Theory Redux

Series editor: Laurent de Sutter

Dominic Pettman, Infinite Distraction:
Paying Attention to Social Media Roberto Esposito, Persons and Things Srećko Horvat, The Radicality of Love

Nick Srnicek, Platform Capitalism

Graham Harman, Immaterialism: Objects and Social Theory

Platform Capitalism

Nick Strnicek

polity

Theory Redux

Series editor: Laurent de Sutter

Dominic Pettman, Infinite Distraction: Paying Attention to Social Media Roberto Esposito, Persons and Things Srećko Horvat, The Radicality of Love

Nick Srnicek, Platform Capitalism

Graham Harman, Immaterialism: Objects and Social Theory

Platform Capitalism

Nick Strnicek

polity

Acknowledgements

A number of people have helped to bring this book to fruition. Thanks to Laurent de Sutter for initiating the project and to the team at Polity for bringing it all together – George Owers, Neil de Cort, and Manuela Tecusan. Alex Andrews was an immensely helpful technical advisor, and thanks to everyone else who read earlier drafts – Diann Bauer, Suhail Malik, Benedict Singleton, Keith Tilford, Alex Williams, and two anonymous reviewers. Last but not least, thanks to Helen Hester for supporting me and for always being my most intellectually challenging and insightful critic.

Introduction

We are told today that we are living in an age of massive transformation. Terms like the sharing economy, the gig economy, and the fourth industrial revolution are tossed around, with enticing images of entrepreneurial spirit and flexibility bandied about. As workers, we are to be liberated from the constraints of a permanent career and given the opportunity to make our own way by selling whatever goods and services we might like to offer. As consumers, we are presented with a cornucopia of on-demand services and with the promise of a network of connected devices that cater to our every whim. This is a book on this contemporary moment and its avatars in emerging technologies: platforms, big data, additive

INTRODUCTION

nomic trends and intercapitalist competition.² in the relationship between workers and capital, workers and how digital labour represents a shift but they leave aside any analysis of broader ecoreport on how poor the smart economy is for terday's problems. Finally, a number of analyses recognise how today's economy responds to yesask why we have this economy today, nor do they ena, disconnected from their history. They never trends but present them as sui generis phenomscholars do examine these emerging economic the imperatives of a capitalist system.1 but, again, it neglects the economic context and and criticises them for not acting humanely ability. Another group looks at how corporations are embodiments of particular ideas and values economic issues around ownership and profitprivacy and state surveillance but leaving aside the politics of emerging technology, emphasising literature, one group of commentaries focuses on different approach from others. In the existing first book to look at these topics, but it takes a manufacturing, advanced robotics, machine learning, and the internet of things. It is not the Other

The present book aims to supplement these other perspectives by giving an economic history

priority of agency seems only to reflect reality. rather than labour may suggest a vulgar econoof exploitation. For some, this focus on capital has been significantly weakened, giving capital a mism; but, in a world where the labour movement new markets, new commodities, and new means firms constantly seek out new avenues for profit, occur. Most notably, capitalism demands that, and predictable expectations of what is likely to places strict limits on what constitutes possible out profits in order to fend off competition. This ogy, or as political actors seeking to wield power. defined by the values of the Californian ideol-By contrast, these actors are compelled to seek within a capitalist mode of production. This means abstracting from them as cultural actors panies by taking them to be economic actors that we can learn a lot about major tech comary economy. The simple wager of the book is ognising the diversity of economic forms and the competitive tensions inherent in the contemporof capitalism and digital technology, while rec-

Where, then, do we focus our attention if we wish to see the effects of digital technology on capitalism? We might turn to the technology sector,³ but, strictly speaking, this sector remains

defined according to standard classifications. it is something broader than just the tech sector the digital economy, we should bear in mind that had 605,000 employees.6 Thus, when we discuss employees, Exxon had 150,000 workers, and GM the most significant companies employed far larger numbers of workers: AT&T had 564,000 chased for \$1 billion. By comparison, in 1962 billion and Instagram had 13 when it was puremployees when it was sold to Facebook for \$19 Facebook has 12,000, while WhatsApp had 55 Google has around 60,000 direct employees, because tech companies are notoriously small. many people as the tech sector.⁵ This is in part manufacturing employs nearly three times as times as many people. In the United Kingdom the deindustrialised United States employs four a relatively small part of the economy. In the labour force.4 By comparison, manufacturing in panies and employs about 2.5 per cent of the per cent of the value added from private com-United States it currently contributes around 6.8

As a preliminary definition, we can say that the digital economy refers to those businesses that increasingly rely upon information technology, data, and the internet for their business models.

INTRODUCTION

and intelligent. In this environment those who become flexible, and governments must be lean a hegemonic model: cities are to become smart, more broadly. The digital economy is becoming businesses must be disruptive, workers are to ideal that can legitimate contemporary capitalism dynamism, the digital economy is presented as an economically devastating. Lastly, because of its contemporary economy, its collapse would be an increasingly pervasive infrastructure for the same way as finance. As the digital economy is becoming systematically important, much in the nomic context. Secondly, digital technology is leading light in an otherwise rather stagnant ecoforward. The digital economy appears to be a and that seems to be guiding economic growth constant innovation is purportedly emerging it appears to be the most dynamic sector of the contemporary economy – an area from which sectoral analysis might suggest. In the first place, economy is far more important than a simple omy today. Understood in this way, the digital in fact becoming essential to much of the econtion, mining, and telecommunications - and is This is an area that cuts across traditional sectors including manufacturing, services, transporta-

work hard can take advantage of the changes and win out. Or so we are told.

some of the tendencies they produce as a result. them as means to generate profit, and outline context of a larger economic history, understand tinue. The aim here is to set these platforms in the shift we have seen the rise of large monopolistic book suggest that the trend is only going to condle-income economies is increasingly dominated firms. Today the capitalism of the high- and midby these firms, and the dynamics outlined in this trolling immense amounts of data, and with this business model, capable of extracting and concapitalists. The platform has emerged as a new their relations with workers, customers, and other the basis of changes in digital technologies, data nomic growth and vitality in the face of a sluggish have become increasingly central to firms and production sector. In the twenty-first century, on decline in manufacturing profitability, capitalism has turned to data as one way to maintain eco-The argument of this book is that, with a long

In part, this book is a synthesis of existing work. The discussion in Chapter I should be familiar to economic historians, as it outlines the various crises that have laid the groundwork for

and it has consequences on our envisaging the deciding where that crisis might emerge from; sequences on the possibility of a crisis and on or as continuing earlier regimes. This has conas inaugurating a new regime of accumulation difference whether we see emerging technologies to transform society today. In short, it makes a think strategically and develop political tactics the past and the future is important for how we to any political project. How we conceptualise These forward-looking prognoses are essential dictions about the future of platform capitalism. tendencies and to make some broad-brush preing chapters, it attempts to draw out some likely new to everyone. On the basis of the precedcontrast, Chapter 3 hopefully offers something lays out a typology and genesis of platforms. By various ongoing discussions in that world, as it ways, the chapter is an attempt to give clarity to who follow the business of technology. In many Chapter 2 should be fairly well known to those exclusion, and competition. The material in are implicated within a system of exploitation, deeper capitalist tendencies, showing how they toricise emerging technologies as an outcome of today's post-2008 economy. It attempts to his-

INTRODUCTION

likely future of labour under capitalism. Part of the argument of this book is that the apparent novelties of the situation obscure the persistence of longer term trends, but also that today presents important changes that must be grasped by a twenty-first-century left. Understanding our position in a broader context is the first step to creating strategies for transforming it.

The Long Downturn

To understand our contemporary situation, it is necessary to see how it links in with what preceded it. Phenomena that appear to be radical novelties may, in historical light, reveal themselves to be simple continuities. In this chapter I will argue that there are three moments in the relatively recent history of capitalism that are particularly relevant to the current conjuncture: the response to the 1970s downturn; the boom and bust of the 1990s; and the response to the 2008 crisis. Each of these moments has set the stage for the new digital economy and has determined the ways in which it has developed. All of this must first be set in the context of our broad economic system of capitalism and of the imperatives and constraints

understand how capitalism operates. consequences of today's situation, we must first capitalism is an incredibly flexible system, it also it imposes upon enterprises and workers. While If we are to understand the causes, dynamics, and broad parameters for any given historical period has certain invariant features, which function as

ral cycles may mean that a crop did not grow production process was. The vagaries of natusystematically depend on how efficiently one's means of subsistence: land for farming and housist societies, producers had direct access to their particularly property relationships. In precapitaltivity growth is a change in social relationships, did. Instead, what explains capitalism's producwe collectively decided to become greedier or more efficient at producing than our ancestors chological mechanisms, as though at some time different?2 This cannot be explained through psyto raise living standards. What makes capitalism edented capacity to grow at a rapid pace and that expresses capitalist economies? productivity levels.1 This is the key dynamic duction to date, is immensely successful at raising capitalism, uniquely among all modes of pro-Under those conditions, survival did not unprec-

THE LONG DOWNTURN

competitors. The outcome of these competitive of efficient technologies and techniques in the most significant methods were the adoption to reduce production costs in relation to prices market dependency led to a systemic imperative selling on the market, producers faced competilabour process, specialisation, and the sabotage of lapse of their business. As a result, generalised not sell, and they would quickly face the colone had to sell goods in order to make the money This can be done in a variety of ways; but the tive pressures. If too costly, their goods would vast numbers of people were now relying upon needed for purchasing subsistence goods. But, as with generalised market dependence. Production capitalism economic agents were uniquely facec therefore became oriented towards the market markets had existed for thousands of years, under survival, they must now turn to the market. While and, in order to secure the goods they need for are now separated from the means of subsistence contingent constraints rather than systemic ones at adequate levels for one year, but these were necessary for survival was all that was needed Under capitalism, this changes. Economic agents Working sufficiently hard to gain the resources

what to produce, who to sell to, and so on. whom to hire, where to invest, what to build, ment embedded within every business decision: became an implicit and taken-for-granted elelogic of capitalism. This logic of accumulation relentless growth imposed itself as the ultimate declined to the level of costs, profits across difterm tendencies of capitalism: prices tangentially ferent industries tended to become equal, and actions was eventually expressed in the medium.

encies towards reskilling as well).3 These deskilling skilled labourers (though there are countertendto deskill workers and undermine the power of in more detail later on. It has often been used efficiently. But technology is also central to capitalism for other reasons, which we will examine and to outdo one another in generating profits tend to increase labour productivity constantly of capitalism's immense dynamism, as capitalists transform the labour process. This was the source share, capitalists are incentivised to continually workers, reduce turnover time, and gain market effort to cut costs, beat out competitors, control demands constant technological change. In the of this schematic model of capitalism is that it One of the most important consequences

THE LONG DOWNTURN

a key role in the changes that lie at heart of this economy we must look back to an earlier period. is the latter dynamic, in particular, that will play costs of production below the social average. It book. But before we can understand the digital between capitalists, in their efforts to lower the to gain strength at one another's expense, and struggle - both between classes, in their struggle technical changes, however, lies competition and hands of workers on the shop floor. Behind these work to management rather than leaving it in the workers to come in and replace the skilled ones, as well as transferring the mental processes of technologies enable cheaper and more pliable

The End of the Postwar Exception

ous thinkers on the left have long argued that an exception to the historical norm; and numergrowth in the middle of the twentieth century as capitalism; Robert Gordon sees high productivity a time still coming to terms with the breakdown of the postwar settlement. Thomas Piketty argues World War was an exception to the general rule of that the reduction in inequality after the Second It is increasingly obvious to many that we live in

embedded liberalism, at the national level by period for capitalism.4 That exceptional moment the postwar period was an unsustainably good social democratic consensus, and at the economic evel by Fordism – has been falling apart since the - broadly defined at the international level by

tasks down into smaller deskilled pieces and to workers were gathered together in large numalong Taylorist principles, which sought to break workers and extra inventories in case of surges and a 'just in case' approach that demanded extra mass production, top-down managerial control paradigm. These factories were oriented toward ally dominant position. It was marked by large two elements are crucial (though not exhaustive) bers in single factories. This gave rise to the reorganise them in the most efficient way; and in demand. The labour process was organised with the automobile industry functioning as the manufacturing plants built along Fordist lines ment. After the devastation of the Second World the business model and the nature of employthe high-income economies? For our purposes War, American manufacturing was in a glob-What characterised the postwar situation of

THE LONG DOWNTURN

state redistributed money to those left outside the and guaranteed pensions. Meanwhile the welfare tries with relatively permanent jobs, high wages were represented by trade unions that reached a in the same conditions. Workers in this period mass worker, capable of developing a collective increasingly bundled into manufacturing indus wages grew at a healthy pace, and workers were initiatives.⁵ Collective bargaining ensured that balance with capital and repressed more radical identity on the basis of fellow workers' sharing labour market.

structure between government, banks, and key and Germany had their own comparative advanfirms. Furthermore, the American Marshal in Japan's case, a highly supportive institutiona tages – notably relatively low labour costs, skilled was the powerhouse of the postwar era. 6 Yet Japan these countries. Between the 1950s and the 1960s markets and for rising investment levels across Plan laid the groundwork for expanding export labour forces, advantageous exchange rates, and the war, American manufacturing profited and idly in terms of output and productivity. Most Japanese and German manufacturing grew rap-As its nearest competitors were devastated by Germany, and the global crisis of the 1970s was ability was eventually transmitted to Japan and exchange rate adaptations, this crisis of profitthe prices of its competitors. Through a series of for domestic firms. The high, fixed costs of the competitors, which led to a crisis of profitability of manufactured goods. By the mid-1960s, tion that put downward pressure on the prices United States were simply no longer able to beat in terms of prices by its Japanese and German American manufacturing was being undercut reached a point of overcapacity and overproducconsequence was that global manufacturing turers that produced for the world market. The Suddenly there were multiple major manufacglobal demand grew, Japanese and German firms importantly, as the world market developed and began to cut into the share of American firms

terms of the labour process, production was to after them. The American Fordist model was to ful competitors and began to model themselves be replaced by the Japanese Toyotist model.⁷ In In the first place, firms turned to their successfacturers made efforts to revive their businesses In the face of declining profitability, manu-

THE LONG DOWNTURN

ity, and downward pressures on prices. continued international competition, overcapac-Singapore, and eventually China). The result was to increase their own profitability, along with the introduction of new competitors (Korea, Taiwan attempts by Japanese and German competitors demand. Yet these efforts met with counterhomogeneous goods and towards increasingly software, as manufacturers would demand and the rise of increasingly sophisticated supply chain customised goods that responded to consumer was a move away from the mass production of expect supplies to arrive as needed. And there minimum. This was mandated and enabled by workers being laid off and inventories kept to a cut back to their core competencies, any excess shareholders and management consultants to possible. Companies were increasingly told by entire process was reorganised to be as lean as and downtime entered into the sequence. The ponents and to ensure that as few impediments to break the process down into its smallest combe streamlined. A sort of hyper-Taylorism aimed

labour. Unions across the western world faced an ability was through an attack on the power of The second major attempt to revive profit-

work associated with hospitality: cleaning, management, maintenance, and janitorial services.5 there was a move towards contracting all other 1960s to over 76 per cent by 2006. Alongside this, possible, while the latter were under increasing and impersonal services that did not (e.g. data United States raised from a marginal figure in the trend: the percentage of franchised hotels in the provides one illuminating example of this general pressure from global labour markets. Hospitality former were contracted out domestically where entry, customer service, radiologists, etc.).8 The and non-tradable goods (e.g. houses) remained while non-tradable services (e.g. administration) face-to-face encounters (e.g. haircuts, care work) came to be the one between services that requirec technologies enabled a number of those services Yet in the 1990s information and communications could be shipped (e.g. small consumer goods). reduce wages and increasingly to outsource jobs membership. Businesses took advantage of this to of various industries, and a subsequent decline in Early outsourcing involved jobs with goods that unions faced new legal hurdles, the deregulation all-out assault and were eventually broken. Trade offshored, and the relevant distinction

THE LONG DOWNTURN

subject to pressures from management. secular trends we have seen since, with employefits and liability costs, in an effort to maintain ment being increasingly flexible, low wage, and profitability levels. These changes inaugurated the The drivers behind this shift were to reduce ben-

The Dot-com Boom and Bust

while economic growth recovered from its 1970: of today's fascination with the sharing economy net. In fact the 1990s' boom is redolent of much dot-com boom in the 1990s and its associated downwards. 11 The one notable exception was the devalued over fears of Japanese collapse. 10 And the internet of things, and other tech-enablec frenzy of interest in the possibilities of the interboth economic and productivity growth trend lows, nevertheless the G7 countries have all seer slumped again when the yen and the mark were in the Plaza Accord (1985); but manufacturing A period of healthy manufacturing growth in the since been the baseline of advanced economies slump in manufacturing profitability that has United States began when the dollar was devalued The 1970s therefore set the stage for the lengthy

accommodative monetary policy in response to economic problems. the digital economy and the turn to an ultrathe installation of an infrastructural basis for nificant aspects of the 1990s' boom and bust are as well. For our present purposes, the most sigopments will follow the same downward path show us whether the fate of these recent develbusinesses. It will remain to the next chapter to

size of VC deals quadrupled between 1996 and invested in tech companies; and the average gross domestic product (GDP) consisted of VC sector was at its height, nearly I per cent of US getting people and businesses online. When this profit latched onto the possibilities afforded by was a vast new sector, and the imperative for outlet of financial capital in the late 1990s. It telecommunications sector became the favoured to stall after the reversal of the Plaza Accord, the of stock valuation. As US manufacturing began venture capital (VC) and expressed in high levels which was in turn fostered by large amounts of net. It was an era driven by financial speculation, until that point, a largely non-commercial interthe fateful commercialisation of what had been, The boom in the 1990s amounted effectively to

THE LONG DOWNTURN

took on a market capitalisation of \$5 trillion. 15 panies. During its peak period between 1997 and new economy' promised by internet-based comfrom the real economy when it latched onto the profits, 14 the stock market boom came unmoored by declining borrowing costs and rising corporate markets swooned over tech stocks. Initially driven did not have to rely on VC either, as the equity of picking the eventual winner. Many companies stages investors enthusiastically joined, in hopes monopolistic dominance. In the cut-throat early appeared a requirement that companies aim for terise the internet-based sector to this day, it ally dominate what was assumed to be a major new industry. In what would come to characwould be able to grab market share and eventuits, the hope was that through rapid growth they revenue source and, even more, lacked any profmodel. While many of these businesses lacked a and companies adopted a 'growth before profits more than \$256 billion was provided to them. 13 were formed to commercialise the internet and Investors chased hopes for future profitability 2000.12 All told, more than 50,000 companies technology stocks rose 300 per cent and

This excitement about the new industry

THE LONG DOWNTURN

servers were made. This process also accelerated submarine cables were laid out, major advances in software and network design were estabmeant that millions of miles of fibre-optic and the new millennium. Concretely, this investment streaming of the internet in the early years of government, 18 this laid the basis for the mainseries of regulatory changes introduced by the US puting infrastructure and, in conjunction with a extraordinary amounts to modernise their comlion invested in it. 17 Companies began spending investment in the 1990s - with over \$331 bilnications was the largest sector for foreign direct well: in the low-income economies, telecommupeak of \$412.8 billion. 16 This was a global shift as the bubble, in 2000, it reached an unsurpassed peripheral equipment was \$50.1 billion; by 1990 remains unprecedented to this day. In 1980 the ment in the period between 1995 and 2000 it had reached \$154.6 billion; and at the height of level of annual investment in computers and been going on for decades, the level of investin computers and information technology had the fixed assets of the internet. While investment translated into a massive injection of capital into and large investments in databases and

> laid the groundwork for the digital economy to ways, the 1990s tech boom was a bubble that in the low-income economies. In all of these and assembly were outsourced to sweatshops high-income economies, while manufacturing and Nike became an emblem of the lean firm: branding and design were managed in the more and more of their components outwards easier to build and manage. 19 Companies pushed global communication and supply chains became when coordination costs were drastically cut as the outsourcing tendency initiated in the 1970s.

world where the US government was trying to reduce its deficits, fiscal stimulus was out of the their propensity to invest and consume. In a wealth of companies and households and hence berance',20 in an effort to increase the nominal continue to rise despite their 'irrational exua lengthy period of ultra-easy monetary policy. and these reductions marked the beginning of was staved off through a series of rapid interest rate reductions made by the US Federal Reserve; Implicitly the goal was to let equity markets the US boom began to stumble as well. The bust In 1998, as the East Asian crisis gathered pace, and one that continues on to this day. is one of the key consequences of the 1990s bust, stage for the next crisis. Loose monetary policy available from subprime mortgages and set the search that eventually landed on the high returns and compelled a search for new investments - a also lowered the return on financial investments tions for a housing bubble. Lowered interest rates to lower mortgage rates, thereby fostering condision in the wake of the 9/11 attacks. One of the effects of these central bank interventions was interest rates and through a new liquidity provi-2001 crash as well,²² including through lowered modative monetary policy continued after the stock market peaked. Reliance on an accomand kept the asset bubble running until 2000, when the National Association of Securities sectors. And it worked for a time, as it facilitated Dealers Automated further investment in new dot-com companies ing, profitability was necessarily sought in other economy: without a revival of US manufacturmanufacturing. 21 It was a signal shift in the US the absence of deficit spending and competitive an alternative way to get the economy growing in question. This 'asset-price Keynesianism' offered Quotations (NASDAQ)

The Crisis of 2008

In 2006 US housing prices reached a turning point, and their decline began to weigh on the rest of the economy. Household wealth decreased in tandem, leading to lowered consumption and eventually to a series of mortgage non-payments. As the financial system had become increasingly tied to the mortgage market, it was inevitable that the decline in housing prices would wreak havoc on the financial sector. Strains began to emerge in 2007, when two hedge funds collapsed after being heavily involved in mortgage-backed securities. The entire structure buckled in September 2008, when Lehman Brothers collapsed and a full-blown crisis burst asunder.

The immediate response was quick and massive. The US Federal Reserve moved to bail out banks to the tune of \$700 billion, provided liquidity assistance, extended the scope of deposit insurance, and even took partial ownership of key banks. Through massive bailouts, support for faltering companies, emergency tax cuts, and a series of automatic stabilisers, governments undertook the burden of increasing their deficits in order to ward off the worst of

a low interest rate environment for the global through the postcrisis period and has established interest rates 637 times.23 This has continued By 2016 monetary policymakers had dropped sify, which led to an internationally coordinated interest rate cut by six major central banks March 2009. October 2008 saw the crisis inten-5.0 per cent in October 2008 to 0.5 per cent by England dropped its primary interest rate from target by December 2008. Likewise, the Bank of per cent in August 2007 to a 0-0.25 per cent the US federal funds target rate went from 5.25 est rates across the world dropped precipitously: important action, however, was that key interhad access to the dollars they needed. The most different countries in order to ensure that they rency swap agreements were drawn up with 14 sure that the pipelines of credit kept running. Emergency lending was made to banks, and curnumber of liquidity actions designed to make financial order. The United States initiated a Simultaneously, central banks stepped in to into high levels of public debt after the crisis vate debt before the crisis were transformed the crisis. As a result, the high levels of priprevent a breakdown of the global

THE LONG DOWNTURN

today's digital economy to arise. economy – a key enabling condition for parts of

of austerity ideas on America, however, was the reduced every year. Perhaps the biggest influence allowed to expire. Since 2011 the deficit has been while at the same time tax cuts that had been of tax raises and spending cuts were brought in, implemented in response to the crisis were austerity ideology. At the end of 2012 a series reduce their debts. While other countries have United States has not escaped the dominance of Governments were to eliminate their deficits and a class project intended to continue the privatisafaced deeper cuts to government spending, the the watchword in advanced capitalist nations. tion and reduction of the state, austerity became fiscal resources for any future crisis, and in part as ment debt, in part as a means to build up the In part from fears of the effects of high governfrom \$160 million to \$1,412 million over 2007–9. position. The United States saw its deficit rise governments into a seemingly more precarious ment deficits, the 2008 crisis pushed a number of massive bill. After decades of increasing governwas gone, governments were suddenly left with a But, when the immediate threat of collapse

spending is necessary. of contention between those who think that the ceiling sets a limit on how much debt the US turing that occurs increasingly frequently over the ears. This has reached its peak in the political posargument for government spending falls on deaf cantly decaying infrastructure, but even here the Treasury can issue and has become a major point US debt ceiling. This congressionally approved fiscal stimulus. The United States has a signifipolitical impossibility of getting any major new JS debt is too high and those who think that

bonds, corporate bonds, mortgages) from the to purchase various assets (e.g. government by the central bank, which then uses that money been 'quantitative easing': the creation of money instruments.²⁴ The most important of these has to turn toward more unconventional monetary zero lower bound, policymakers have been forced tion of low interest rate policies. But, stuck at the interventions. We have already noted a continuaextraordinary and unprecedented central bank monetary policy. The result has been a series of mechanism for reviving their sluggish economies: able, governments have been left with only one Since fiscal stimulus is politically unpalat-

THE LONG DOWNTURN

easing credit, and raise the asset prices of stocks the yield of bonds (e.g. corporate debt), thereby government bonds should increase the demand other assets. In particular, reducing the supply of for other financial assets. It should both lower one asset should have an effect on demand for returns), taking away or restricting supply of are not perfect substitutes for one another (they a 'portfolio balance channel'. Given that assets have different values, different risks, different term and alternative assets. The key idea here is easing seeks to affect the interest rates of longer altering the short-term interest rate, quantitative should lower the yields of other assets. If traditional monetary policy operates primarily by argument for using quantitative easing is that it than \$12.3 trillion worth of assets. 25 The primary tral banks across the world had purchased more tually began purchasing government bonds in January 2015. By the beginning of 2016, cencountries, was slower to act, although it evenunique situation as a central bank of numerous The European Central Bank (ECB), due to its banks. The United States led the way in using quantitative easing in November 2008, while the United Kingdom followed suit in March 2009.

companies, for instance. assets. The result is that investors seeking higher yields have had to turn to increasingly risky assets the rate of return on a wide range of financial environment built by central banks has reduced since 2007.²⁷ Most important for our purpose dependent on \$4.7 trillion of new corporate debt well, by making much of the economic recovery on the non-financial sectors of the economy as is the fact that the generalised low interest rate have surged upwards. 26 It may have had an effect corporate yields have declined and stock markets quantitative easing has had an effect in this way: a wealth effect to spur spending. While the by investing in unprofitable and unproven tech evidence is still preliminary, it does seem that (e.g. corporate equities) and subsequently create

but the rise in cash hoarding has accelerated with trend towards higher levels of corporate savings;29 securities. 28 This is part of a long-term and global like investments – that is, in low-interest, liquid is being held by companies in cash and cashhoarding and tax havens in recent years. In the has been a significant growth in corporate cash United States, as of January 2016, \$1.9 trillion In addition to a loose monetary policy, there

THE LONG DOWNTURN

Table 1. 1 Reserves, onshore and offshore

Microsoft 102.6 Google 73.1 Clisco 60.4 Oracle 50.8 Amazon 49.6 Facebook 15.8 FOTAL 568.0	Reserves (billions
200.I 96.3 42.9 56.5 46.8 18.3 1.8	of USD)
92.8 93.9 58.7 93.5 92.1 36.9 11.4	eld Amount held offshore (USD) (per cent)

filings from March 2016 Source: 10-Q or 10-K Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)

shore by foreign subsidiaries. tech companies, and also the amount held offamount of reserves³⁰ held by some of the major tories) to different tax jurisdictions, tax evasion is particularly easy for them. Table 1.1 outlines the move intellectual property (rather than entire faccompanies. Since these companies only need to Moreover, with a few exceptions such as General the surge in corporate profits after the crisis. Motors, it is a phenomenon dominated by tech

while Apple's reserves are enough to buy enough to purchase Uber or Goldman Sachs, These figures are enormous: Google's total is strengthen the pursuit of riskier investments for porate savings has - both directly and indirectly vast amount of money to invest. This glut of corcompanies – particularly tech companies – with a end, tax evasion and cash hoarding have left US havens.32 The point of all this is twofold. At one which resulted in an estimated \$7.6 trillion of grew by 25 per cent between 2008 and 2014,31 household financial wealth being held in tax a broader trend towards the growing use of tax of a tax avoidance strategy. This is also part of havens. In the wake of the crisis, offshore wealth panies therefore needs to be set in the context reserves. The use of corporate debt by these comreason for holding such high levels of offshore SEC filings tax avoidance is explicitly given as a funds and paying corporate tax on them. In their on new debt instead of repatriating these offshore yields, many companies find it cheaper to take debt. However, with historically low corporate account the respective companies' liabilities and order. In the first place, they do not take into stand these figures, however, some caveats are in combined with a loose monetary policy to Pfizer, or Shell. To properly under-

THE LONG DOWNTURN

etary policies are all mutually reinforcing. a greater need for unorthodox monetary policies. Tax evasion, austerity, and extraordinary monresult is further limitations on fiscal stimulus and in tax havens must be made up elsewhere. The revenues and therefore has exacerbated austerity. evasion is, by definition, a drain on government The vast amount of tax money that goes missing

term unemployment remains at levels higher so for long periods of time. Even today, longnot only did many people lose their jobs, they did ment escalated from 17.4 per cent to 45.5 per cent Among the unemployed, long-term unemploybefore the crisis to 10.0 per cent at its height. ment jumped drastically across the board. In the initial shock of the crisis meant that unemployand informal work. This reserve army was siga market-mediated income through precarious United States it doubled, going from 5.0 per cent nificantly expanded after the 2008 crisis. The populations.³³ Much of the world today receives proletarianisation and greater numbers of surplus has been a long-term trend towards both greater ation. With the collapse of communism, there add one further element: the employment situ-To define the present conjuncture, we must

the sake of a decent return. At the other end, tax

SOLITO DO WINT OTCH

than anything seen before the crisis. The effect of all this has been pressure on the remaining employed population — lower weekly earnings, fewer household savings, and increased household debt. In the United States personal savings have been declining from above 10.0 per cent in the 1970s to around 5.0 per cent after the crisis. ³⁴ In the United Kingdom household savings have decreased to 3.8 per cent — a 50-year low and a secular trend since the 1990s. ³⁵ In this context, many have been forced to take whatever job is available.

Conclusion

The conjuncture today is therefore a product of long-term trends and cyclical movements. We continue to live in a capitalist society where competition and profit seeking provide the general parameters of our world. But the 1970s created a major shift within these general conditions, away from secure employment and unwieldy industrial behemoths and towards flexible labour and lean business models. During the 1990s a technological revolution was laid out when finance drove a bubble in the new internet industry that led

THE LONG DOWNTURN

sets the scene for today's economy. a result of their need to earn an income. All this vulnerable to exploitative working conditions as world. Finally, workers have suffered immensely in the wake of the crisis and have been highly decent rates of investment in a low-interest rate a vast glut of cash, which has been seeking out with the expansion of tax havens, has let loose combined with increases in corporate savings and debt, governments have turned to monetary policy in order to ease economic conditions. This crisis. Plagued by global concerns over public tury and has driven the response to the 2008 the housing bubble of the early twenty-first cenviable option. This new model of growth led to giving up on its manufacturing base and turnnew model of growth: America was definitively to massive investment in the built environment This phenomenon also heralded a turn towards a ing towards asset-price Keynesianism as the best

Platform Capitalism

subsequent decade saw technology hrms signifmonetised the free resources available to them by turning towards increasingly lean business ing attempted to recover by attacking labour and crisis of overcapacity in the 1970s, manufacturway of accumulating capital. As we saw with the tor enthusiasm While the dot-com bust placed a pall over invesbased companies shifted to business models that models. In the wake of the 1990s bust, internet jobs, and new markets all emerge to create a new tured. New technologies, new organisational cantly progressing in terms of the amount of forms, new modes of exploitation, new types of Capitalism, when a crisis hits, tends to be restrucfor internet-based firms, the

> these changes. and so on. The task of this chapter is to examine omy, the app economy, the attention economy, next industrial revolution, the surveillance econsharing economy, the on-demand economy, the proliferation of new terms: the gig economy, the Enlightenment.² We have witnessed a massive pared in importance to the Renaissance and the more ridiculous formulations, have been comtechnology: automation, the sharing economy, countries has been one of change. In particular, man of the World Economic Forum and, in industrial revolution' from the executive chairas 'paradigm shift' from McKinsey1 and 'fourth things. These changes have received labels such endless stories about the 'Uber for X', and, since there has been a renewed focus on the rise of dominant narrative in the advanced capitalist 2008 crisis, has there been a similar shift? The power and capital at their disposal. Since the around 2010, proclamations about the internet of

Numerous theorists have argued that these changes mean we live in a cognitive, or informational, or immaterial, or knowledge economy. But what does this mean? Here we can find a number of interconnected but distinct claims. In

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

over information.5 There is some truth in this, but the means of production but rather has ownership dominated by a new class, which does not own the 'general intellect', where collective cooperation relation, some argue that the economy today is nical knowledges. On the other side of the class reliant upon a vast array of scientific and techbasic agricultural commodities, for instance, is them. The production process of even the most ing amount of knowledge, which is embodied in is that material commodities contain an increasform of creating websites, participating in online forums, and producing software. 4 A related claim blogs, as well as broader contributions in the cultural content, knowledge, affects, and services. means that the product of work becomes immaterial: deindustrialisation of the high-income economies use and manipulation of symbols and affects. This includes media content like YouTube and the 'cognitariat'. Simultaneously, the generalised Likewise, the traditional industrial working class is increasingly immaterial, oriented towards the an argument also entails that the labour process is increasingly replaced by knowledge workers or and knowledge become a source of value.3 Such Italian autonomism, this would be a claim about

> trying to discern exactly what it is. as for any other, the companies remain capitalist. Yet there is something new here, and it is worth of capitalism hold for these companies as much outside of capitalism. Given that the imperatives the argument goes awry when it situates this class

mated processes. Most data must be cleaned and collection and analysis are frictionless or auto-We should also be wary of thinking that data internet as a whole is responsible for about 9.2 per cent of the world's electricity consumption).6 tion of data centres will quickly prove (and the sive storage systems to maintain it. Data are not immaterial, as any glance at the energy consumpany datum requires sensors to capture it and masrial medium of some kind. As a recorded entity, knowledge, but this is not a necessary condition. why something happened). Data may involve Data also entail recording, and therefore a matewill distinguish data (information that something happened) from knowledge (information about be clear about what data are. In the first place, we kind of raw material: data. But it is important to be centred upon extracting and using a particular twenty-first century advanced capitalism came to A key argument of this chapter is that in the

organised into standardised formats in order to be usable. Likewise, generating the proper algorithms can involve the manual entry of learning sets into a system. Altogether, this means that the collection of data today is dependent on a vast infrastructure to sense, record, and analyse. What is recorded? Simply put, we should consider data to be the raw material that must be extracted, and the activities of users to be the natural source of this raw material. Just like oil, data are a material to be extracted, refined, and used in a variety of ways. The more data one has, the more uses one can make of them.

Data were a resource that had been available for some time and used to lesser degrees in previous business models (particularly in coordinating the global logistics of lean production). In the twenty-first century, however, the technology needed for turning simple activities into recorded data became increasingly cheap; and the move to digital-based communications made recording exceedingly simple. Massive new expanses of potential data were opened up, and new industries arose to extract these data and to use them so as to optimise production processes, give insight into consumer preferences, control workers,

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

show in this chapter, data have come to serve a nomic level. However, as the internet expanded away from traditional media outlets like news simply used data to draw advertising revenues capitalism.9 The incipient efforts by Google of businesses, data increasingly became a centra what was novel with the shift in technology and sell to advertisers. All of this had historica vices (e.g. Google Maps, self-driving cars, Siri) of workers; they allow for the optimisation and they enable the coordination and outsourcing and give competitive advantage to algorithms; number of key capitalist functions: they educate nications for all aspects of their business, data and firms became dependent on digital commuthis was hardly a revolutionary change at an ecovaluable service in organising the internet, but papers and television. Google was performing the raw material to jumpstart a major shift in resource. In the early years of the century it was be used. From representing a peripheral aspect was the sheer amount of data that could now provide the foundation for new products and serbecame increasingly relevant. As I will attempt to hardly clear, however, that data would become precedents in earlier periods of capitalism, but

it was perhaps inevitable that this raw material would come to represent a vast new resource to be extracted from. data and the competitive pressures of capitalism, the significant advantages of recording and using itself generative of data, in a virtuous cycle. Given flexibility of productive processes; they make into high-margin services; and data analysis is possible the transformation of low-margin goods

nal needs to handle data, platforms became an firm: the platform.10 Often arising out of interchapter argues that the new business model that advantage of dwindling recording costs. This a lossy model as well. A different business model eventually emerged is a powerful new type of was necessary if capitalist firms were to take full in this respect, with few exceptions it remained work of lean production was an improvement was being used. While the global logistics netanything about the customer or how the product a good in a factory where most of the information was lost, then to sell it, and never to learn not particularly well designed to extract and use data. Their method of operating was to produce to the present day is that old business models were The problem for capitalist firms that continues

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

industrial leaders (GE, Siemens), and agricultural powerhouses (John Deere, Monsanto), to name Amazon), dynamic start-ups (Uber, Airbnb), ous companies incorporate platforms: powerful technology companies (Google, Facebook, and come to expand across the economy, as numerand use the increasingly large amounts of data efficient way to monopolise, extract, analyse, that were being recorded. Now this model has

users; Google's search engine provides a platform enable developers to build and sell new apps to associated ecosystem (XCode and the iOS SDK) them to consumers; Apple's App Store and its developers to create applications for it and sell ucts, services, and marketplaces.14 Microsoft's that enable their users to build their own prodeven physical objects.13 More often than not, Windows operating system enables software these platforms also come with a series of tools tisers, service providers, producers, suppliers, and bring together different users: customers, adverfore position themselves as intermediaries that two or more groups to interact. 12 They thereplatforms are digital infrastructures that enable What are platforms? 11 At the most general level, wherever digital interaction takes place subject to platform development. Platforms are digital form), more and more businesses will be tech companies, since they can operate anywhere. as a result, far more than internet companies or online (e.g. Uber shifting the taxi industry into a more and more industries move their interactions interactions that can then be recorded. And, as and riders. Facebook, as the platform for social draws on traffic data and the activities of drivers activity (which express the fluctuating desires networking, brings in a variety of intimate social data, since a platform positions itself (I) between of individuals). Uber, as the platform for taxis, for searching, draws on vast amounts of search access to record them. Google, as the platform users, and (2) as the ground upon which their activities occur, which thus gives it privileged traditional business models when it comes to app enables drivers and passengers to exchange ferent groups. This is the key to its advantage over the basic infrastructure to mediate between difketplace from the ground up, a platform provides rides for cash. Rather than having to build a marfor advertisers and content providers to target people searching for information; and Uber's tax

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

to rent more servers. Combined with network not need to build new factories - it just needs few natural limits to growth. One reason for and cheap marginal costs means that there are nesses by relying on pre-existing infrastructure a dynamic of ever-increasing access to more Uber's rapid growth, for instance, is that it does the ability to rapidly scale many platform busiactivities, and therefore to more data. Moreover, towards monopolisation. It also lends platforms whereby more users beget more users, which becomes to users. But this generates a cycle leads to platforms having a natural tendency rithms become, and the more useful Google search on Google, the better their search algoare. Likewise, the more numerous the users who where most of your friends and family already platform for socialising, you join the platform number of people on it. If you want to join a working platform simply by virtue of the sheer digital platforms produce and are reliant on who use a platform, the more valuable that for example, has become the default social netplatform becomes for everyone else. Facebook, network effects': the more numerous the users The second essential characteristic is that

big very quickly. effects, this means that platforms can grow very

any unprofitable ventures. pany down to its core competencies and sell off and what is not subsidised. This is a far cry from what is paid, what is not paid, what is subsidised, their business is fine-tuning the balance between to attract a number of different groups, part of and how often they use the platform.15 Google, nificantly for how many users become involved of the firm reduces the price of a service or good the lean model, which aimed to reduce a comfor instance, provides service likes email for free (even providing it for free), but another arm platforms often use cross-subsidisation; one arm example - and this is the third characteristic through its advertising arm. Since platforms have in order to get users on board, but raises money The price structure of the platform matters sigraises prices in order to make up for these losses that more and more users come on board. For platforms must deploy a range of tactics to ensure The importance of network effects means that

that makes them attractive to its varied users While often presenting themselves as empty spaces Finally, platforms are also designed in a way

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

interest. While network effects strongly support in part, to revise the cross-subsidisation links and tem. The challenge of maintaining platforms is, software developers increasingly into its ecosyspages, and users to share information in a way developers to produce apps, companies to create ever, is also generative, enabling others to build game. The core architecture of fixed rules, howsupply.17 In their position as an intermediary, the rules of the platform in order to sustain user tecture of Facebook, for instance, has allowed tion of numerous useful apps that tied users and for Apple's App Store, which enabled the producthat brings in even more users. The same holds upon them in unexpected ways. The core archialso control and governance over the rules of the ing phantom cabs to give an illusion of greater in advance of actual demand, while also creatdemand for drivers will be and raises surge prices the appearance of a market. It predicts where the ment, as well as marketplace interactions, are set politics. The rules of product and service developplatforms gain not only access to more data but itself as an empty vessel for market forces, shapes for others to interact on, they in fact embody a by the platform owner. Uber, despite presenting

They are an extractive apparatus for data. nesses and users (web tracking, usage data, etc.). flow manufacturing, etc.), and from other busiduction processes (assembly lines, continuous selves so as to extract data from natural processes (weather conditions, crop cycles, etc.), from proothers to interact in, platforms position themcontrolling data. By providing a digital space for platforms key business models for extracting and by Facebook). 19 All these characteristics make (e.g. Hadoop's data management system is used phones, etc.), built upon open-source material and hardware (servers, data centres, smartor the 20 million lines of code for Facebook) 18 software (the 2 billion lines of code for Google, ownership, in turn, is essentially ownership of governs the interaction possibilities. Platform and by having a designed core architecture that subsidisation to draw in different user groups, driven by network effects, by employing crossuser groups, by displaying monopoly tendencies infrastructure to intermediate between different of firm; they are characterised by providing the unassailable. Platforms, in sum, are a new type existing platform leaders, these positions are not

The remainder of this chapter will give an

400

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

a minimum and to profit by reducing costs as attempt to reduce their ownership of assets to that of lean platforms (e.g. Uber, Airbnb), which internet-connected processes that lower the costs scription fees on them. Finally, the fifth type is good into a service and by collecting rent or subusing other platforms to transform a traditional of production and transform goods into services. Rolls Royce, Spotify), which generate revenue by The fourth type is that of product platforms (e.g. sary to transform traditional manufacturing into which build the hardware and software necesthat of industrial platforms (e.g. GE, Siemens), renting them out as needed. The third type is software of digital-dependent businesses and are AWS, Salesforce), which own the hardware and The second type is that of cloud platforms (e.g. use the products of that process to sell ad space. on users, undertake a labour of analysis, and then Google, Facebook), which extract information necessarily that it produces a physical product. The first type is that of advertising platforms (e.g. that the capitalist class owns the platform, not In each of these areas, the important element is way of presenting five different types of platforms. overview of the emerging platform landscape by

have come to represent the leading edges of this

becoming a way to collect advertising revenues moved from being a way to improve services to enue-generating company. 2000 and began its transformation into a rev-2000, Google unveiled AdWords in October ad space to advertisers through an increasingly Association of Securities Dealers Automated automated auction system.²³ When the National ies and other bits of information, to sell targeted began to use the search data, along with cookwho were the basis of its success. Eventually it Quotations (NASDAQ) market peaked in March yet a fee-based service risked alienating the users enue. In the wake of the dot-com bust, Google increasingly needed a way to generate revenues, capitalism: it was meant to improve one's services was an example of the classic use of data within leftover from which Google could generate revfor customers and users. But there was no value and using these data to improve searches. 22 This Google had been collecting user data from searches \$25 million funding round in 1999. At this point of venture funding in 1998 and received a major Created in 1997, Google was an early recipient The extracted data

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

of Facebook's revenues came from advertisers. 2016, 89.0 per cent of Google's and 96.6 per cent entirely dependent on them: in the first quarter of Today Google and Facebook remain almost

the free labour argument is that it often slides with this account, however. A first issue with ested parties. There are a number of problems by the companies to advertisers and other interthis perspective, the story of how Google and upon the exploitation of 'free labour'. 24 From obscured a shift to business models premised (data and content) that are then taken and sold users are unwaged labourers who produce goods Facebook generate profit has been a simple one: a monopoly over what was voiced in society. For critical theorists of the web, this rhetoric newspapers and other mass media outlets have and share content online. No longer would tion in which anyone would be able to create with a rhetoric of democratising communicastatic text. In the press, this shift came packaged and on multimedia interfaces rather than on generated content than on digital storefronts Web 2.0, which was premised more on userin the early years of the new millennium, to This was part and parcel of the broader shift,

net of things, corporate and government data (such as credit records and financial records), information collected by sensors in the interlike Google relies upon: economic transactions, to build the extractive apparatus of platforms. the multitude of data sources that a company tion, it is hard to see why there would be a need were already captured within capitalist valorisa-More broadly, 'free labour' is only a portion of into a valorisation process. If all of our actions that most of our social interactions do not enter companies must compete to build platforms is profit generation. In fact one of the reasons why social interactions are co-opted into a system of forms.²⁵ Not all - and not even most - of our as between interactions done on profit-oriented platforms and interactions done on other platdistinctions between interactions done on platforms and interactions done elsewhere, as well we begin to worry that there is no outside to action becomes free labour for capitalism, and non-work and precise categories become blunt capitalism. Work becomes inseparable from into grand metaphysical claims. All social interpanalities. It is important, however, to draw

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

and public and private surveillance (such as the cars used to build up Google Maps).26

tive pressures for getting users to do more, even if set - is lacking. This means there are no competistandard against which production processes are of socially necessary labour time - the implicit erly speaking. Beyond the intuitive hesitation to think that messaging friends is labour, any idea make the case that what they do is labour, proping the activities of users online, it is hard to those demands will not be imposed. In examinincrease productivity, and so on. If it is not, then ise the production processes, to lower costs, to the standard capitalist imperatives: to rationalit is capitalist, then it will be pressured by all labour or not has to do with consequences. If interaction is part of capitalist production is not a production process oriented towards exchange. The relevance of whether this interaction is free just a tedious scholarly debate over definitions. The debate over whether or not online social a Marxist framework, labour has a very particular value within a context of markets for labour and meaning: it is an activity that generates a surplus data, it is right to call this activity labour? Within Yet even limiting our attention to user-createc

up. On the other hand, if this is not free labour, global economy suggests that the latter is more more dire state. A quick glance at the stagnating producing industries and global capitalism is in a then these firms are parasitical on other valuelandscape of exploited labour has been opened cant boon to capitalism overall – a whole new there are pressures to get them to do more online labour, then these companies must be a signifi-More broadly, if our online interactions are free

need to monitor and record online activities. The of ad space to advertisers. This involves achievanalysis of those data, and from the auctioning and institutions, if they are recorded and transtion can be collected and used. Equally, as users more users interact with a site, the more informaing two processes. First, advertising platforms of data from users' activities online, from the platforms. With advertising platforms in particuate data as a raw material. The activities of users lar, revenue is generated through the extraction can be refined and used in a variety of ways by formed into data, become a raw material that taken here is that advertising platforms appropri-Rather than exploiting free labour, the position

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

when needed. adeptly match an advertiser with the correct users a machine-learning algorithm. What is sold to of a data scientist or the automated labour of worked on.²⁸ They have had some process applied rather the promise that Google's software wil advertisers is therefore not the data themselves to them, whether through the skilled labour data that give them insights or match them to interested less in unorganised data and more in data, but also the analysis of data. Advertisers are revenues, however, is not just the collection of to speak of 'surveillance capitalism'.27 Key to making in the digital economy, which leads some ever more extensive and valuable to advertisers cookies and other means, and these data become wander around the internet, they are tracked via (advertisers do not receive personalised data), but likely consumers. These are data that have been There is a convergence of surveillance and profit

around \$2 billion. (The US arm of the company migrated into the offline world. Tesco, one of prominent in the online world, it has also the world's largest retailers, owns Dunnhumby, a UK-based 'consumer insights' business valued at While the data extraction model has been ing off revenue from advertisers. ers. Data extraction is becoming a key method of trends and effectively market goods to consumbuilding a monopolistic platform and of siphondatabases and using data to adapt to customer data).29 Non-tech firms are also developing user the point where the company is even suggesting using wearables as a source of customer health information about customers is being tracked (to customers into Tesco stores with the promise of rewards. Simultaneously, more and more diverse attempted to build a monopolistic platform for itself as well, through a loyalty card that channels as Coca-Cola, Macy's, and Office Depot. It has and using that information to sell to clients such upon tracking consumers both online and offline largest employers.) The company is premised was recently sold to Kroger, one of America's

capital. Instead these companies have tended to Germany, so there has been little growth in fixed low in the United States, United Kingdom, and with their revenues? Investment levels remain orous dynamism. But what have they been doing with high revenues, significant profits, and a vigmost successful of the new platform businesses, These advertising platforms are currently the

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

Most importantly, however, they have provided have set the conditions for the latest tech boom. large investors in this area. As we will see, they start-ups, many of the advertising platforms being companies have funnelled their money into tech delineation from its core business. Thirdly, these in other industries while giving them a clear of the Alphabet Holding Company in 2015 is designed to enable Google to purchase firms part and parcel of this process; this was an effort some of the biggest acquisitions (e.g. it bought WhatsApp for \$22 billion).³¹ Google's creation new company every week), 30 while Facebook has over the past hve years (on average, it purchases a panies, Google has made the most acquisitions building new capacity. Among the big tech comcess that centralises existing capacity rather than high levels of mergers and acquisitions - a proand Uber. The second use of this cash was in companies: Google, Apple, Facebook, Amazon, The leaders of tax evasion have also been tech disproportionately large amount of this cash glut. odd phenomenon of the post-2008 era. As we saw in Chapter 1, tech companies have taken up a it, and high levels of corporate cash have been an do three things with their cash. One was to save

a business model – the platform – that is now being replicated across a variety of industries.

Cloud Platforms

seasonal workers, most of whom do low-wage over 230,000 workers and tens of thousands of est employer in the digital economy, employing for its shipping section. 33 It is also by far the largputer systems, had pioneered the use of drones 2016 Amazon has invested in vast data centres, works and hiring large numbers of workers.32 By for deliveries, and recently began leasing airplanes robotic warehouse movers, and massive comtasks of building warehouses and logistical netficient, and companies ended up taking on the exchange to others. But this proved to be insufthey could outsource the material aspects of ness model. The story of corporate cloud rental the platform as a unique and powerful busicloud platforms are the step that has consolidated the late 1990s, e-commerce companies thought begins with e-commerce in the 1990s. During massive amounts of data, then the emerging laid the groundwork for extracting and using If advertising platforms like Google and Facebook

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

and highly stressful jobs in warehouses. To grow as an e-commerce platform, Amazon has sought to gain as many users as possible through cross-subsidisation. By all accounts, the Amazon Prime delivery service loses money on every order, and the Kindle e-book reader is sold at cost. ³⁴ On traditional metrics for lean businesses, this is unintelligible: unprofitable ventures should be cut off. Yet rapid and cheap delivery is one of the main ways in which Amazon entices users onto its platform in order to make revenues elsewhere.

In the process of building a massive logistical network, Amazon Web Services (AWS) was developed as an internal platform, to handle the increasingly complex logistics of the company. Indeed, a common theme in the genesis of platforms is that they often emerge out of internal company needs. Amazon required ways to get new services up and running quickly, and the answer was to build up the basic infrastructure in a way that enabled new services to use it easily. It was quickly recognised that this could also be rented to other firms. In effect AWS rents out cloud computing services, which include on-demand services for servers, storage and computing power, software development tools

moving to make quantum cloud computing a reality.³⁸ Cloud platforms ultimately enable the as a service', in the contemporary lingo). And tools to build their own bots ('intelligence while, has built an artificial intelligence platform that gives businesses the software development in the cloud computing field). Microsoft, mean-Google sees its advantage over its competitors tion algorithms and audio transcription services learning processes (and this is precisely where In other words, Google is selling its machinecan now rent the ability to use pattern recognipart of its AWS competitor.³⁷ Other businesses developed are now beginning to be rented out as the sophisticated analytical tools that Google has tion basis; Adobe, Google, and Microsoft have ware system, their own software development and operating systems, and ready-made appliall started to incorporate this practice. Likewise, instance, is increasingly deployed on a subscriprent these on an 'as needed' basis. Software, for time and money to build up their own hard. cations.36 The utility of this practice for other kit, or their own applications. They can simply businesses is that they do not need to spend the Business Machines (IBM)

outsourcing of much of a company's information technology (IT) department. This process pushes knowledge workers out and often enables the automation of their work as well. Data analysis, storage of customer information, maintenance of a company's servers – all of this can be pushed to the cloud and provides the capitalist rationale for using these platforms.

forms, companies like Amazon gain direct access moving businesses' activities onto cloud platchasing model involved selling these as goods constantly collect data, whereas the older purextraction is that its rental model enables it to the significance of the cloud platform for data ful and profitable position to be in. Moreover, to every other industry is an immensely powerthat were then separated from the company. By fore owning the infrastructure that is necessary needed' basis. Today every area of the economy is increasingly integrated with a digital layer; theretion became centralised and rented out on an 'as power generator, eventually electricity generavision: whereas early factories had each its own executive officer, compares it to electricity proties function. Jeff Bezos, Amazon's chief The logic behind them is akin to how utilitheir own uses profitably to others, while they collect data for digital economy in a way that can be rented out are building up the basic infrastructure of the advertisers' buying data, these cloud platforms contemporary businesses. Rather than relying on an increasingly basic means of production for first major cloud platform in order to rent out first data extraction platforms, Amazon built the generated more profit for Amazon than its core enue in 2015. In the first quarter of 2016, AWS retail service.⁴⁰ If Google and Facebook built the per cent margins and nearly \$8 billion in revis now the most rapidly growing part of Amazon as well as Chinese competitors like Alibaba. AWS around \$70 billion, 39 and major competitors like - and also the most profitable, with about 30 Microsoft and Google are moving into the field, then, that AWS is now estimated to be worth occluded to the platform). It is unsurprising, to whole new datasets (even if some remain

Industrial Platforms

As data collection, storage, and analysis have become increasingly cheaper, more and more

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

costs by 40 per cent by issuing warnings of wear is needed and when), of reducing maintenance 25 per cent, of reducing energy costs by 20 per cent argue that it is capable of reducing labour costs by (e.g. data centres would distribute energy where it internet will optimise the production process: they resentations. For its proponents, the industrial become inseparable from their informational repproduction process. In this vision, material goods are constantly shared with other elements in the about the position and state of these components out the guidance of workers or managers. Data assembly machines and other components, withtion process becomes able to communicate with The idea is that each component in the producthis process is being heralded as 'Industry 4.0' connections over the internet. In Germany, the logistics process, all linked together through tion process and of trackers (e.g. RFID) into of sensors and computer chips into the producthe industrial internet involves the embedding of 'the industrial internet of things', or simply significant of these attempts goes under the rubric companies have attempted to bring platforms into 'the industrial internet'. At the most basic level, the field of traditional manufacturing. The most

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

cantly reduced as a result places an order. ⁴³ Product lifecycles can be signifidifferent fragrances, colours, labels, and soaps, all being automatically produced once a customer of individually customising every unit that comes down the line: individual soap bottles can have ducer in the world, the assembly line is capable on the basis of usage data drawn from existing factory from BASF SE, the largest chemicals pronet also enables mass customisation. In one test products (even by using online methodologies to develop new products and design new features like A/B testing to do so).⁴² The industrial interfocus groups or surveys, manufacturers are hoping to the realisation process. Rather than relying on aims to link the production process more closely now: reducing costs and downtime. But it also tive manufacturing has been doing for some time more efficient, primarily by doing what competiises, in effect, to make the production process increasing quality.⁴¹ The industrial internet promfor appropriate times, and of reducing errors and and tear, of reducing downtime by scheduling it

challenge is establishing a common standard for ponents for the industrial internet, one major As factories begin to implement the com-

even the industrial internet start-ups are primarily being subject to an influx of new start-ups. And nated by these established companies rather than platform, Predix. The field has so far been domiacquire smart manufacturing capabilities and to GE has been working rapidly to develop its own build its industrial platform MindSphere, 45 while platforms. Siemens has spent over €4 billion to make a major push to develop industrial internet traditional tech titans like Intel and Microsoft, see traditional manufacturing powerhouses like platform owners'. 44 It is therefore no surprise to the industrial internet 'the big winners will be General Electric (GE) and Siemens, as well as more applications. As one report puts it, with motors, factory floors, trucking fleets, and many are building the hardware and software to run the industrial internet across turbines, oil wells, the developing powerhouses of industry, which consumers, software and hardware. These are actuators, factories and suppliers, producers and core framework for linking together sensors and trial platforms come in, functioning as the basic case of older machinery. This is where indusponents needs to be ensured, particularly in the communication; interoperability between comactively expanding trials with the technology. trial Internet, while the American consortium is to raise awareness and support for the indusmoment the German consortium aims simply sortium to push for smart manufacturing. At the with the government in a similar non-profit conlike GE, Intel, Cisco, and IBM have partnered project, as has the United States, where companies and developed its own consortium to support the Germany has enthusiastically bought into this idea by GE) are the primary supporters of this shift. States (a technology powerhouse represented house represented by Siemens) and the United as Germany (a traditional manufacturing poweran expression of national economic competition, up areas. 46 The shift to industrial platforms is also in 2016 despite a general slowdown in other startinvestors), keeping funding for the sector strong funded by the old guard (four of the top five

and applications for managing the industrial (cloud-based computing), development tools, both already offer infrastructural chief digital officer. 47 Predix and MindSphere manufacturing: 'It's winner takes all,' says GE's ability to build the monopolistic platform for The competition here is ultimately over the Services

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

themselves as the intermediary between factories, cheaper, more flexible services. By positioning against their rivals, a tool that ensures quicker, firms rely on extracting data as a competitive too a system. Like other platforms, these industrial facturing and the security necessary to run such themselves as having insider knowledge of manuinternet. In competition with more generic platforms like AWS, industrial platforms promote ers, storage, etc.) needed to operate an industrial of data. 48 The same holds for software designed These platforms also provide the hardware (servthat makes changes in factories and power plants. ling of physical-based systems, or for software to collect and analyse big data, for the modela series of specialised tools to manage the influx lecting as many data as Facebook and requires and to develop new analytical tools for things liquid natural gas business alone is already collike time series data and geographical data. GE's massive amounts of data that will be produced essary, for instance, in order to cope with the to manage the internal internet, these platforms than companies developing their own software license out the tools needed. Expertise is necinternet (i.e. an app store for factories). Rather

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

70

continues to use AWS for its internal needs.51 demonstrating the power of monopolies, and enterprise cloud computing. 50 Nevertheless, more than both the consumer internet of things triple this revenue by 2020. 49 Predictions are that the sector will be worth \$225 billion by 2020 currently brings GE \$5 billion and is expected to strong revenue sources for the companies: Predix and this openness enables them to incorporate always, essential to gaining a monopoly position, more and more users. These platforms already are who can build apps for it. Network effects are, as data are stored (on site or in the cloud), and terms of who can connect to the platform, where both Siemens and GE also maintain openness in position. Deploying a standard platform strategy, these data to further solidify their monopoly tor to the largest factory, and they draw upon manufacturing operates, from the smallest actuaare ideally placed to monitor much of how global consumers, and app developers, these platforms

Product Platforms

particularly the internet of things and cloud Importantly, the preceding developments -

> considered a 'goods as a service' type of platform. product platform.) Zipcar, by contrast, might be self-driving cars, which would transform it into a aims, however, eventually to command a fleet of to outsource nearly every possible cost. (Uber while the latter is a lean platform that attempts Uber does not. The former is a product platform, their business models are significantly different. a time. While they are similar in this respect, Zipcar owns the assets it rents out — the vehicles; for consumers who wish to rent some asset for Uber and Zipcar - both platforms designed form and the lean platform. Take, for example, but distinct business models: the product platdemand platform. They are two closely related computing - have enabled a new type of on-

obituaries, the music industry has been revived in cal copies of music. Yet, in spite of its numerous purchasing compact discs (CDs) and other physisimple as installing a small program. Record labels' revenues took a major dip, as consumers stopped late 1990s downloading music for free became as some goods. Music is the best example, as in the recuperate the tendency to zero marginal costs in biggest means by which companies attempt to Product platforms are perhaps one of the while private rentals have skyrocketed.53 enticing. In the United Kingdom, for instance, and seemingly cheaper upfront fees appear more household ownership has declined since 2008, like cars and houses become nearly impossible the decline in savings that we noted in Chapter what has enabled these product platforms to flourtoothbrushes, razors, even private jets. Part of 1. As less money is saved up, big-ticket purchases ish in recent years is the stagnation in wages and is their expansion to new realms: housing, cars, for example in newspapers, what is novel today scription models have been around for centuries. its revenue grow once again in 2016. While subof decline, the music industry is poised to see the highest source of digital music. 52 After years scription services have seen user numbers rise up and advertisers alike. Between 2010 and 2014 subsiphon off fees from music listeners, record labels, revenues are set to overtake download revenues as from 8 million to 41 million, and subscription recent years by platforms (Spotify, Pandora) that

On-demand platforms are not affecting just software and consumer goods, though. One of the earliest stabs at an on-demand economy centred on manufactured goods, particularly durable

tenance of these engines involves much higher and competition tight. By contrast, the mainmargins on the engines themselves remain small together out of different components.55) But parts that are now 3D printed rather than welded GE's most popular jet engine has a number of ues today, when the jet engine industry pioneers an edge. This technological competition continand no companies leaving the industry.54 Instead the use of additive manufacturing. (For instance, technological improvements, in an effort to gain among themselves by introducing incremental the three major firms have competed intensely been characterised by very few new companies Over the past 40 years the jet engine industry has was a relatively low margin business with high way in the late 1990s. The classic model of buildics outlined in Chapter 1 are on full display here. levels of competition. The competitive dynaming an engine and then selling it to an airline business model, with Rolls Royce leading the and Pratt & Whitney - have all moved to this one that sold engines into one that rented thrust the transformation of the jet engine business from goods. The most influential of these efforts was The three big manufacturers – Rolls Royce, GE,

vides maintenance and replacement parts. every hour one is used. In turn, Rolls Royce proaway. This prompted Rolls Royce to introduce do not purchase the jet engine but pay a fee for the 'goods as a service' model, whereby airlines to come in to the market and take the profits is that it is quite easy for outside competitors to estimates. 56 The challenge with maintenance profit margins - seven times higher, according

the engines, and generated another competitive improve fuel efficiency and to increase the life of oping new models: they enabled Rolls Royce to engines perform have also been crucial for develhope to break into the market. Data on how the against any outside maintenance firm that may petitors and in securing a competitive advantage data are immensely useful in blocking out comfor scheduling maintenance are all derived. These and tear on engines, possible problems, and times the United Kingdom. Information on the wear with weather data and information on air traffic control, and sent to a command centre in of data are extracted from every flight, combined placed on all the engines and massive amounts to this platform as to any other. Sensors are The raw material of data remains as central

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

effects of extracting them have enabled the comedge over competitors. Data and the network pany to establish dominance. advantage over other jet engine manufacturers. for extracting data and using them to gain an Once again, platforms appear as an optimal form

Lean Platforms

which provide a variety of services. All of them, however, attempt to establish themselves as the places like TaskRabbit and Mechanical Turk, plumbing, and so on) to more general marketcialised firms for a variety of services (cleaning, house calls from physicians, grocery shopping, business model. These platforms range from spenames and have come to epitomise this revived Uber and Airbnb have rapidly become household before profit' model of the 1990s. Companies like lean platforms have returned to the 'growth models that generate profits in some way, today's of the internet-enabled economy. Whereas the previous platforms have all developed business platforms as a retrogression to the earliest stages described, it is hard not to regard the new lean In the context of everything that has just been

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

that enables a monopoly rent to be gained. extractive minimum – control over the platform training is outsourced. All that remains is a bare sourced, maintenance costs are outsourced, and workers are outsourced, fixed capital is outate through a hyper-outsourced model, whereby software and data analytics. Lean platforms operdo own the most important asset: the platform of them virtual platforms. 58 Yet the key is that they that these are asset-less companies; we might call provider, owns no property.'57 It would seem cles [...] and Airbnb, the largest accommodation the world's largest taxi company, owns no vehianswer lies in an oft-quoted observation; 'Uber ers can meet. Why are they 'lean' platforms? The platform upon which users, customers, and work

cess has led to alternatives forms of control via is only permitted for employees; and this pro-'independent contractors' rather than 'employmeans outsourcing training costs, since training ehts, overtime, sick days, and other costs. 59 It also 30 per cent on labour costs by cutting out benees'. This enables the companies to save around platforms legally understand their workers as their outsourcing of workers. In America, these The most notorious part of these firms is

directly employs less than 10 per cent of the more than lean platforms. Apple, for instance, "disposable" workers'.61 But the issue involves we were transitioning to 'a "just-in-time" age of 1996 people were already voicing concerns that ers, who then outsourced the manufacturing of their goods to other companies. As a result, by existence of a small core of designers and brandtical integration, Nike was premised upon the its labour to others. Rather than adopting vercontracting out, in that it contracted much of while impersonal services were the next to go. tradable goods were the first to be outsourced, which took hold in the 1970s. Jobs involving is part of a broader and longer outsourcing trend. Yet, as we have seen, this outsourcing of labour mony with the capitalist mode of production',60 In the 1990s Nike became a corporate ideal for approach, it is no wonder that Marx wrote that the 'piece-wage is the form of wages most in harreduction in labour costs provided by such an task fulfilled on Mechanical Turk. Given the are then paid by the task: a cut of every ride gendered and racist biases of society. Contractors from Uber, of every rental from Airbnb, of every reputation systems, which often transmit the

sites online and adds a layer of pervasive surveil-Silicon Valley as a tool of liberation. markets.64 The gig economy simply moves these lance. A tool of survival is being marketed by in the process of finding work on informal labour ing countries is that they are now indispensable a job for the day. Likewise, a major reason why mobile phones have become essential in developup at a site in the morning in the hope of finding ers, or other low-wage workers – who would show day labourers – agricultural workers, dock workmodel is an old and low-tech one: the market of tas, plumbers, and restaurant workers – to name of non-Uber cases involving the mislabelling of that most closely approximates the lean platform just a few. ⁶³ In fact the traditional labour market to construction workers, security guards, barisworkers as independent contractors: cases related Department of Labor can find a vast number products.62 Likewise, a quick glance at the US workers who contribute to the production of its

cent of the labour force) were in alternative that nearly 15 million US workers (10.1 per the Bureau of Labour Statistics (BLS) found traditional jobs in economic statistics. In 2005⁶⁵ We can also find this broader shift to non-

created 66.5 per cent of net employment after acceleration of the long-term tendency towards more precarious employment, particularly after number of people who filed the 1099 tax form solely from these sorts of (often precarious) increased. 69 What we see here is effectively an for self-employment in the United States has employment. While the number of people who positions.⁶⁸ Similar trends can be seen in selfnet increase in US jobs since 2005 has been native arrangement jobs. This means that the 9.1 million jobs - including 9.4 million alter-2005 and 2015, the US labour market added United Kingdom, where self-employment has identify as self-employed has decreased, the were often at risk. Most strikingly, between education, healthcare, and administration jobs was due to an increase in contracting out, as force.⁶⁷ Nearly half of this rise (2.5 per cent) egory had grown to 15.8 per cent of the labour agencies, contract companies). By 2015 this catemployees hired through intermediaries (temp employment. 66 This category includes employees hired under alternative contract arrangements (on-call work, independent contractors) and The same trends are observable in the

while other estimates range from 3 to 6 per cent of the labour force.⁷⁴ Other surveys suggest force) work through them at least once a week, million UK workers (3.9 per cent of the labour platforms. It is estimated that approximately 1.3 of people routinely sell their labour through lean done so far suggests that a slightly higher number presently available, but the most thorough survey is tiny. In the United Kingdom less evidence is workers, ⁷³ The sharing economy outside of Uber Uber drivers probably form the majority of these here, the results have to take into account that ing economy formed by lean platforms.72 Even the estimates suggest that around I per cent of the US labour force is involved in the online sharmeasures have been used instead. Nearly all of currently available, surveys and other indirect no direct measures of the sharing economy are increases have been for offline work. Given that lion) between 2005 and 2015,71 but most of these registered an increase of 1.7 per cent (2.9 milent contractors and freelancers. This category has most obvious point is the category of independ-Where do lean platforms fit into this? The

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

crowd work as their main job. 77 And Uber admits also tend to be highly educated, 37 per cent using workers on Amazon's Mechanical Turk (AMT) Labour Organization (ILO) survey found that while 5 per cent have PhDs.76 An International TaskRabbit, 70 per cent have Bachelor's degrees, ers seems to support this. Of the workers on look at the demographics of lean platform workchosen path, but rather a forced imposition. A In this context, self-employment is not a freely whatever desperate means they could to survive. result, numerous workers were forced to find growth returns, but job growth does not. As a less recovery - a phenomenon where economic Moreover, the aftermath of the crisis was a jobwhile long-term unemployment nearly tripled. unemployment in the United States to double, that were outlined earlier, the crisis caused on the trends towards more precarious work unemployment after the 2008 crisis. Building premised upon the vast growth in the levels of trend. Moreover, it is a small sector, which is ing economy is but a small tip of a much larger cally include a much larger range of activities.75 slightly higher numbers, but those problemati-What we can therefore conclude is that the shar-

tasking, as they would have proper jobs. of more than 10 per cent. 78 In a healthy economy these people would have no need to be microfrom neighbourhoods with unemployment rates that around a third of its drivers in London come

more downward pressure on wages by placing cheap labour in developing countries and places enables business, again, to cut costs by exploiting Mechanical Turk and similar platforms. This tasks can now be carried out online through to a near-global level. A multitude of novel services, effectively expanding the labour supply what was once non-tradable services into tradable the labour market, lean platforms have turned and management, for instance. And, in terms of white-collar jobs - copy-editing, programming moderation, and so on. It is even pushing into cleaning, plumbing, painting, moving, content ing to a range of new jobs: cabs, haircuts, stylists, administration, and hospitality, today it is extending once primarily took place in manufacturing, outlined, we can see that they are simply extending earlier trends into new areas. Whereas outsourclean platforms? Given the broader context just oped novel elements, is there anything new about While the other platform types have all devel-

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

tising space. 80 However, even with this support, retailers (e.g. Whole Foods) in return for adverand depreciation costs. Firms such as Instacart and Lyft), maintenance costs, insurance costs, delivery costs to food suppliers (e.g. Pepsi) and to (which delivers groceries) have also outsourced to their workers: things like investment costs (accommodations for Airbnb, vehicles for Uber costs from their balance sheets and shifted them platforms. These companies have also offloaded ting, SendGrid for emailing, and Braintree for relies on Google for mapping, Twilio for texpayments: it is a lean platform built on other many other start-ups use AWS.79 Uber further the cloud. As a result, Airbnb, Slack, Uber, and they can simply rent hardware and software from equipment and expertise needed for their busispend large amounts to invest in the computing nesses, today's start-ups have flourished because by cloud platforms. Whereas firms once had to other costs is also notable (though not novel); have been dependent upon the capacities offered platform to date. In doing so, these companies these are perhaps the purest attempts at a virtual to which lean platform firms have outsourced these jobs into global labour markets. The extent

among lean platforms. on wages - a notably widespread phenomenon are taken into account. 81 The lack of profitability costs of office space or the salaries of its core team its business, and that is before the rather large has led to the predictable measure of cutting back Instacart remains unprofitable on 60 per cent of

protests. All of this enables Uber to have a service out the most efficient path for a trip. Data are that is quick and efficient from the passenger's tions about where demand is likely to arise. In with nearby drivers, as well as to make predic-China, Uber monitors even whether drivers go to fed into other algorithms to match passengers rithms use the data on traffic patterns to plot for other taxi platforms; and its routing algothe data to ensure that its drivers are not working to beat out competitors. For instance, Uber uses are driving are used in a variety of ways in order drivers, even when they are not receiving a fare.82 collects data on all of its rides, as well as data on by the access afforded by platforms. Uber is perhaps the best example of this development, as it on data extraction – again, a process optimised Data about what drivers are doing and how they This has also prompted companies to compete

PLATFORM CAPITALISM

of competition for lean platforms. competitors. Data are one of the primary means point of view, thereby drawing users away from

over the course of 2014 - a 316 per cent increase tripled since 2009.83 Even after excluding Uber made for start-up companies: VC deals have of the important consequences of the 2008 crisis be profitable and the money to support them has worth taking a moment to put the funding of lean on-demand mobile services raised \$1.7 billion is reflected most clearly in the number of deals 2008 phenomenon. The growth of this sector has been the intensification of an easy monetary to come from the outside. As we saw earlier, one platforms for on-demand mobile services, we are platforms in context. When we look at the lean towards more deals and higher volumes. But it is from 2013.84 And 2015 continued this trenc (which has an outsized position in the market) lean platform boom is, fundamentally, a postpolicy and the growing corporate cash glut. The panies, taken together, by 39 per cent.85 In 2015 in 2014 Uber outpaced all the other service comprimarily discussing Uber. In terms of funding Uber, Airbnb, and Uber's Chinese competitor Nevertheless, these firms are still struggling to

purchasing equity in a variety of new start-ups. Companies like Uber, deploying more than 135 MyWays, and firms like Intel and Google are also ill-fated Homejoy, while the logistics company involved, Google being a major investor in the and mutual funds.88 Larger companies are also DHL has created its own on-demand service investment financing comes from hedge funds In fact, in the technology start-up sector, most of compulsion that even non-traditional funding banks is playing a major role in the tech boom from hedge funds, mutual funds, and investment be building a technology boom. Such is the level a housing boom, surplus capital today appears to avenues for yield. Rather than a finance boom or investments, forcing investors to seek out new depressed the returns on traditional financial rate environment. The low interest rates have ital seeking higher rates of return in a low interest coming from? Broadly speaking, it is surplus cap-2000 (nearly \$100 billion).87 Where is the money while the enthusiasm for new tech start-ups has all the funding for on-demand start-ups.86 And, lion) still paled in comparison to the highs of reached a fever pitch, funding in 2015 (\$59 bil-Didi Chuxing, combined to take 59 per cent of

and not by anything substantial. by the removal of costs and the lowering of wages their profitability appears to be generated solely reach monopoly status (and possibly even then), has gained a monopoly position. Until these firms business of taxis will eventually pay off once Uber actual profits. The hope is that the low margin on expectations of future profits rather than on growth in the lean platform sector is premised unproven. Just like the earlier dot-com boom, itability of these lean platforms remains largely helped by tax evasion techniques.89 Yet the profsubsidiary companies across the world, are also

remains a minor player in a long-term trend. The countries. In terms of outsourcing, the lean model inaugurate a major shift in advanced capitalist amount of VC, there are few signs that it will amount of hype and, in the case of Uber, a large scaling. While the lean model has garnered a large capital, and cloud platforms that enable rapid of an accommodative monetary policy, surplus the post-2008 surge in unemployment and rise lations, and the digitisation of life, along with product of a few tendencies and moments: the tendencies towards outsourcing, surplus popu-In summary, lean platforms appear as the

wise appears to be minimal and limited to a few specialised tasks. And, even there, the most successful of the lean models has been supported by VC welfare rather than by any meaningful revenue generation. Far from representing the future of work or that of the economy, these models seem likely to fall apart in the coming years.

Conclusion

We began this chapter by arguing that twenty-first-century capitalism has found a massive new raw material to appropriate: data. Through a series of developments, the platform has become an increasingly dominant way of organising businesses so as to monopolise these data, then extract, analyse, use, and sell them. The old business models of the Fordist era had only a rudimentary capacity to extract data from the production process or from customer usage. The era of lean production modified this slightly, as global 'just in time' supply chains demanded data about the status of inventories and the location of supplies. Yet data outside the firm remained nearly impossible to attain; and, even inside the firm, most of

massive amounts of data is central to the business these platform services. In every case, collecting other companies to use, and a rent is extracted for necessary to collect, analyse, and deploy data for building (and owning) the basic infrastructures forms like AWS and Predix are oriented towards for a more competitive business. Likewise, platcontrol workers, and optimise their algorithms such firms to offer better products and services, the heart of beating the competition: they enable For firms like Rolls Royce and Uber, data are at to lure in advertisers and other interested parties. data are, primarily, a resource that can be used enues. For companies like Google and Facebook, can be used in a variety of ways to generate revoverview of some different platform types, data a model that demands more users in order to gain used in various ways. As we have seen in this brief model for extracting data as raw material to be these characteristics make platforms a central network effects, and as a digitally based medium that makes recording and storage simple. All of goods and technologies to be built on top of it, as DNA, as a model that enables other services and the other hand, has data extraction built into its the activities went unrecorded. The platform, on

model and the platform provides the ideal extractive apparatus.

cess of outsourcing and building lean business as contractors and on-call workers. This proworkers in non-traditional employment, such employment gains in America have come from ers. On a broader scale, all of the post-2008 net retaining a core of well-paid high-skill labourand control. These firms simply continue the secular trend of outsourcing low-skill workers while incredibly comprehensive systems of surveillance force of warehouse workers who are subject to And Amazon has a notoriously low-paid workcontent on social media and in cloud storage.90 estimated 100,000 workers search through the is typically done in the Philippines, where an with outsourcing are still involved. For instance, content moderation for Google and Facebook Even companies that are not normally associated The same goes for the trend towards outsourcing. an ongoing process since the 1970s, and digital a series of long-term trends and short-term platforms continue it in heightened form today. duction and 'just in time' supply chains has been cyclical movements. The shift towards lean pro-This new business form has intertwined with

ment opportunities rather than the vanguard destined to revive capitalism. an era of ultra-low interest rates and dire investmately appears as an outlet for surplus capital in Set in context, the lean platform economy ultifor tech start-ups has massively surged since 2010. various ways. It is no surprise, then, that funding that a large amount of capital seeks out returns in and easy monetary policies have all combined, so rate world. Tax evasion, high corporate savings, amount of surplus capital set in a low interest of exploitable workers has intersected with a vast workers in low-wage, low-skill work. This group has therefore provided a considerable supply of An increasingly desperate surplus population its of American firms would drop by a fifth'.91 back to the average level of the 1990s, the profdomestic gross earnings paid in wages were to rise forced to admit that, since 2008, 'if the share of of their profitability after the crisis has stemmed from holding wages down. Even the Economist is generate profits. As we have seen, though, much models gets taken to an extreme in firms like Uber, which rely on a virtually asset-less form to

While lean platforms seem to be a short-lived phenomenon, the other examples set out in this

of information, these companies are becoming own capacities. Far from being mere owners and spending significant amounts of money to their effects on the broader economy. must be taken into account in any analysis of the monopolistic tendencies of these platforms owners of the infrastructures of society. Hence purchase other companies and to invest in their platforms are all building large infrastructures to be virtually asset-less, the most significant tion. Likewise, while lean platforms have aimed rhetoric that obscures the realities of the situaship, but rather the concentration of ownership us be clear, though: this is not the end of owner to declare that the age of ownership is over. Let vices in a variety of new industries, leading some upon which the rest of the industry operates tics, and design, by providing the basic landscape they have prominence over manufacturing, logis how capitalist firms operate. Enabled by digital chapter seem to point to an important shift in Pieties about an 'age of access' are just empty They have enabled a shift from products to ser technology, platforms emerge as the means to lead and control industries. At their pinnacle

Great Platform Wars

erate growth in this situation, in the 1990s the overcapacity in manufacturing. Unable to gensteadily continued and, alongside it, the crisis of invest in new lines, international competition has ing and unable to destroy their fixed capital or to manufacturing sector. As companies were unwilldled by overcapacity and overproduction in the context of the long downturn - that period since pitalist competition. In Chapter 1 we set out the of the fundamental drivers of capitalism: intracaset in the longer history of capitalism? In particuthe 1970s when the global economy has been sadthe digital economy, how do they appear when If platforms are the emerging business model for lar, up to this point we have largely left out one