
#### Civic and political socialization

Jan Šerek


Youth Development, April 5th, 2017

- development of a social aspect of person's identity (Erikson, 1968)
- social and institutional incentives
  - educational system (Niemi & Hepburn, 1995)
  - political rights


Impressionable years hypothesis



Life-long openness



#### Impressionable years hypothesis



Life-long openness



Three sources of support for impressionable years hypothesis:

 longitudinal research has found that political orientations have the lowest stability in adolescence and young adulthood, while remaining relatively stable later in the life (Krosnick & Alwin, 1989; Prior, 2010; Sears & Levy, 2003)

Eckstein, Noack, & Gniewosz (2012) have found that political orientations become increasingly stable during adolescence

Three sources of support for impressionable years hypothesis:

2. the same pattern was revealed for other sociopolitical attitudes related to civic/political behavior, such as authoritarianism, dogmatism, tolerance, ethnocentrism, adherence to social equality etc. (Duckitt, 2009; Vollebergh, ledema & Raaijmakers, 2001)

Three sources of support for impressionable years hypothesis:

3. studies on the collective memory show that people tend to recall from their memory those political events (e.g., democratic transition) that happened in their adolescence or young adulthood rather than the events that happened earlier or later in their lives (Valencia & Páez, 1999)

historical events have the largest impact on political development of the person if these events occur between adolescence and adulthood (Sears, 2002; Sears & Levy, 2003)

"by the time the child enters high school at the age of 14, his basic political orientations to regime and community have become quite firmly entrenched so that at least during the four years of high school little substantive change is visible"

(Easton & Hess, 1962, 236)

Criticism: Cook, 1985; Merelman, 1972; Niemi, & Hepburn, 1995; Renshon, 1992

Criticism: Cook, 1985; Merelman, 1972; Niemi, & Hepburn, 1995; Renshon, 1992

Children have different cognitive functioning compared to adults

#### Joseph Adelson and his colleagues:

#### Children

may differentiate between local and national government and know something about political parties but they do not understand why political parties compete with each other and what is the difference between democracy and dictatorship

#### **Adolescents**

differentiate between abstract public offices (e.g., president) and concrete persons holding these offices

consider long-term consequences of law and other social norms, their consistency with general moral principles, and their consequeces for various social groups

understand that political parties represent interests of different social groups

More general theories of cognitive development:


#### **Jean Piaget**


we become able to use abstract reasoning from age 11-12


#### **Robert Selman**

from age 12-14 we become able to take a perspective of a "third" person

from age 15 we become able to take perspectives of "third" non-aligned persons who come from different sociocultural backgrounds







political participation


different definition → different picture of current youth


#### Our data


June 2014

about 2,000 9th and 10th graders ( $M_{age}$ = 15.7)

survey research in schools









civic engagement is closely associated with one's lifestyle






most young people are upset with everything related to "politics"







it's not about online vs offline, it's about "easy" vs "difficult"

Please think about your adulthood now. If I thought that there was something wrong in the society, I would ...




they are far from favoring non-normative activities over normative activities






Norris, 2003


Data: European Social Survey





Norris, 2003

Data: European Social Survey









they tend to focus on local and community issues

## How do they participate?

they tend to focus on local and community issues

- 37% environment and animal rights
- 28% local issue
- 20% human rights in the Czech Republic
- 17% human rights abroad
- 11% politics

#### **Macro-level perspective**

how societies and political systems maintain their stability by instilling certain values, beliefs, and behavioral norms in their citizens?

#### Micro-level perspective

by which patterns and processes individuals engage in political/civic development, learning and constructing their particular relationships to the political/civic contexts in which they live?

(Sapiro, 2004)


#### Macro-level perspective

how societies and political systems maintain their stability by instilling certain values, beliefs, and behavioral norms in their citizens?

#### Micro-level perspective

by which patterns and processes individuals engage in political/civic development, learning and constructing their particular relationships to the political/civic contexts in which they live?


(Sapiro, 2004)




microsystem

Bronfenbrenner, 1979 Wilkenfeld et al., 2010

mesosystem microsystem



Bronfenbrenner, 1979 Wilkenfeld et al., 2010



Cultural values, political culture, ideology underlying economic or governmental system ... Boards, Workplace directorate macrosystem Classexosystem **Family** room mesosystem microsystem **Bronfenbrenner**, 1979 Wilkenfeld et al., 2010



parents are role models



parents are role models



parents can persuade the child

parents are role models



parents control where the child spends her or his time

parents can persuade the child

parents are role models



parents control where the child spends her or his time

parents use democratic, authoriarian etc. practices towards the child


parents can persuade the child



teachers and classmates

are role models and

produce social influences



teachers and classmates

are role models and

produce social influences



teachers and classmates

are role models and

produce social influences



democratic, authoritarian etc. practices are used in the classrooms

- media
- clubs, groups, organizations
- friends
- neighborhoods

- Agency
- Directions of influence
- Interventions
- Multiple contexts and dispositionsenvironment interactions

#### **Agency**

child/adolescent as passive recipient vs. active agent

#### **Agency**

child/adolescent as passive recipient vs. active agent

current theories in developmental psychology stress that the process of socialization cannot be understood as a mere transmission of the environmental influences on a child (Maccoby, 2007; Nurmi, 2004)

#### **Agency**

child/adolescent as passive recipient vs. active agent

current theories in developmental psychology stress that the process of socialization cannot be understood as a mere transmission of the environmental influences on a child (Maccoby, 2007; Nurmi, 2004)

political beliefs held by adolescents reflect rather adolescents' hypotheses about parental beliefs than parental beliefs as such (Westholm, 1999)

#### **Agency**

child/adolescent as passive recipient vs. active agent

current theories in developmental psychology stress that the process of socialization cannot be understood as a mere transmission of the environmental influences on a child (Maccoby, 2007; Nurmi, 2004)


political beliefs held by adolescents reflect rather adolescents' hypotheses about parental beliefs than parental beliefs as such (Westholm, 1999)

civic/political socialization is a process by which young people actively ascribe meanings to the world of politics, based on the information and experiences provided by socialization agents (Metzger & Smetana, 2010)


what is the directionality between political attitudes and participation?

what is the directionality between political attitudes and participation?


data from 768 high school students (aged 14 to 17)



Šerek, Macháčková & Macek (in press)



Šerek, Macháčková & Macek (in press)



Šerek, Macháčková & Macek (in press)


active participation has the effects on political attitudes but the opposite effects are less pronounced

through their own political participation, young people form and clarify their political attitudes


#### **Directions of influence**



#### **Directions of influence**



#### **Directions of influence**



#### Main concerns:

new research (McDevitt, 2005)

internet (Dahlgren, 2009)

immigrant families (Wong & Tseng, 2008)

#### **Interventions**

```
school-based programs
teaching
practicing skills
organization-based programs
mandatory community service
```

# Multiple contexts and disposition-environment interactions cumulative effects of multiple contexts

it's not only about environment but also about one's own preferences, beliefs, values, personality traits, cognitive dispositions etc.

young people with different dispositions react differently on the same environment

what short-term factors contribute to voting turnout of first-time voters?

what short-term factors contribute to voting turnout of first-time voters?

longitudinal data from cca 200 adolescents aged 18-19

#### **February**

Voting intention

Following news

**Discussions Parents** 

**Discussions Peers** 

February May

Voting intention

Voting intention

Following news

Following news


**Discussions Parents** 


**Discussions Parents** 


**Discussions Peers** 


**Discussions Peers** 

**February** May June Voting intention **Voting intention** Voting Following news Following news **Discussions Parents Discussions Parents Discussions Peers Discussions Peers** 












**February** May June Voting intention Voting intention Voting Following news Following news **Discussions Parents Discussions Parents Discussions Peers Discussions Peers** 







adolescents who discussed politics with their peers before the election became more willing to vote

no such effect was found regarding discussions with parents

however, discussions with parents can stimulate more discussions with peers

both parents and peers are important but in different ways

both parents and peers are important but in different ways

why peers?

both parents and peers are important but in different ways

why peers?
stronger social influence

both parents and peers are important but in different ways

why peers?

stronger social influence

peers can be selected by a person

both parents and peers are important but in different ways

why peers?

stronger social influence
peers can be selected by a person
more concept-oriented than sociooriented communication

# Questions?

serek@fss.muni.cz