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## Today's Lecture

- Let's examine how the US electorate has changed in important ways over the last 8-10 years.
- How has the US electorate (voting public) changed in the last decade?
- This analysis has important relevance to the midterm election prospects for President Obama, Democrats, and Republicans as we head to the midterm election, November 2010.
- Do Democrats face a 'gathering storm' of wrath from the voters?
- What are the prospects for GOP pickups?
- What of the Tea Party movement \& momentum as candidates \& parties look to 2012?


## The Context of 2010 Midterms

- Part I: How the US Electorate Has Changed \& the Leading Indicators for 2010 Midterm Vote
- Part II: The 2008 Obama Electoral Coalition
- Part III: The Dilemma of 2008 in 2010 - Turnout, Turnout, Turnout \& Demographic Change
- Part IV: Predictions \& What to Watch


## How Are Traditional Electoral Divisions Changing in the U.S.?

- Traditional View of the - A New View of the Map:
U.S. Electoral Map:
- Defined by:
- SES;
- Neighborhood;
- Simple media messages;
- Gender as homogenous;
- Red (DEMS) vs Blue (REPS) with little in between
- Party-Group alliances
- Differences Today by:
- Race/ethnicity;
- Region, place of residence;
- Gender w/ complications;
- Partisanship, ideology;
- Trends by the media; beyond the self;
- Changes in region that are "micro" and not aggregated;
- HTM \& "stealth democracy"


## Population Shifts vs. Electorate Shifts

## Population Extremes

New figures released by the U.S. Census Bureau last week show which
states have the largest and smallest percentages of old and young.


## The Parties at Parity, 1932-2000

The Elusive Majority in Presidential Politics


## Parity, continued

The Tie That Was in the US House


## Parity, continued

The Reasons for Parity?

- A "New Economy" Driving a New Electorate
- The Political Arrangements that Shaped Politics in the Industrial Age are Collapsing
- A New Political Order Has Not Yet Taken Shape for the Information Era


## A New, Emerging Electorate?

| PERIOD | DOMINANT VOTERS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Industrial Era | Working Class |
| Information Age <br> In-------------------------------------------------------------------- Riass |  |

## With Growing Diversity



## Electorate of Generational Change

In the 2000 Election...

- Less than 10 percent of the electorate were New Deal Era voters.
- The dominant generations are the "skeptical generations"--the Baby Boomers, GenXers and GenYers.


## Political Views Are Changing



# How Do Trends of Last 10, or even 20 Years, Affect Election Outcomes in November 2010? 

## How Do We Explain National Midterm Election Outcomes?

- Referendum on the president
- Reversion to Party ID (PID) and/or ideology
- Response to economy \& job approval
- Response to other issues (war/peace, etc.)
- Action of strategic politicians
- The money \& turnout races
- Reliable pattern of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century save 3 elections
- What is "average" midterm presidential seat loss?
- What "variables" determine the depth of seat loss?


Change in Presidential Job Approval Averages, Second to Third Quarter in Office, Elected Presidents

| President | Second-quarter <br> average <br> $\%$ | Third-quarter <br> average <br> $\%$ | Change <br> (pct. pts.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eisenhower | 72 | 68 | -4 |
| Kennedy | 76 | 77 | +1 |
| Nixon | 62 | 60 | -2 |
| Carter | 64 | 60 | -4 |
| Reagan | 61 | 57 | -4 |
| G.H.W. Bush | 64 | 69 | +5 |
| Clinton | 44 | 48 | +4 |
| G.W. Bush | 56 | 72 | +16 |
| Obama | 62 | 53 | -9 |

Prior Presidents' Fifth Quarter Average Approval Ratings, Gallup Polls Presidents elected to first term

| President | Dates of fifth quarter | Average approval rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Eisenhower | Jan 20-Apr 19, 1954 | $67.8 \%$ |
| Kennedy | Jan 20-Apr 19, 1962 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Nixon | Jan 20-Apr 19, 1970 | $56.6 \%$ |
| Carter | Jan 20-Apr 19, 1978 | $48.0 \%$ |
| Reagan | Jan 20-Apr 19, 1982 | $46.3 \%$ |
| G.H.W. Bush | Jan 20-Apr 19, 1990 | $70.5 \%$ |
| Clinton | Jan 20-Apr 19, 1994 | $52.1 \%$ |
| G.W. Bush | Jan 20-Apr 19, 2002 | $79.5 \%$ |
| Obama | Jan 20-Apr 19, 2010 | $48.8 \%$ |
| GALLUP |  |  |

1994 All Over Again?
Possible 2010 House GOP pickups vs. 1994 actual gains, by region



```
Candidate Preference in 2010 Congressional Elections
Based on registered voters
```



Results based on weekly averages of Gall up Daily tracking; date indicates last day of interviewing for each week.
GALLUP
Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as president? \% Approve -- weekly average.


## Candidate Preference in 2010 Congressional Elections

Based on registered voter


Results based on weekly averages of Gallup Daily tracking: date indicates last day of interviewing for each week

GALLUP

| Tass in Guvermanerl <br> Ahd Verss of Hational Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ¢ |  |  |  |  |
| 78 182 86 90 94 98  02 06 |  |  |  |  |
| rin ineract ve uere on ot tre chat 10 askabe at: t.pmpcope-press..redtust |  |  |  |  |



## Macro Conditions - 2010 Outlook

- Democrats now have an accomplishment with the passage of health care reform, but it's far from clear that it dramatically enhances their prospects for the fall, as some of their officeholders and supporters argue.

Polling still shows the public dissatisfied with the country's direction and with President Barack Obama's performance, and as long as the national unemployment outlook doesn't start to show significant improvement, Democratic prospects for November are poor.

Democrats will have the financial advantage in the fall, and their strategists will try to localize elections, (just as Republicans tried to do for a number of elections). But the atmospherics remain strongly behind the GOP, and major Republican House gains are extremely likely.

With 68 Democratic House seats at risk and only 11 Republican seats in play - to say nothing of national and districts-level survey data showing voters hesitant to support Democratic incumbents - it's clear that the battleground is almost entirely on Democratic soil. Obviously, control of the House is at risk.

# Part II: Setting Up 2010 

The Obama Electoral Coalition of November 2008

## 2008 Conventional Wisdom: An Unpredictable Election

- For first time since 1952, there was no incumbent president or vice-president in the race
- The Republican candidate had reputation as a maverick frequently clashed with his party's leadership
- Democratic candidate was the first African-American nominee of a major party


## Conventional Wisdom: 2008-A Time for Change?

Key Assumption: Even though George Bush was not running, the 2008 presidential election would be a referendum on the performance the Bush Administration


## Three Leading Indicators of Presidential Vote

- Real GDP Growth in Second Quarter
- Incumbent President's Approval Rating at MidYear
- First Term vs. Second or Later Term for Incumbent Party (referendum on party in White House)
- Relevance here, with qualifications, for the November 2010 midterm elections


## Elections \& Voters in Nov 2008

- Voters in 2008
- 28\% Independents
- 44\% H/S Turnout (4-14\% primaries)
- 55\% Presidential Turnout (63.8\% of eligible voters from 2004)
- Conclusion: High Interest Election with High Turnout in November 2008
- 33\% early voting
- Party Identification (PID) changing from Partisan Parity to Democratic Dominance
- Signal of party shifts to come? New groups mobilized \& appearing en masse in the electorate


## Democratic Advantage in Voter Registration



## Shifts in Party Identification (PID)

- Oct. 2008 Pew: Dem. 51\% - Rep. 36\%
- Avg. 2007 Pew: Dem. 50\% - Rep. 36\%
- Oct. 2008 Gallup: Dem. 52\% - Rep. 41\%
- Avg. 2007 Gallup: Dem. 51\% - Rep. 40\%
- The averages are the lowest numbers for the GOP since Gallup started measuring this statistic more than 20 years ago and Pew 18 years ago.


## Popular Vote Percentages: 1964-2008



## Actual Votes: 1972-2008



## Electoral College <br> Results 1968-2004



## Electoral College 2008



Electoral College Votes 1972-2008


# Part III: The Dilemma of 2008 Governing vs Electioneering 

Turnout, Turnout, Turnout \& Demographic Change for Both Major US Political Parties

## Search for the Modal Voter in the Electorate \& Congress




## 2008 Results in Context

- This is the first election since 1964 that the Democrats have won the White House and gained seats in both the House and Senate
- Obama's campaign was mostly positive amassing a large number of small donors and volunteers
- Obama's central message was thematic.


## Demographic Shifts, Aftermath of 2008

| Year | Whites | Nonwhites | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | -1.8 | 7.6 | 5.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | -1.6 | 9.8 | 8.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | -10.3 | 10.8 | 0.5 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | -14.9 | 11.9 | -3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | -11.6 | 21.1 | 9.5 |

## Changing Composition of the US Electorate, 1992-2020



Other Nonwhite Black

| Age Group | White | Black | Hispanic | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65-plus | $80.7 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 7}$ | $59.9 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 3}$ | $57.5 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Under 5 | $\mathbf{5 4 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $23.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |

## Results of 2006 + 2008 Elections: Expanding Democratic Majorities

- More Democrats $\rightarrow$ It should be easier to build coalitions for Democratic bills
- But Democrats are constrained by Republican filibustering in the Senate
- Definition
- Threshold: 60 Votes
- Then, the Massachusetts Senate race (Jan. 2010) came along to change the game



# Part IV: Predictions \& What to Watch As We Move to Midterms 

Predictions, Directions, \& Developments Over The Next 167 Days Until 02 November 2010

## What of the Targets \& the Marginals?

- Retirements mount for both parties
- Does GOP promote another, "Contract with America" in Sept. 2010?
- GOP has targeted 55 Democratic seats in the House.
- In the Senate, any chance of gaining 10 seats to takeover that Chamber would require the GOP to win at least two of the following four states: Connecticut, California, Wisconsin and Washington.
- Pay close attention to the following:
- GOP has 100+ challengers running in House races, but many are running against each other in primaries. Two-thirds of the targeted Demo. seats have a crowded GOP primary field.
- Rust Belt State gains for the GOP (MI, OH, PA, Gubernatorial races in these states as well) \& Dems success in FL, TX
- How well GOP does in trying to pick up Senate seats in
- Internal US Senate dynamics between Schumer (NY) \& Durbin (IL)
- Role of 'centrists' in both Houses, post-November results
- Fundraising ratios Dems vs. GOP, esp. GOP fundraising "surges"
- Demographic \& ideological "shifting" vs. motivated voters - who turns out to vote?
- Vulnerability vs. inevitable losses


## Political Terrain for the Democratic Agenda

- Sequence / Timing: Which issues does the President want to press? And Congress?
- Duration: How long is Obama's "window of opportunity" before Congress reverts to business-as-usual? Has this already occurred?
- Constraints: How is the agenda limited by economic, fiscal, \& budgetary woes?
- Ideology: Do Democrats push a moderate or a progressive agenda?
- Exposure: Are Dems over-exposed on seats like the GOP was in 2006?
- Funding: \$\$\$ helped drive turnout in 2006, 2008.




## Websites for Additional Information

- www.thegreenpapers.com
- www.pollster.com
- www.gallup.com
- www.pollsandvotes.com
- www.cqpolitics.com
- www.rollcall.com
- www.thehill.com
- www.fivethirtyeight.com
- www.pewresearch.org

