Europe in the International Economy 1500 - 1800

Interpretation of European Success

Europe in World Economy 2018

Big Questions

- Explanation for preeminence of Europe before 1800:
 - probability of global dominance before 1500?
- Rise of the market economy: under which conditions could become capitalism dominant?
 - Classical economists view growth is natural and will occur whenever opportunity and security;
 (VS.)
 - Freedom from aggression is necessary but not sufficient condition: enterprise is not to be taken for granted; (Landes)
 - What was the role of violence (advantage in organization of military power; imperialism)? (Arighi)

Growth as a norm?

- Today: the growth is reflected as a norm;
 - but, for millenniums the growth has been rather excess stagnation was the reality.
- <u>Colonialism</u> is usually viewed as an external intervention:
 - Did really interrupted spontaneous development?
 - ... was the economic development and growth outcome of specific selfreinforcing process which is a unique European/Western feature?
 - What role did the external expansion played in development of European nation state (economic, political, military elements)? (Tilly, Ferguson)


Table 1–9a. **Growth of Per Capita GDP by Major Region, 1000–1998** (annual average compound growth rate)

	1000-1500	1500-1600	1600-1700	1700-1820	1820-1998
Western Europe	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.15	1.51
Western Offshoots	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.78	1.75
Japan	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.13	1.93
Average Group A	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.18	1.67
Latin America	0.01	0.09	0.19	0.19	1.22
Eastern Europe & former USSR	0.04	0.10	0.10	0.10	1.06
Asia (excluding Japan)	0.05	0.01	-0.01	0.01	0.92
Africa	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.67
Average Group B	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.95

Share of World Product by Regions (%) (*Maddison*)


	1000	1500	1820	1998
Western Europe	8,7	17,9	23,6	20,6
Western offshoots	0,7	0,5	1,9	25,1
Japan	2,7	3,1	3,0	7,7
Asia (excl. Japan)	67,6	62,1	56,2	29,5
Latin America	3,9	2,9	2,0	8,7
EE + USSR	4,6	5,9	8,8	5,3
Africa	11,8	7,4	4,5	3,1

Figure 1-4. Comparative Levels of GDP Per Capita: China and West Europe, 400-1998 A.D.



Source: Appendices A, B and C. Vertical scale is logarithmic.

Figure 1-1. Population of Western Europe: Confrontation of Two Millennia (000)



Population growth (Maddison)

- Decline in mortality before 1820;
- Sharp decline in mortality and slower decline in **fertility after 1820**.
- Year 1000: average life expectation at the world level was 24 years;
- By 1820, increased to about 26 years (24-36 in North);
 - since 1820 has risen to 78 years;
- In **South** were **no improvements** between 1000 and **1820**;
 - By **2000** it had grown dramatically to an average **64 years**.
- There were **major disasters** (6th, 14th, 17th century).
- Until the 19th century population growth was repeatedly interrupted by <u>crises</u>:
 - Hunger due to **harvest failure** -> waves of **infectious disease** and/or **war ->** ... **Black death** 1348 consequences...
 - Society operated near to subsistence levels.

Great North-South Divergence

Level of Per Capita GDP 1000-2000 (1990 international USD)

	1000	1500	1600	1700	1820	1998
North	405	704	805	907	1 130	2 1470
South	440	535	548	551	573	3 102

GDP of Groups A and B (billion 1990 international USD)

	1000	1500	1600	1700	1820	1998
North	14,1	53,2	76,1	100,0	198,0	17 998
South	102,7	194,0	252,9	271,8	496,5	15 727

- "Oriental despotism": (Landes) (Ming China 1368-1644)
 - Ruler as a god, different from his subjects, could do as he pleased with their lives;
 - Marital aristocracy had monopoly of weapons (also in Japan);
 - this stifles enterprise and stuns development;
 - Ordinary people:
 - Exist to "enhance the pleasure of the rulers";
 - Their duty is to pay and obey whoever rule them (Balkh/Bactra);

(alternative: massive population, unified political control, industrious revolution, labour rich, land scarce and capital scarce economy)

- Economic development Western invention (?);
 - Aristocratic empires: did not think in term of gains in productivity ...pressed harder;
 - Ancient Greece, Rome
 - Fell into tyrannical autocracy resembled the civilization to the east;
 - Dissenters republican ideal;
 - Property rights had to be rediscovered (Germanic tribes);

Christian church (Landes)

- Judaistic-christian tradition in European political consciousness:
 - Reminding rulers that they held their wealth and power from God on condition of good behavior;
 - Earthly rulers were not free to do as they pleased split between secular and religious (fragmentation, Ferguson)
 - Also a custodian of knowledge:
 - To **free clerics from** time-consuming earthly tasks diffusion of power machinery + hiring of lay brothers;
 - Employment attention to time and productivity;
 - Monastic estates remarkable assemblages of powered machinery (1150);
 - Subordination of nature to man departure from animistic beliefs;
 - Sense of linear time other societies' (hindu) time as cyclical (returning to earlier stages and starting over again);
 - Inclusive vs. Exclusive institutions (Acemoglu, Robinson) (commenda, Australia, Jamestown)

Islamic world

- From Spain to the Indies (1000-1500);
- Science and technology surpassed those of Europe (math, philosophy, industries...);
- Later denounced as heresy by religious authorities;
- European expansion role of reconquista/crusade + "el Dorado" /plunder + business/efficiency; motivation...

China

- Wheelbarrow, compass, paper, printing, gunpowder, porcelain;
- Textile: anticipated Europe: water-driven spinning 12th;
- Iron manufacture used coal and coke, smelting iron;
- Meritocratic system (public officials, examinations);
- Knowledge cumulative (?) example of technological regression coal/coke smelting, iron industry ...;
- Absence of a free market and institutionalized property rights (?):
 - population, united political power, industrious "revolution"; (Ferguson)
 - state interfering with private enterprise;
 - Ming dynasty (1368-1644) state attempted to prohibit all trade overseas;
- <u>Totalitarianism</u>: (*Landes*, Ming)
 - hold of the state over all activities of social life no private initiative,
 - monopoly of education, clothing regulations, housing regulations;
 - atmosphere of routine, traditionalism and of immobility; (in 1980s a pro-growth feature, Chang);

Dynasty	Era
Qin	221 - 206 BC
Han	206 BC - 220 AD
Wei	386 - 534
Sui	581 - 618
Tang	618 - 907
Song	960 - 1279
Yuan (Mongol)	1271 - 1368
Ming	1368 - 1644
Qing (Manchu)	1644 - 1911

Europe

- Despotism mitigated by law, territorial partitions, division of power between center (crown) and local authority;
- Fortune(?): fall of Rome and the weakness and division:
 - Dream of unity persisted to the present, fragmentation generally seen as a great misfortune (EU?);
 - Fragmentation strongest brake on oppression;
 - Europe safe form single-stroke conquest;
 - Huns 10th, Mongols 13th;
 - Turks twice at the walls of Vienna (1529, 1683);
- Europeans reasonably secure were able to pursue their own advantage;
- Period of population increase and economic growth up to 1350;
 - Black Death 1/3 or more died till 1500 period of rebuilding;
 - Increase in wages, rationalization of agri (animal production) -> raw materials for industry, higher demand;
 - West specialization, cities, nuclear family vs. East political oppression, second serfdom; (Findlay, O'Rourke)

Specifically **European** phenomenon – **semi autonomous city**;

- Cities whenever sufficient surplus to sustain population of nonfood producers (rulers, soldiers, craftsman);
- ...nothing like the **commune**: **governments** of the **merchants** with exceptional civil power *Landes*: gateways to freedom;
- Migration to the cities improved also income of those left behind linkages to serf emancipation;

Why did rulers grant rights:

- Trade, crafts, markets brought revenue and power (Tilly);
- Free farmers and townsmen (bourgeois) were natural enemies of the landed aristocracy and would support the crown)
- Tax (on property, flows) vs. kind ... (violence; credit Tilly);



Table 2–3. **Population of 31 Biggest West European Cities, 1500–1800** (000)

	1500	1600	1700	1800
		I	taly	
Naples	150	281	216	427
Venice	100	139	138	138
Milan	100	120	124	135
Florence	70	70	72	81
Genoa	60	71	80	91
Rome	55	105	138	163
Bologna	55	63	63	
Palermo	55	105	100	71
	33	103	100	139
Paris			ance	
Lyon	100	220	510	581
	50	40	97	100
Rouen	40	60	64	81
Bordeaux	20	40	50	88
		Low Co	ountries	
Antwerp	40	47	70	60
Ghent	40	31	51	51
Brussels	35	50	80	74
Bruges	30	27	38	32
Amsterdam	14	65	200	217
		Cormany	and Austria	
Nuremburg	36	40		2.7
Cologne	30	40	40	27
Lubeck	24	23	42	42
Danzig	20	50	n.a.	23
Augsburg	20		50	40
Vienna	20	48 50	21	28
	20	30	114	231
Granada		Ibe	eria	
Valencia	70	69	n.a.	55
isbon	40	65	50	80
Barcelona	30	100	165	180
Cordoba	29	43	43	115
	27	45	28	40
Seville Madrid	25	90	96	96
viauriū	0	49	110	167
		Brit	ain	
ondon.	40	200	575	865

Source: de Vries (1984), pp. 270-77.

Medieval agricultural revolution

- Wheeled plow with deep cutting iron share (Germanic tribes);
 - heavy clayey soil resisted the Roman wooden scratch plow;
- NW Europe land-rich, labor scarce economy;
 - Intensive cultivations three-field system of crop rotations (winter grain, spring grain and fallow)
 - Ability to support **livestock** —> supply of fertilizer -> **ascending cycle**;
 - Windmill key to successful pumping of fens and polders (made Holland);
- Market vs. Subsistence: why did peasants in West begin to work for the market while rest of Europe no sooner than in 18th and 19th? (vs. DCs 20th, 21th) (Aldcroft)
- Local market sharply declining demand curve; lower price can be compensated by specialization and productivity:
 - **Subsistence** no scale, no learning, no technological change;
- West: cities and employment outside agriculture bigger markets and technological change (ToT in favor of agriculture);
 - New forms of dependence middlemen (supply capital in return for buying crops in advance);




Table 1.2 Rough estimates of the number of mouths fed by 100 people working in agriculture 1500/20–1800

	1500/20	1600		1700	1800
England/Wales	132	143		182	248
Belgium	173	160		192	233
Netherlands	177		219a		277
France	138	145		158	170
Italy	133	143		122	129
Poland	100	101		101	105
Spain	114	130		122	129

Note: a1670.

Sources: England/Wales and France: Wrigley (1987,187); for other countries, see Van Zanden (1998a).

Table 1.1 Estimated crop yields and yield ratios for 12 countries in about 1800 (crop yields in hl per ha)

	Crop yields				Yield ratios			
	Wheat	Rye	Barley	Oats	Wheat	Rye	Barley	Oats
England	20.3		29.3	32.5	11.3		12.7	9.0
Ireland	19.9		31.2	32.9				
Netherlands	18.9	15.4	27.7	28.8	11.2	7.5	14.2	11.1
Belgium	19.6	20.8	25.3	25.1	11.5	12.2	14.1	13.2
France								
north	14.4	12.1	14.0	15.4	6.6	5.5	6.9	8.5
south	10.1	9.7	12.3	14.5	6.2	5.5	6.6	7.1
total	12.2	10.8	13.5	15.2	6.4	5.5	6.8	8.2
Italy	6.9	7.6	10.1	9.9	4.1	4.4	5.0	5.2
Spain	7.0	4.0	9.0	9.5	4.5	2.5	7.0	
Germany								
4 dept.	13.7	13.2	20.4	25.8	7.1	7.1	6.7	8.0
total	13.7	12.5	13.5	17.0				
Austria	12.8	12.9	19.2	19.3	4.0	4.0	4.6	5.2
Sweden					6.0	5.9	5.9	5.0
Russia					3.0	3.1	3.1	3.6

Source: Van Zanden (1998a).