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## Outline of the course

## Overall objective - understand how senior management use economic models to make investment decisions

1. Introduction to key themes in the global energy market
2. Introduction to financial modelling as a management tool
3. Understanding some key concepts
4. Starting two models for an oil and a gas field - revenues and prices
5. Inputting the costs - capital expenditure
6. Operating costs and paying the government
7. A power plant - a buyer and seller of energy
8. Calculating a discounted cashflow
9. Why is it important
10. How is it used to make decisions
11. Testing the investment decisions: running some numbers under different assumptions
12. Answering your questions

## The Question

- Value an energy asset given specific assumptions
- Examples of a shale gas field and a power station
- Test the sensitivity of the model
- Provide an investment conclusion for senior management
- Detailed

> breakdown of company operating and financial performance

- Investment analysts are responsible for asking fundamental questions of senior management
- There is pressure to perform across a broad range of metrics
- A "Sell"
recommendation can have big implications

Petroleo Brasileiro S.A. (PBR)


Selected operating metrics
Upstream

| Oil production $(000 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{d})$ | $2,224.3$ | $2,185.0$ | $2,362.2$ | $2,531.8$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cas production $(000 \mathrm{cf} / \mathrm{d})$ | $3,396.0$ | $3,025.1$ | $3,015.4$ | $3,026.4$ |
| Total production $(000 \mathrm{boe} / \mathrm{d})$ | $2,790.3$ | $2,689.2$ | $2,864.7$ | $3,036.2$ |
| Realisations $(\$ / \mathrm{boe})$ | 37.5 | 61.3 | 74.9 | 71.4 |
| Downstream |  |  |  |  |
| Refining capacity $(000 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{d})$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Refining throughput $(000 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{d})$ | $1,945.0$ | $1,977.0$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Share price determines market valuation

Share Price and Volume Graph for BP P.L.C. (BP Ordinary London) from 4 Apr 2015 to 3 Apr 2018


- Share price multiplied by number of shares in issue = market value
- Market value divided by profits gives "price to earnings ratio"
- Potential value can be derived by using multiples and future profit forecasts


## Comparison with Peer Groups

Global peer valuation multiples

|  | MCap \$ bln | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{EV} \\ \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{bln} \end{array}$ | EV/EbITDA |  |  | P/E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | '20E | '21E | '22E | '20E | '21E | '22E |
| Global majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ExxonMobil | 239 | 315 | 15.9 | 7.9 | 6.9 | neg | 19.5 | 14.7 |
| Chevron | 199 | 243 | 14.0 | 7.2 | 6.6 | neg | 20.6 | 17.2 |
| Royal Dutch Shell | 158 | 238 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 32.7 | 11.9 | 9.5 |
| BP | 87 | 151 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 4.8 | neg | 13.6 | 9.7 |
| Average |  |  | 12.6 | 6.4 | 5.7 | neg | 16.4 | 12.8 |
| EU majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 126 | 170 | 10.2 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 31.0 | 13.1 | 10.6 |
| ENI | 44 | 64 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 3.4 | neg | 17.8 | 12.0 |
| Equinor | 64 | 80 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 3.2 | >50 | 12.9 | 12.4 |
| Average |  |  | 7.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 31.0 | 14.6 | 11.7 |
| EM majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petrochina | 115 | 209 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 42.9 | 29.0 | 17.4 |
| Petrobras | 57 | 120 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 7.3 |
| Sinopec | 76 | 124 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 16.1 | 9.5 | 9.2 |
| Ecopetrol | 27 | 41 | 8.9 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 45.5 | 11.5 | 9.6 |
| ONGC | 19 | 35 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 17.6 | 7.1 | 5.9 |
| PTT E\&P | 15 | 15 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 20.4 | 14.9 | 12.3 |
| CNOOC | 3 | 3 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 35.0 | 22.2 | 15.0 |
| Saudi Aramco | 1,885 | 1,990 | 16.6 | 10.5 | 9.4 | 40.3 | 22.7 | 19.5 |
| Average |  |  | 7.6 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 28.3 | 15.6 | 12.0 |
| US majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pioneer NR | 35 | 38 | 16.3 | 7.6 | 6.2 | >50 | 18.8 | 13.4 |
| ConocoPhillips | 71 | 81 | 14.7 | 6.1 | 5.6 | neg | 23.6 | 18.7 |
| Apache | 7 | 18 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 18.1 | 14.4 | 14.1 |
| EOG | 41 | 44 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 48.1 | 14.9 | 13.6 |
| Average |  |  | 16.2 | 6.5 | 6.1 | neg | neg | 14.9 |
| Russia majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lukoil | 56 | 61 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 23.1 | 8.0 | 6.2 |
| Rosneft | 71 | 143 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 9.3 | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| Gazprom Neft | 24 | 32 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 12.1 | 4.4 | 3.7 |
| Tatneft | 18 | 18 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 11.5 | 6.7 | 6.1 |
| Gazprom | 72 | 113 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 4.1 | 3.6 |
| Novatek | 58 | 49 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 27.0 | 12.6 | 13.0 |
| Average |  |  | 7.2 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 15.4 | 6.7 | 6.1 |
| Peer average |  |  | 10.4 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 28.7 | 15.7 | 12.4 |
| Peer median |  |  | 8.9 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 31.0 | 14.6 | 12.4 |

## A typical spreadsheet summary of a cashflow model

| DCF Valuation <br> Calendar Years ending December 31 | Projected Free Cash Flow |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 |
| (S in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | \$8,954 | \$9,898 | \$10,941 | \$12,093 | \$13,367 | \$13,367 |
| Less D\&A | 1,112 | 1,222 | 1,343 | 1,476 | 1,623 | 1,623 |
| EBIT | 7.842 | 8,676 | 9,598 | 10,617 | 11,745 | 11,745 |
| Less: Cash Taxes (35\%) | $(2,745)$ | $(3,037)$ | $(3,359)$ | $(3,716)$ | $(4,111)$ | $(4,111)$ |
| Tax-adjusted EBIT | 5,097 | 5,639 | 6,239 | 6,901 | 7,634 | 7,634 |
| Pluss: D\&A | 1,112 | 1,222 | 1,343 | 1,476 | 1,623 | 1,623 |
| Less: Capital Expenditures | (1,750) | (1,750) | (1,750) | (1,750) | (1,750) | (1,750) |
| Less: Change in Net Working Investment | (318) | (350) | (384) | (423) | (465) | (465) |
| Unlevered Free Cash Flow | \$4,141 | \$4,762 | \$5,447 | \$6,205 | \$7,042 | \$7,042 |
| 9,845 = \$4,141 | \$4,762 |  |  | 56,205 | \$7,04 |  |
| , | $(1+.11)$ |  | 11) ${ }^{3}$ | $+.11)^{4}$ | (1+. |  |

## Time Value of Money

- Money available at the present time is worth more than the same amount in the future due to its potential earning capacity.
- This core principle of finance holds that, provided money can earn interest, any amount of money is worth more the sooner it is received
- Equally, money available now can buy more than a similar amount of money available in the future because inflation erodes the value of money over time


## Time Value of Money Example



- If you had \$10,000 today, you could earn interest on it
- Its future value is $\$ 10,000 \times(1+\text { interest rate })^{\text {No. of years }}$
- If interest rate is $5 \%$, then $\$ 10,000$ in 3 years is worth
$-\$ 10,000 \times(1+.05)^{3}=\$ 11,576$
- As a result, $\$ 10,000$ in 3 years is not worth $\$ 10,000$ now
- \$10,000 / ( $1+.05)^{3}=\$ 8,638$
- Let's look at an example


## Impact of inflation

- I have \$100
- A bar of chocolate costs \$1
- Inflation is 5\%
- In Year 1 I can buy 100 bars of chocolate

|  | Money in <br> wallet | Cost of <br> chocolate | Chocolate <br> bars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 1 | 100 | 1.05 | 95 |
| Year 2 | 100 | 1.10 | 91 |
| Year3 | 100 | 1.16 | 86 |
| Year 4 | 100 | 1.22 | 82 |
| Year 5 | 100 | 1.28 | 78 |
| Year 6 | 100 | 1.34 | 75 |

- In Year 2 the cost of a bar of chocolate has risen to $\$ 1.05$


## Inflation and interest rates

- I have \$500
- Inflation is running at $4 \%$ per annum, and the interest rate is $5 \%$
- I want to purchase printer ink, which costs \$5 per cartridge
- How many fewer cartridges can I buy in 7 years time than now if I just keep my \$500 in my wallet?
- If I put my $\$ 500$ in an interest bearing account, how many cartridges could I buy in 4 years time?


## Real and Nominal Figures

- Nominal cashflows include the impact of inflation
- They are called Money of the Day (MoD) because they reflect the actual worth in a certain year
- If we were forecasting the cost of a project, for example, we would need to add inflation to each year as we moved across the time horizon
- This is relevant for multi-year developments when parts are being purchased over time


## Nominal Costs Example



|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of plant (today) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 300 |
| Cost of plant (MoD) | 100 | 105 | 110 | 315 |

- Costs will rise over time because of inflation (in this example 5\% per annum)



## Using "Real" figures makes life easier

- When making assumptions in nominal, every figure needs to take an inflation assumption into account
- This can make things very complex
- To make life easier, we can just assume that our model is in "today's money" - otherwise known as "in real terms"
- Generally, we would define all the figures as being in (e.g.) US\$2020
- All figures in the cashflow will be lower as a result, and so it is important to define how the model is considering inflation


## Real and Nominal Figures

- Question 1
- The cost of a plant is $\$ 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ spent equally over 5 years in real (2020) terms
- Inflation throughout the period is forecast to be 2.5\% per annum
- What is the expenditure on the plant in nominal terms in Year 5 and what is the total nominal cost?
- Question 2
- We are assuming that the oil price is $\$ 30$ in real (2020) terms
- Inflation is assumed to be $2 \%$ per annum
- What is the real oil price in Year 5?
- What is the nominal price in Year 5?
- What is the real price in Year 5 if we assume that the oil price will rise at $1 \%$ above inflation?


## Discounted Cashflow

## A Simple Cashflow



- In Year 0 (today), I decide to invest $\$ 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ over 3 years in a plant that will run for 7 years, generating $\$ 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ per year
- The plant will then be dumped
- What is the value (worth) of this investment in today's terms?


## The DCF Calculation as a foundation



- Management thought process is encapsulated in the DCF model
- Key assumptions include price, cost, tax, long-term outlook, short-term cashflow and the value of money
- Management must ensure at all times that the combined value of their assets remains NPV positive, and should aim to maximise the return on their assets


## Discounted Cashflow Example



|  | Today | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cashflow | 0 | -10 | -10 | -10 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Discount factor | 1 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 1.16 | 1.22 | 1.28 | 1.34 | 1.41 | 1.48 | 1.55 |
| Discounted Cashflow | 0 | -9.52 | -9.07 | -8.64 | 16.45 | 15.67 | 14.92 | 14.21 | 13.54 | 12.89 |
| Total Value | 72.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.28 |  |

- The further away that money is earned (or spent) the less worth (value) it has today
- We discount future cashflow by a factor reflecting the other options we had for using the initial funds
- If the total sum of negative and positive cashflow is positive then the investment is worth making



## A Good Explanation from Harvard

- https://hbr.org/2014/11/a-refresher-on-net-present-value


## Functionality in Excel



## Real vs Nominal Cashflow and NPV



|  | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of Plant (US\$2018) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cost of Plant (MoD) | 100 | 105 | 110 | 116 | 122 |
| NPV (Real) | 433 |  |  |  |  |
| NPV (MoD) | 476 |  |  |  |  |

- To make our lives easier, all our modelling will be carried out in real terms
- Our expectations of return should therefore be lower



## Construct a simple cashflow model

- All figures in US\$2019 (Real)
- Capital costs - $\$ 600$ over 3 years
- Revenues - start in year $4, \$ 100$ per year from year 4 to year 20
- Operating costs - \$20 per year starting in year 4 until end of operations
- Discount rate $10 \%$

