org.bouncycastle.pqc.* packages
- Works with actual algorithm parameters from ASN1 drafts
 - vs raw bytes in libOQS
 - e.g. KyberPublicKeyParameters has t and rho



PQ Java Keytool

- keytool = command for managing a keystore of cryptographic objects
- PQ BouncyCastle → PQ Java Keytool
- e.g. to generate .p12 with Dilithium keypair and self-signed certificate:

```
keytool \
    -providerpath bcprov-jdk18on-175.jar \
    -provider org.bouncycastle.pqc.jcajce.provider.BouncyCastlePQCProvider \
    -genkeypair \
    -keyalg Dilithium5 \
    -alias cdoc20-client-pqc-CA \
    -keystore cdoc20clientpqcCA.p12 \
    -storepass passwd \
    -sigalg Dilithium5 \
    -dname "CN=cdoc20-client-pqc-CA,OU=ISRI,0=CyberneticaAS,L=Brno,S=Czechia,C=CZ"
```



This week's news

- Post-Quantum Cryptography conference 2023 by PKI Consortium
 - Recording on YT
 - PQC Migration Handbook
 - Experiments on embedded devices are unrealistic
 - FIDO2 tokens are highly limited
 - PQC on mobile phones might require new HW co-processors
 - banking is XY years behind





Conclusions

- Implementing PQC today is...
 - ...complicated:
 - different libraries \rightarrow different approaches and documentation level
 - computational constraints, adaptation and tweaking
 - standardization is not finished (and complete MPC, ZKP, etc..)
 - ...doable:
 - authentication framework, crypto systems for encryption, signing containers, etc.
 - ...worth it:
 - long-term data protection, experience
 - ...helpful:
 - big space for open-source PQ contributions, reduce confusion



Thank you for listening!

References:

- links in presentation
- PQ authentication framework
- Notes on PQC in PHP
- write me an email!

https://cyber.ee/

- (a) info@cyber.ee
- 🔊 <u>cybernetica</u>
- <u>
 CyberneticaAS</u>
- o <u>cybernetica_ee</u>

in <u>Cybernetica</u>



