TRANSATLANTIC TRADE & INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP

19/04/2017

Jacob A. Jordaan Utrecht School of Economics j.a.jordaan@uu.nl

2

Set up today

- Literature
- Free trade: public opinion
- EU and US: Trade and investment patterns
 TTIP
 - Trade liberalisation
 - Expected gains
 - Criticisms
- Questions and discussion

Literature

Reducing Transatlantic Barriers to Trade and Investment

An Economic Assessment

Final Project Report

March 2013

Prepared under implementing Framework Contract TRADE10/A2/A16

Joseph Francois (project leader) Centre for Economic Policy Research, London



September 2013

3

Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership

The Economic Analysis Explained





The Geopolitics of TTIP

Hamilton, D.S. (2014) The Geopolitics of TTIP: Repositioning the transatlantic relationship for a changing world. Centre for Transatlantic Relations. John Hopkins University

Novák, T. Chapter 6: TTIP's implications for the Global Economic Integration of Central and Eastern Europe

Robertson, C. Chapter 9: CETA and TTIP: Implications and Lessons learned

1. Public opinion on free trade

- EU and US are negotiating trade and investment agreement
 - Is receiving a lot of attention
 - Emotions are running high!

What is going on?

This time it's different?

Negotiations cover a lot of issues

- Not surprising?
- The easy stuff has already been done?

Free trade good or bad?

- Opinions have become very diverse
- Try to focus on economic issues

EU membership



Transatlantic Trends 2014 German Marshall Fund of the United States

Opinions on the EU

Why is EU Membership a Good	Thing?	Why is EU membership a bad thing?			
	%		%		
EU is community of	31	Harmed our country's	45		
democracies		economy			
Free movement	27	Too much authority	23		
Maintained peace	19	Undermined our	13		
		culture			
Strengthened EU economies	16	Undemocratic	11		
Other	7	Other	9		

Transatlantic Trends 2014 German Marshall Fund of the United States

Transatlantic trade



Transatlantic Trends 2013 German Marshall Fund of the United States

Effects of free trade

	Trade is good	Trade increases wages	Trade creates jobs	Trade lowers prices	Foreign companies buying companies is good	Foreign companies building factories is good
Spain	91	28	56	22	43	85
Germany	90	28	43	26	19	66
UK	88	34	50	24	39	82
Greece	79	21	44	35	31	67
Poland	78	38	51	26	40	75
France	73	14	24	28	32	75
Italy	59	7	13	22	23	61
US	68	17	20	35	28	75

% of respondents agreeing with statements;

Pew Research Centre; Spring 2014 Global Attitude Survey

Economic development and free trade

Developing Nations Are Generally More Positive about Certain Trade Benefits



Surprising results?

Note: Medians by country economic categorization.

Source: Spring 2014 Global Attitudes survey. Q27-Q32.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

TTIP: the German perspective

	Positive	Negative	Neutral	Don't know
Economic Growth	27	26	19	28
Employment and Labour	23	28	22	28
market conditions				
International	29	24	19	28
Competitiveness				
Your country's global	23	21	26	29
influence				
Consumer protection	12	48	13	27
Environmental standards	12	46	16	27
Workers' rights / social	10	40	22	29
standards				
Public services	10	27	31	31
Democracy	10	28	32	29
Regulatory sovereignty	9	37	22	32

Attitudes to global trade and TTIP in Germany; Bertelsman Stiftung.

Brexit: EU has gone too far?



Chart of the Week

IS BREXIT THE FIRST OF MANY DOMINOES?

UK and the rest of Europe brace for an uncertain future



SOURCES: Ipsos Mori, Bloomberg; Electoral Commission

What do we see?

- Free trade popular topic again
- Overall, free trade is good
 - But persistent minority against
- Less support for positive effects of free trade (globalisation)
- Level of development affects opinion
 - More developed economies already experienced the majority of the positive effects?
- Growing importance of "non-trade-related" issues
- Brexit outlier or start of economic de-integration?

2. EU and US

Context of trade negotiations

- US and EU problems with recovering from crisis / reinitiating growth
- On-going integration in Asia
- Stagnation trade liberalisation in WTO
- Stagnation gains from integration in EU
- Multinational enterprises are increasing pressure
- Potential of trade agreement between two largest markets in the world economy

US and EU in world economy



GDP/Capita US and EU



Key role in international trade

Share (%) of regional trade flows in each region's total merchandise exports

	North	South	Europe	CIS	Africa	Middle	Asia	World
	America	and				East		
		Central						
		America						
World	17.3	4.0	36.7	2.8	3.5	4.2	29.7	100
North America	50.2	8.6	15.2	0.7	1.7	3.2	20.2	100
South and Central	24.8	25.8	16.4	1.4	2.5	2.4	24.5	100
America								
Europe	7.9	1.7	68.5	3.2	3.3	3.4	10.8	100
Commonwealth of	3.9	0.9	52.4	17.8	2.1	3.1	18.2	100
independent states								
Africa	7.0	5.1	36.2	0.4	17.7	3.3	27.3	100
Middle East	7.7	0.8	11.5	0.5	2.8	8.8	53.9	100
Asia	18.0	3.1	15.2	2.1	3.5	5.1	52.3	100

Source: Based on data from World Trade Organization; data is for 2014

Key role in international investment

Share in worldwide inward FDI EU 35%; US 20%

Table II.8. FDI stock in the United States, by major source economy, 2012						
Source	FDI stock (\$ million)	Share (%)				
United Kingdom	486 833	18.4				
Japan	308 253	11.6				
Netherlands	274 904	10.4				
Canada	225 331	8.5				
France	209 121	7.9				
Switzerland	203 954	7.7				
Luxembourg	202 338	7.6				
Germany	199 006	7.5				
Belgium	88 697	3.3				
Spain	47 352	1.8				
Australia	42 685	1.6				
European Union	1 647 567	62.2				
All countries total	2 650 832	100.0				

Source: UNCTAD, Bilateral FDI Statistics (http://unctad.org/en/ Pages/DIAE/FDI%20Statistics/FDI-Statistics-Bilaleral. aspx). Share in worldwide outward FDI EU 40%; US 24%

Table II.7. United States FDI stock abroad, by major recipient economies, 2012

Destination	FDI stock (\$ million)	Share (%)
Netherlands	645 098	14.5
United Kingdom	597 813	13.4
Luxembourg	383 603	8.6
Canada	351 460	7.9
Ireland	203 779	4.6
Singapore	138 603	3.1
Japan	133 967	3.0
Australia	132 825	3.0
Switzerland	130 315	2.9
Germany	121 184	2.7
European Union	2 239 580	50.3
All countries total	4 453 307	100.0

Source: UNCTAD, Bilateral FDI Statistics (http://unctad.org/en/ Pages/DIAE/FDI%20Statistics/FDI-Statistics-Bilateral. aspx).

Multinational enterprises

- US and EU have many multinationals
- Large shares in modern manufacturing industries
- Key role in services
- Dominance in international trade
- Key role in creating new technologies
- International diffusion of technologies
- We cannot ignore this in discussions on trade liberalisation and agreements

3. TTIP

- EU-Canada CETA
- Negotiations have been completed, EU parliament has voted in favour
- Now it's with national governments
- Now TTIP
- Transparency and disclosure of information is much larger compared to previous trade negotiations
- http://ec.europa.eu/trade/policy/in-focus/ttip/

One of the key issues for TTIP

• Where will the gains from trade liberalisation come from?



More general for developed countries



Non tariff barriers (1)

Limiting market access

Import quotas, export restraints, discriminatory measures against foreign firms

Costs associated with operating in different markets

- Approval conditions and procedures
- Environment and health standards; consumer protection
- Industry standards; packaging and information requirements

How to assess need for NTBs?

- Some of the NTBs exist for good reasons
- Mixture of backgrounds (labour rights, environment)
- Mix of trade policy and other policies

Non tariff barriers (2)

- Lowering NTBs important to increase gains from TTIP
- Completely removing NTBs is going to be very difficult

Alternatives

- Harmonisation
- Mutual recognition

Public concern: race to the bottom?

 "Unfair" competition when NTBs differ: countries will be forced to accept lower standards

Is this really the case?

- No relation between trade openness and level of standards
- What about consumer choice?

Predicted effects of TTIP

- Difficult art of forecasting
- Computable general equilibrium models
- Trade liberalisation = decrease in trade costs → increase in competition → competitive sectors grow → increase trade and GDP
- Ecorys (2009) Non tariff measures in EU-US trade and investment- An economic Analysis. Study for European Commission
- Fontagne et al. (2013) Transatlantic Trade: Whither partnership, which economic consequences? CEPII
- Francois, J. et al. (2013) Reducing Transatlantic Barriers to Trade and Investment: An Economic Assessment. CEPR
- FelberMayr, G. et al. (2014) Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership: Who benefits from a free trade deal? Bertelsman Stiftung





Source: CEPR (2013), CEPII (2013), own calculation based on BMWT/ifo (2013)

Changes compared to baseline scenario; BMWT/ifo results based on "NTB-Scenario" (p93 – trade weighted changes with 2007 comtrade data)

Source: Raza et al. (2014)

Effects according to CEPR

 Table 16
 Changes in GDP (in per cent), 2027 benchmark, 20 per cent direct spillovers

	A=B+C+ D+E+F	В	С	D	Е	F	G	
			Stemming from the liberalisation of					
	Total	tariffs	total NTBs goods	total NTBs services	direct spill- overs	indirect spill-overs	procurement	
Less ambitious exp	periment							
European Union	0.27	0.10	0.12	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	
United States	0.21	0.04	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	
Ambitious experin	ient							
European Union	0.48	0.11	0.26	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.05	
United States	0.39	0.04	0.23	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.03	

Source: CGE calculations.

€ 500 per household in EU€ 655 per household US

Figure 3: Change in real per capita income in the EU27, tariff scenario



Figure 5: Change in real per capita income in the EU27, deep liberalization



Additional positive effects

- Consensus on moderate gains
- Additional effects
 - Dynamic effects
 - Scale economies
 - Productivity increases
 - Development of new technologies & industries
 - Restructuring and flexibilization EU economies
 - See problems with recovering from financial crisis
 - Positive effects on other countries?
 - Increase in world demand & easier access to combined US & EU market
 - Positive effect on trade liberalisation under WTO?
 - Pressure on China?

Criticisms (1)

- Impact studies have received a lot of criticism
- Macroeconomic adjustment costs
 - Changing capital flows
 - Public sector
 - Less income from tariffs \rightarrow decrease government income
 - Needs to be compensated by economic growth

Unemployment

- More trade, increase GDP \rightarrow increase employment
- But: sectoral reallocation of labour!
- This takes time, is costly, spatially concentrated, not everyone will be successful
- Adjustment costs may be substantial!

Criticisms (2)

How to deal with unequal gains?

- Some countries will benefit more than others
- Gains unequally distributed within countries
- Coherence in EU?
- Is (Eastern) Europe ready for large increase in competition?
- Effect on third-party countries

Social costs of regulatory change

- Which NTBs can be removed, harmonised, mutually recognised?
- Change in regulation = cost for firms
- Danger of race to the bottom?

Horizontal versus sector specific

- Medicines, cosmetics, food, raw materials, motors, etc.
- What are the gains when there are so many exceptions?

Criticisms (3)

Investor state dispute settlement system (ISDS)

- System to solve disputes between foreign investors and state
- Many countries have bilateral treaties
- Concern: government constrained in designing policies?

What about WTO?

Public services under threat?

- Role of government versus private sector + increasing participation by foreign firms
- TTIP is made for multinationals?
- Threat to democracy?

What is the key challenge?



Concluding remarks (1)

Globalising world economy

- Ongoing liberalisation of trade and investment
- What is the alternative?
- Stagnation WTO \rightarrow Countries are looking for alternatives
 - (WTO has made a lot of progress!)
- TTIP: potential trade agreement between the two largest markets
- Negotiations surrounded by a lot of commotion
- Difficult to appreciate all the arguments
 - Substantial minority does not like free trade, even in EU!

Concluding remarks (2)

Key issues from economic perspective

Moderate gains versus adjustment costs?

Tariffs versus NTBs

- Lowering NTBs key for gains
- Harmonisation, mutual recognition, many areas affected

Unequal gains? Winners and losers?

- Redistribution necessary? Feasible?
- Within and between countries?
- Effects on third-party countries?
- Effect on trade liberalisation under WTO?

I hope you enjoyed the presentation!

Any questions?