Tvorba vědeckého textu Session 2

jaroslav.hlinka@gmail.com

KES FSS MUNI Brno 16. 3. 2017

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Anatomy of a research project/paper

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

Anatomy of a research project/paper

- Wow! Hmmm?! I need to know this!
- ►
- Do I really care? Why?
- Does anybody know already?
- How could I find out?
- Let's gather some evidence!
- So what have I learned?
- But what if?
- The answer is 42! Wow!? Hmmm!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Anatomy of a research project/paper

- Wow! Hmmm?! I need to know this! Title
- I need to tell everybody! Abstract
- Do I really care? Why? Introduction I.
- Does anybody know already? Introduction II.
- How could I find out? Methods
- Let's gather some evidence! Data
- So what have I learned? Results
- But what if? Discussion
- The answer is 42! Wow!? Hmmm! Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Resarch process

Structure of paper copies the research process:

- Title (and author list) choosing a topic, forming a team
- Abstract [- summarize: usually the last step]
- Introduction reviewing state-of-art, determine question

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Data and methods designing research
- Results analyzing evidence
- Discussion limitations and bigger picture
- Conclusion celebration

Zoo of motivations

Zoo of motivations

- Who cares?: me vs. the society
- Why?: practical vs. intellectual motivation

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Activity: on the Question Quest

- list topics
- (re)introduce each
- check preferences

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Determining research question

Feasible:

- Adequate number of subjects
- Adequate technical expertise
- Affordable in time and money
- Manageable in scope
- Interesting: Getting the answer intrigues investigator, peers and community
- Novel: Confirms, refutes or extends previous findings
- Ethical: Amenable to a study that institutional review board will approve
- Relevant:
 - To scientific knowledge
 - To clinical, societal, technological policy/applications

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

To future research

Determining research question: guiding questions

Questions that may help:



Determining research question: guiding questions

Questions that may help:

- What exactly do you mean by ...?
- Who has already studied this question? What did they find?
- Where is the boundary between known and unknown in this area? Where is the knowledge gap?
- How could ... be determined/measured? Which methods can be used? How they differ? What are their limitations?

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

How can the answer distilled from the data? How will I analyze it?

Activity: Generate specific research questions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Or shall we first check the evidence so far?

Searching for evidence

looking for information sources

- databases (ISI, google(scholar))
- reference software (jabref, endnote, zotero, citeulike)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- evaluating evidence
 - Currency
 - Relevance
 - Authority
 - Accuracy
 - Purpose

Activity: Searching for evidence

Find three most relevant papers related to your question! (teamwork, 10 minutes)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Team working strategies

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Team working strategies

6 hats of de Bono

Team working strategies

6 hats of de Bono

COLOURED HAT	THINK OF	DETAILED DESCRIPTION		
L	White paper	The white hat is about data and information. It is used to record information that is currently available and to identify further information that may be needed.		
	Fire and warmth	The red hat is associated with feelings, intuition, and emotion. The red hat allows people to put forward feelings without justification or prejudice.		
J	Sunshine	The yellow hat is for a positive view of things. It looks for benefits in a situation. This hat encourages a positive view even in people who are always critical.		
	A stern judge	The black hat relates to caution. It is used for critical judgement. Sometimes it is easy to overuse the black hat.		
1	Vegetation and rich growth	The green hat is for creative thinking and generating new ideas. This is your creative thinking cap.		
	The sky and overview	The blue hat is about process control. It is used for thinking about thinking. The blue hat asks for summaries, conclusions and decisions.		

6 hats



© Paul Foreman http://www.mindmapinspiration.com

Activity: Searching for evidence

Find three most relevant papers related to your question! (teamwork, 10 minutes)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

reliability and validity

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

- reliability and validity
- representativity

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- reliability and validity
- representativity
- statistical methods

- reliability and validity
- representativity
- statistical methods



Methodology notes - types of validity

validity



 For example, is this human biology exam actually measuring human biology constructs

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Methodology notes - sample representativity



Methodology notes - statistical methods

Variable	Test	
Nominal	McNemar's Test	
Ordinal (Ordered categories)	Wilcoxon	
Quantitative (Discrete or Non-Normal)	Wilcoxon	
Quantitative (Normal*)	Paired t test	

Methodology notes - statistical methods

	Outcome variable									
Input Variable		Nominal	Categorical (>2 Categories)	Ordinal	Quantitative Discrete	Quantitative Non-Normal	Quantitative Normal			
	Nominal	X ² or Fisher's	X ²	X ² -trend or Mann Whitney	Mann- Whitney	Mann- Whitney or log-rank ^a	Student's t test			
	Categorical (2>categories)	X ²	X²	Kruskal- Wallis ^b	Kruskal- Wallis ^b	Kruskal- Wallis ^b	Analysis of variance ^c			
	Ordinal (Ordered categories)	X ² -trend or Mann Whitney	ė	Spearman rank	Spearman rank	Spearman rank	Spearman rank or linear regression ^d			
	Quantitative Discrete	Logistic regression	e	e	Spearman rank	Spearman rank	Spearman rank or linear regression ^d			
	Quantitative non-Normal	Logistic regression	e	e	e	Plot data and Pearson or Spearman rank	Plot data and Pearson or Spearman rank and linear regression			
	Quantitative Normal	Logistic regression	e	e	e	Linear regression ^d	Pearson and linear regression			

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

- Posing questions
- Trying to pick up relevant information
- "How would I approach that question?"
- Looking for a specific answer vs. learning about the field (original research paper vs. review, book)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- Posing questions
- Trying to pick up relevant information
- "How would I approach that question?"
- Looking for a specific answer vs. learning about the field (original research paper vs. review, book)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Activity: studying a paper

choose keywords

- Posing questions
- Trying to pick up relevant information
- "How would I approach that question?"
- Looking for a specific answer vs. learning about the field (original research paper vs. review, book)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- choose keywords
- searching a selected database

- Posing questions
- Trying to pick up relevant information
- "How would I approach that question?"
- Looking for a specific answer vs. learning about the field (original research paper vs. review, book)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- choose keywords
- searching a selected database
- pick a relevant paper

- Posing questions
- Trying to pick up relevant information
- "How would I approach that question?"
- Looking for a specific answer vs. learning about the field (original research paper vs. review, book)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- choose keywords
- searching a selected database
- pick a relevant paper
- read, refer, ask questions, re-read

- Posing questions
- Trying to pick up relevant information
- "How would I approach that question?"
- Looking for a specific answer vs. learning about the field (original research paper vs. review, book)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- choose keywords
- searching a selected database
- pick a relevant paper
- read, refer, ask questions, re-read

Determining research question

Activity: Determining research question

Determining research question

Activity: Determining research question Questions that may help:

- What exactly do you mean by ...?
- Who has already studied this question? What did they find?
- Where is the boundary between known and unknown in this area? Where is the knowledge gap?
- How could be determined/measured? Which methods can be used? How they differ? What are their limitations?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

How can the answer distilled from the data? How will I analyze it?

Overly honest methods

http://thenode.biologists.com/overly-honest-methods/

- "Here's a typical plot of the data, by which I mean it was the prettiest one."
- "We added 888 uL because it's a lucky number in China."
- "Samples were analyzed between 2 days and 6 months post-collection, depending on when the freezer got full."
- "We tried several statistical confidence test, randomly. Here is the one that gives the coolest results! "
- "The reaction was heated to reflux overnight because it was time to go to the pub."
- "The hypothesis and rationale behind testing these compounds in this model system is we already had them in our fridge"
- "100 flies were dissected because that was all the undergraduate could manage"