Diplomacy in East Asia Jan Polišenský, MSc., Ph.D. Spring 2019



Diplomacy in East Asia

Course Schedule

- The course is taught bi-weekly. Therefore, every session will be composed of two lectures.
- Session 01 Introduction
 - Tuesday 19.02.2019
- Session 02 Diplomacy and Foreign policy making & leadership in East Asia
 - Tuesday 5.03.2019
- Session 03 Public Diplomacy and Cultural Diplomacy
 Tuesday 19.03.2019
- Session 04 Coercive, Gunboat and Preventive Diplomacy
 Tuesday 2.04.2019



Diplomacy in East Asia

- Research paper 49 % (Obligatory)
- Final examination 51 % (Obligatory)

5

Research Paper Introduction - Why is the topic important - Focus of the paper - Aim and Goal - Methodology - Research Question - Literature overview and discussion Body - 3 chapters Conclusion - same as Introduction. What was the aim, and if the aim was fulfilled. Sources - Rule of thumb. Number of pages = number of sources. Cite everything. - At least 1 book, At least 2 academic articles, 1 primary source and others 6

Research Paper

- Research Paper Due on 14th MAY 2019 Central European Time.
- The students may choose their own topic, after a consultation with the instructor. More detailed information about the research paper will be provided by the instructor during lectures. The essay must include research question, the length is minimum **2 500** words (not counting bibliography). The format of the paper should be standard academic article.
- The Submission of the essay is through IS.MUNI system.
- I will not accept late papers 😊

Final examination

- Final test
- Midterm will consist of short essay questions focused on the problems presented in the assigned readings and/or discussed in the lectures and seminars. Further information will be given during the lectures. The midterm will also have a blind map test of key countries in the region.
- The FINAL test will be in form of essay question focused on the problems presented in the assigned readings and/or discussed in the lectures and seminars. Further information will be given during the lectures.
- During the exam period (January 2018 February 2018) you can retake the midterm and the final test according to the Study and Examination Rules of the Masaryk University (<u>https://www.muni.cz/en/about-us/official-notice-board/mu-study-and-examination-regulations</u>).

8

Grades and grading scale

Letter Grade	Percentage	Description
Credited	50 – 100	Passed
Not credited	0 – 49	Fail. The student has not succeeded in mastering the subject matter covered in the course.

9

The theory of Diplomacy History of Diplomacy

Foreign Policy

Foreign Policy

- The strategy or approach chosen by the national governments to achieve its goals in its relations with external entities.

- This includes decisions to do nothing.
- Foreign policy is designed to protect and promote the national interest abroad

Diplomacy

- Diplomacy has probably existed for as long as civilization has.
- The easiest way to understand it is to start by seeing it as a **system of structured communication between two or more parties**.
- Records of regular contact via envoys travelling between neighboring civilizations date back at least 2500 years.
 - They lacked many of the characteristics and commonalities of modern diplomacy such as embassies, international law and professional diplomatic services.

What is Diplomacy ?

Diplomacy

- is the management of relations between states and between states and other actors.

- From a state perspective, diplomacy is concerned with advising, shaping and implementing foreign policy.

 As such it is the means by which states through their formal and other representatives, as well as other actors, articulate, coordinate and secure particular or wider interests, using correspondence, private talks, exchanges of view, lobbying, visits, threats and other related activities.



- Diplomacy has been changing over centuries and is the basis of how states communicate.
- Diplomacy has changed substantially, in Genghis Khan era,
 - diplomats were given immunity and further international laws implementation, starting the age where ethical foreign and international law along with diplomatic immunity were implemented between states (Weathford, 2017)



The art of Diplomacy

• Making peace is easier when you have shown you can make war

- (Fletcher, 2016, p. 2)



JP3 adam watson Jan Polišenský; 27.01.2019

- The essential condition of diplomacy is thus plurality.
- It arises out of the coexistence of a multitude of independent states in an interdependent world.

19

Diplomacy

- Initially diplomacy appears as a sporadic communication between very separate states, such as the Kingdom of the Pharaohs in ancient Egypt and the Kingdom of the Hittites, which found themselves in contact with one another through the trade conducted by their merchants and through disputes over border territories.
- To put it more formally, the purpose of diplomacy was initially, and still is, to reconcile the assertion of political will by independent entities

- The ability to conduct a diplomatic dialogue with other states is a hallmark of statehood, the importance which external contacts have varies in practice from one state to another.
- Sometimes the interests of a number of states are so closely intertwined, and the activities and indeed the existence of every state are sometimes so largely determined by what its neighbours do, that there is a 'primacy of foreign policy' in every such state's decisions is necessary to look at the historical origins and the cultural context of any given diplomatic practice in order to understand it.



- Independent states deal bilaterally with each other and meet together in multilateral organizations not only because they have interests in common, but also because they have interests which conflict.
- Moreover, the fact of independence fosters suspicion and doubts.
- Another power may be insincere in what it says and promises; or if sincere it may change its mind.
- History is full of examples of conflict, duplicity and reversals of policy, and the news brings fresh examples every day.
 - Diplomacy is intimately concerned with these problems.

23

Diplomacy

- It is an organized pattern of communication and negotiation, nowadays continuous, which enables each independent government to learn what other governments want and what they object to.
- In a developed international society it becomes more than an instrument of communication and bargaining.

- Conflicts of interest are a major subject of diplomacy
 - which can function effectively only when the necessary level of understanding exists between the parties to the dialogue about the maintenance of the system as a whole
 - the rules for the promotion of their separate interests within the system.
 - The diplomatic dialogue is thus the instrument of international society:
 - a civilized process based on awareness and respect for other people's points of view; and a civilizing one also, because the continuous exchange of ideas, and the attempts to find mutually acceptable solutions to conflicts of interest, increase that awareness and respect



JP4 diplomacy and the making of world politics Jan Polišenský; 27.01.2019

Diplomacy as a Practice

- The interaction among sovereign states inevitably produces diplomacy
 - the dialogue of states talking to states about the business of states.
 - This is the "infrastructure of world politics,"
 - Diplomacy is a subset of these dialogues, where the broader set also includes private negotiations and secret interactions.
 - Negotiation involves trading interests toward an agreement, where reaching a point of agreement is essential to moving forward on a common project

27

Diplomacy

- It requires several actors in pursuit of their private interests where coordination with the other(s) carries the possibility of a greater payoff than does independent action.
- Secret interactions are defined by the state's failure to provide a public justification for its action the public justification being the crucial component of diplomacy.
- The absence of a public justification may have many reasons, one being that the state finds itself outside the bounds of the available resources of justification, that is, outside of existing international law.

Diplomacy as a Social Practice

• It is a form of interaction among social actors that is framed by the existing social structures of rules, norms, and habits, and that is in turn productive of these structures.

Social component of diplomacy

- Diplomacy is, first of all, a social activity.
- It connects a public language to the business of the state, giving meaning, reasons, and explanations for state action.
- It is embedded in a social context of reasons, rules, and meanings that exists before the interaction.

Diplomacy as a Compotent

- The primary component of the contemporary legalized international order is the notion of an international rule of law in which states are expected to abide by the legal commitments that they take on.
- Through treaties, custom, and other mechanisms, the content of these commitments might be subject to competing interpretations, but the underlying idea of the rule of law and the importance of compliance are universally espoused and are presented as morally, legally, and politically good by states and publicists.



The Art of Diplomacy and the System

- France sent its military to Cote D'Ivoire (Ivory Coast) in 2011, it explained itself in relation to the international rules and norms on humanitarian intervention, and especially the actions of the United Nations.
 - The power of this diplomacy came from its ability to distinguish between the image of humanitarian intervention and images of imperialism and neocolonialism.
 - The competing narratives of humanitarian intervention, colonialism, and imperialism are like the "symbolic tokens" described by Zygmunt Bauman – resources deployed by agents that signal membership in groups or ways sof thinking.

33

The Art of Diplomacy and the System

- With the use of these tokens, diplomacy involves both internal and external processes in the state.
- Internal deliberation draws on the conceptual resources that exist in the external legal environment and may be done with an external audience in mind.
- As the state deliberates within itself about the meaning of its interests, obligations, and behavior, the connection between these interests and their international legality is never irrelevant, and so the power of legal resources to define legality is consequential even without an external audience.

The Art of Diplomacy and the System

- The deliberation within the Bush administration prior to invading Iraq in 2003 shows some of this:
 - a behind-closed-doors consideration of the complexity among interests, rules, and actions, which included a need to reconcile the invasion with a selfunderstanding of the US government as a peaceful rather than an aggressive actor.
 - The prohibition against aggression under international law forced the war's planners to define their policy as something other than that.
 - The result was a series of attempts to associate the invasion with American self-defense, humanitarian rescue for people in Iraq, and a defense of the UN Security Council's resolutions against the Iraqi government.



The Art of Diplomacy and the System

- This suggests that international diplomacy bridges between state interests and their external environment in two ways
 - first, states make use of legal resources and contribute through that use to remaking them,
 - second, states exercise agency in the construction of their legal positions but within constraints set by the history and politics of their context.
 - The first suggests the mutual constitution of states and rules (or interests and international law), and the second suggests the interconnection between structure and agents.
 - International diplomacy can show the way for IR scholars in the empirical study of mutually implicating phenomena.

Diplomacy and State Centrism

- The second feature of international diplomacy is that as a practice, it is necessarily connected to states rather than to other kinds of actors.
- This does not mean that non-state actors cannot engage in the practice rather, it means that when they do, they are engaged in an activity that is directed toward states, in a process of using international social resources to influence state behavior.
- This follows naturally from the formal structure of the activity and its connection to the state-centric framework of public international law: only states are obligated under public international law, and only states find themselves in a position to claim credit or earn demerits for following or breaking international law.



Productive Aspect of Diplomacy

- As states use international law to explain their behavior, they contribute to remaking and reinforcing those rules.
- Diplomacy therefore has a "productive" effect in the sense of the term defined by Barnett and Duvall
 - it produces the public, social, and legal resources with which future state behavior is understood, justified, and argued over.
 - This is the effect identified by Sending, Pouliot, and Neumann by which "forms of diplomacy come to constitute the basic political fabric of world politics."



Productive Aspect of Diplomacy

• When the US argued in its "war on terror" that Its detainees were illegal enemy combatants and therefore not covered by the Geneva Conventions

- it was generally seen as having made an error of legal interpretation.
- As a social practice, diplomacy has these three formal qualities:
 - sociality,
 - state centrism,
 - and a productive effect.
- The substantive content comes from its connection with international law, and especially with the ideology of the rule of law,



Diplomacy, War, and World Politics

• Diplomacy

- It is the 'art' of resolving negotiations peacefully.

- Paul Sharp,
 - diplomacy is about the maintenance of peaceful relations between
 - separate political entities
 - War marks the "failure of diplomacy."



Diplomacy, War, and World Politics

- Keith Hamilton and Richard Langhorne
 - define diplomacy as "the peaceful conduct of relations amongst political entities."
- Realists, and certainly strategists, by contrast, do not necessarily align diplomacy with peace.
- Diplomacy can be about building alliances and delivering threats. But diplomacy is still seen as distinct from war.
 - Even "coercive diplomacy," according to Alexander George, is an "alternative to war.

Clausewitz

• Clausewitz had many aphorisms, of which the most famous is "War is the continuation of politics by other means."

War and Peace

- The place to begin is with a prior binary: that between war and peace.
- Only through some version of this binary can diplomacy be distinguished from war or aligned with peace. One must first have an idea of peace as distinct from war to place diplomacy on one side or another.
- In IR, juridical and Eurocentric ideas inform the determination of states of peace and war.
- The criteria used elide the forms of violence characteristic of international hierarchies, whether in empires or in other kinds of world order projects that involve armed conflict between the strong and the weak, as in the Cold War in the Third World or the War on Terror.

Definition of Diplomacy

• Diplomacy is in various ways defined and distinguished by the fact that it involves communication and negotiation

- Harold Nicholson, diplomacy is "the management of international relations by negotiation.".



The Definition of Diplomacy

• Diplomacy can be defined as a process between actors (diplomats, usually representing a state) who exist within a system (international relations) and engage in private and public dialogue (diplomacy) to pursue their objectives in a peaceful manner.















55



- ARTICLE 20
 - The mission and its head shall have the right to use the flag and emblem of the sending State on the premises of the mission, including the residence of the head of the mission, and on his means of transport.

The Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961) Summary of Article 22 The premises of a diplomatic mission, such as an embassy, are inviolable and must not be entered by the host country except by permission of the head of the mission. Furthermore, the host country must protect the mission from intrusion or damage. The host country must never search the premises, nor seize its documents or property. Article 30 extends this provision to the private residence of the diplomats.

57

The Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961)

• ARTICLE 22

- The premises of the mission shall be inviolable. The agents of the receiving State may not enter them, except with the consent of the head of the mission.
- The receiving State is under a special duty to take all appropriate steps to protect the premises of the mission against any intrusion or damage and to prevent any disturbance of the peace of the mission or impairment of its dignity.
- The premises of the mission, their furnishings and other property thereon and the means of transport of the mission shall be immune from search, requisition, attachment or execution.







 The host country must permit and protect free communication between the diplomats of the mission and their home country. A diplomatic bag must never be opened even on suspicion of abuse. A diplomatic courier must never be arrested or detained.

61

The Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961)

• ARTICLE 27

- The receiving State shall permit and protect free communication on the part of the mission for all official purposes. In communicating with the Government and the other missions and consulates of the sending State, wherever situated, the mission may employ all appropriate means, including diplomatic couriers and messages in code or cipher. However, the mission may install and use a wireless transmitter only with the consent of the receiving State.
- The official correspondence of the mission shall be inviolable. Official correspondence means all correspondence relating to the mission and its functions.
- The diplomatic bag shall not be opened or detained.
- The packages constituting the diplomatic bag must bear visible external marks of their character and may contain only diplomatic documents or articles intended for official use.



him with due respect and shall take all appropriate steps to prevent

any attack on his person, freedom or dignity.

63

The Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961)

ARTICLE 27

- The diplomatic courier, who shall be provided with an official document indicating his status and the number of packages constituting the diplomatic bag, shall be protected by the receiving State in the performance of his functions. He shall enjoy person inviolability and shall not be liable to any form of arrest or detention.
- The sending State or the mission may designate diplomatic couriers ad hoc. In such cases the provisions of paragraph 5 of this article shall also apply, except that the immunities therein mentioned shall cease to apply when such a courier has delivered to the consignee the diplomatic bag in his charge.
- A diplomatic bag may be entrusted to the captain of a commercial aircraft scheduled to land at an authorized port of entry. He shall be provided with an official document indicating the number of packages constituting the bag but he shall not be considered to be a diplomatic courier. The mission may send one of its members to take possession of the diplomatic bag directly and freely from the captain of the aircraft.







- A diplomatic agent shall enjoy immunity from the criminal jurisdiction of the receiving State. He shall also enjoy immunity from its civil and administrative jurisdiction, except in the case of:
- A real action relating to private immovable property situated in the territory of the receiving State, unless he holds it on behalf of the sending State for the purposes of the mission;
- An action relating to succession in which the diplomatic agent is involved as executor, administrator, heir or legatee as a private person and not on behalf of the sending State;

67

• ARTICLE 31

- An action relating to any professional or commercial activity exercised by the diplomatic agent in the receiving State outside his official functions.
- A diplomatic agent is not obliged to give evidence as a witness.
- No measures of execution may be taken in respect of a diplomatic agent except in the cases coming under subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) of paragraph 1 of this article, and provided that the measures concerned can be taken without infringing the inviolability of his person or of his residence.
- The immunity of a diplomatic agent from the jurisdiction of the receiving State does not exempt him from the jurisdiction of the sending State.






The Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961)

ARTICLE 37

- The members of the family of a diplomatic agent forming part of his household shall, if they are not nationals of the receiving State, enjoy the privileges and immunities specified in articles 29 to 36.
- Members of the administrative and technical staff of the mission, together with members of their families forming part of their respective households, shall, if they are not nationals of or permanently resident in the receiving State, enjoy the privileges and immunities specified in articles 29 to 35, except that the immunity from civil and administrative jurisdiction of the receiving State specified in paragraph 1 of article 31 shall not extend to acts performed outside the course of their duties. They shall also enjoy the privileges specified in article 36, paragraph 1, in respect of articles imported at the time of first installation.





Tools of Diplomacy

- According to Palmer and Perkins a Diplomat has five Functions:
 - Representation
 - Negotiations
 - Reporting
 - Protections of national Interest abroad
 - Maintenance of International peace and promotion of peace and cooperation
- Role of Diplomacy In Foreign Policy



Tasks of Diplomacy

• Continue:

- International negotiation
- Duty of protection
- Normative/legal
 - rule making
 - mediation/pacific settlement



Diplomacy

- The function of diplomacy is acquisition of information and assessment, including acting as a listening post or early warning system.
 - an embassy, if it is functioning conventionally and not all are should identify any key issues and domestic or external patterns, together with their implications, in order to advise or warn the sending government.
 - Humphrey Trevelyan notes on embassies:
 - Apart from negotiating, the ambassador's basic task is to report on the political, social and economic conditions in the country in which he (she) is living, on the policy of its government and on his conversations with political leaders, officials and anyone else who has illuminated the local scene for him.

77

Contribution to international order

- diplomatic functions relating to conflict, disputes and international order.
 - In the multilateralist view, an important function of diplomacy is the creation, drafting and amendment of a wide variety of international rules of a normative and regulatory kind that provide structure in the international system.
 - The principal normative objective of diplomacy from a multilateralist perspective is contribution to the creation of universal rules. Multilateralism is thus distinct from other approaches, such as regionalism, and in direct contrast to narrow state power preoccupation, for example 'soft' power; 'smart' power.

Diplomacy

• Adam Watson suggests:

 - 'the central task of diplomacy is not just the management of order, but the management of change and the maintenance by continued persuasion of order in the midst of change'



Diplomatic methods

- Cooperative methods also often include, especially in visits
 diplomacy, ceremonial or symbolic events to reinforce the visit.
- Symbolic visits to memorials, commemorative events, public grounds or opening ceremonies serve to signal the importance or significance of the event or bilateral relationship.
- The symbolism is strengthened by multimedia and social coverage
- On the other hand shifting from cooperative methods to indicate dissatisfaction is achieved through informal briefing, formal statements, tabling draft amendments at an international or regional organisation, or, in extreme cases, withdrawal of funding, or veto.



Diplomatic methods

- Media strategies directed at improving 'presence' objectives generally address key component parts of presence:
 - general external perceptions; acquisition of track record; perceived effectiveness; perceived value
 - The second media area getting the message across has traditionally relied on briefing media leaks, press conferences and spokespersons.





• Resistance and delay

- Strategies based on resistance or seeking delay move diplomacy potentially into non-cooperative areas, if positions are held, rather than shifting to accommodation.

- Methods include:
 - seeking clarification;
 - calls for further meetings;
 - drafting changes, with the aim of changing, delaying or blocking proposals or initiatives.
- Delaying methods of this type effectively seek to 'buy time' in a variety of contexts such as: gaining more preferred wording in a draft convention; protecting a core economic interest; avoiding environmental costs; achieving greater internal security; staving off external pressure for internal reform; or supporting an ally.



Diplomatic Methods

• Expansion strategy

 In expansion strategy, states and other actors seek to extend their influence and diplomatic space through groupings, institutions, dialogue and representation rather than in a territorial sense.

- Expansion strategies have three hub elements:
 - membership,
 - media and
 - representation.









The use of bilateral and multilateral relations

- The principal disadvantages of bilateral diplomacy are that it is time consuming and limits international contacts, unless supported by multilateral initiatives.
- The routine care and maintenance of bilateral relations requires significant commitments of organisation resources and may fragment expertise.
- In dependent bilateral relations, the dependent power may be vulnerable to coercive diplomacy and corresponding loss of foreign policy control if the main power seeks support on wider foreign policy issues as the 'price' of favoured bilateral status



The use of bilateral and multilateral relations

- Bilateral relations aim to develop **joint ideas**, often as dominant directing concepts in regional and international fora, and the protection of shared interests.
- Bilateral special relations are distinguished by high levels of militarybureaucratic coordination, summits, extensive political cooperation and a network of formal treaties.
- Most special relations also involve informal secret arrangements in such areas as intelligence, weapons supply and security guarantees.
- A further distinguishing feature of some special relations is the manner in which adverse historical legacy is underplayed or managed as political theatre, in order not to undermine overall political cooperation.
- Above all, the main characteristic of most bilateral special relations is the concern of one or both parties to retain exclusivity or the fiction of exclusivity, and exclude or reduce the significance of access by other actors.

• https://www.asef.org/images/docs/ModelASEM_Diplo_Multilateral Diplomacy.pdf

Multilateral relations

- Multilateral diplomacy has now become an established and diverse feature of modern diplomacy, conducted through global institutions, permanent conferences and a variety of regional and pan-regional institutions.
- Multilateral international institutions provide a global arena for states and other actors in which participation demonstrates their sovereign equality, masking but not removing disparities of economic and other power. The state is able to project its views and receive diplomatic recognition of its identity

Multilateral relations

- Multilateral institutions, in addition, provide a framework or sense of solidarity within which states are able to display independence and operate within larger group fora.
- The institutions themselves are also seen as the preferred route or vehicle for articulating concepts of international order.
- General rule making in a wide variety of areas, the containment of conflict and conflict resolution are primary goals, in contrast to restrictive non-multilateralists who emphasise ad hoc, like-minded groups operating outside or independently of multilateral institutions, restricting these primarily to roles mobilising collective sanctions

95

Multilateral relations

- Other factors influencing multilateralism derive specifically from aspects of foreign policy orientation.
- For some states, bilateral relations are not seen as a viable option, given the range of economic and other political interests.
- Multilateral institutions may also be favoured by those states that seek to depoliticise their foreign policy and assume an anodyne role in international relations.
 - For example German and Japanese foreign humanitarian assistance is channelled largely through UN agencies such as the United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF), so reducing political exposure by multilateralising the aid

Diplomatic Visits

Visits

 In general, visits are the workhorse for the strategic management of relations and policy, particularly in bilateral and also to a lesser extent in multilateral diplomacy. Broadly the purposes of visits fall into (though may cross over) one of the following five categories:

- Symbolic
- improving diplomatic space
- addressing (or not) substantive issues
- Signalling
- • other purposes, e.g. reorientation.



Diplomatic Visits

- A second important feature of visits is that they may be part of efforts to
- improve the diplomatic space and overall freedom of action of a state. Thus,
- states use visits and other methods such as initiatives (discussed below) to
- develop their credibility or international reputation, stake a claim to an
- idea, propose institutional reforms, or, quite simply, acquire a lead role or
- influence on an issue such as UN reform, genocide, climate change.

99

Diplomatic Visits

- Third, visits are used for **substantive purposes**, such as an exchange of views, coordination of policy prior to a regional or multilateral gathering, or the negotiation of a bilateral issue (e.g. Saudi Arabia–Syria over Lebanon), or other agreements regulating relations such as a framework agreement for transborder relations.
 - In the latter, negotiations visits by heads of government or foreign ministers are generally likely to be at the **initial or concluding stages** of the talks (e.g. applying political persuasion or pressure to initiate renegotiation of stalled talks, or breaking deadlock in the final stages of negotiations)

Diplomatic Visits

- Fourth, visits may be used for a number of other specific purposes.
 - These include signalling an important shift in a policy; for example the Sharon–Abbas meeting marked a significant move in the resumption of Israel–Palestinian talks aimed at attempting to resolve the Palestinian and other related issues.
 - A further important use of visits by heads of government or foreign ministers is to indicate foreign policy reorientation. The visit to Spain of Venezuela's President Chavez, following his re-election, was part of a policy of shifting relations away from the USA



Side Diplomacy

• While providing opportunities for contact, such occasions present difficult protocol decisions on whether to attend the event or not, and the rank of person attending the decline in the phenomenon of the charismatic leader with long historical reach has reduced the importance and, above all, impact of this method.

103

Side Diplomacy

- side-diplomacy in its main form has the following advantages:
 - it avoids public visits
 - it is conducted in (relative) privacy
 - key leaders are able to focus
 - it is a vehicle for initial contact after break or hostility
 - it provides opportunity for personal diplomacy
 - it facilitates meetings or contact with several leaders in one location.

Diplomacy by Visits

- The effectiveness of diplomacy by visits
 - visits are an important part of the repertoire of diplomacy.
 - However, they do not automatically produce the desired effect and their value may be misperceived or misinterpreted. Effectiveness may be extremely limited if relations remain conducted largely at a ceremonial level.
 - An economic or cultural agreement may not be implemented or followed up, and in this sense ceremony outweighs substance



Diplomacy by Visits

- Bilateral visits may also suffer from other factors, such as inappropriate
- timing, dissimilar expectations, perceptions of different purposes and over-exaggeration of pressure or leverage.
- Visits may less frequently be proposed largely as diversion from domestic political difficulties.
- These former instances, particularly different conceptions of what might be achieved, suggest some of the principal weaknesses of this type of diplomacy.

107

Diplomacy by Visits

- Some states such as North Korea, the PRC, Cuba and Japan rely heavily, or almost exclusively, on bilateral diplomacy, using in-bound visits as distinct from multilateral or plurilateral fora, or a mixture of the two.
- While in-bound visits provide the receiving state with advantages, such as controlling the nature of the setting or agenda, major limitations are related to time



- Getting the message across: signaling
 - Signalling is an established part of traditional diplomacy, and provides additional or other means of communication. It is, however, one of the least straightforward aspects of statecraft.
 - Signalling may be defined as the use of verbal (e.g. unilateral statements, policy announcements) and non-verbal communication (e.g. appointments, release of POWs, using an agenda that omits areas of difference and focuses on areas of possible cooperation, non-attendance, level of representative, recall of an ambassador).
 - Frequently, signalling uses economic instruments to indicate intentions or bring about changes in behaviour of another actor.
 - For example the USA eased its trade embargo on North Korea in 1990 as part of the Five Point engagement policy of the Reagan Administration







- Both forms of indirect communication are subject to misinterpretation, which is a particular feature of this method.
- Signalling occurs for the following reasons or types of contexts:
 - abnormal relations (an absence or break in diplomatic relations)
 - conflict/dispute resolution
 - intersessional conference communication
 - an indication of review, or shift of policy
 - exploratory first moves
 - minimising politicisation or exposure of institutions and/or
 - decisionmakers
 - an escalation in a crisis.

Indirect Communication

- The use of indirect communication reduces the political cost or impact of rebuff or failure.
- Non-verbal measures such as trade concessions or aid programmes can be retracted relatively easily through non-implementation or being allowed to lapse.
- The use of a general or indirect statement reduces over-politicisation of an organisation or decisionmaker and can demonstrate, by collective statements, collective solidarity.
 - The IMF for example, as part of the review of its role in international debt management, has reviewed differing signalling devices it might use to indicate to IMF members and other international or financial bodies its assessment of the performance recovery or credit-worthiness of borrowing states





Reception and Misperception

- The principal difficulties with signalling centre around the questions of reception and misperception.
- It is not always clear who the target is or whether the message has been received.
 - This aspect is particularly evident in relations with
 - isolated (e.g. North Korea, Burma, Sudan)
 - fragmented (e.g. Indonesia, Congo, Haiti, Pakistan)
 - revolutionary or theocratic regimes (so-called dualist states, e.g. Iran).
- Further difficulties occur in that the messages may be untargeted, such as a general appeal for restraint (e.g. Security Council Resolution), unilateral acts or open statements.
- In the Ukraine election transition crisis, for example, President Putin undertook 'to work with any government'.
- The impact of open statements or appeals such as this tends to be weakened in that there is no clear recipient; the message may also contradict previous behaviour or be ambiguous about implementation

115

Diplomacy: Initiatives Initiatives are non-routine proposals put forward on a particular issue or problem. They may take the form of a sponsored draft resolution, new draft articles, proposals for restarting talks or similar moves to break deadlock, develop ideas and rules, and move forward issues. Initiatives are frequently undertaken in conjunction with two or more other states as co-sponsors in the context of multilateral conferences. In other instances, behind-the-scenes 'quiet diplomacy' is a vehicle for putting forward initiatives, especially in long-running disputes, for example Finland in the Aceh dispute. Where immediate impact and a wide élite audience are required, ideas are periodically floated as de facto proposals in the major international press.





Diplomacy and the theories

- Diplomacy has been theorized long before the development of the subfield of diplomatic theory that we currently associate with the academic discipline of International Relations (IR).
- Within modern academia, theorizing is commonly perceived as a systematization of thinking, an extensive elaboration of ideas and principles governing or seeking to explain a particular phenomenon that there is a lot of diplomatic theory around, including when writers do not name what they do as 'diplomatic theory'.

119

Early Diplomatic Thoughts

- Among classical, medieval and modern thinkers of diplomacy, a key and recurring issue has been the outlining of the necessary conditions for fulfilling the diplomatic mission.
- It includes the **demarcation of the role of the diplomatic agent**, delineating the skills and ethics of the ideal ambassador within different diplomatic cultures.
- One of the earliest exchanges on this subject is found in the orations of Aeschines and Demosthenes on *The False Embassy (Peri Parapresbeias*), which concerned legal charges pressed by the latter on the former for his ambassadorial conduct in **fourth-century BCE Athens, following a series of embassies to the Macedonians**

Early Diplomatic Thoughts

- Another key focus of the diplomatic craft has been negotiation.
- Not only the *conditions* for a successful negotiation but the *ends* of
- negotiation have been a major concern for philosophers and practitioners.
- In seventeenth-century Europe, **Cardinal Richelieu** has been the key thinker of *continuous negotiation*, elevated and valorized as an end in itself, including during war and even with no possible agreement in sight

121

Early Diplomatic Thoughts

- The idea of **continuous negotiation** underscored the importance of always retaining open channels of communication, so that compromise and settlement could follow when conditions allowed for them some time in the future.
- This notion further highlighted the value of indirection or multi-directionality in diplomacy, the importance of negotiating for 'side effects'.
- These sideway pursuits could occur not merely strategically or as a devious objective of negotiation, but as a pragmatic response when stalemates have been reached, informing and reformulating unsustainable policy objectives

Diplomacy and Strategy

- Philosophers-practitioners have reflected on *strategy*, often depicted as a crucial ingredient of diplomacy that underscores the means-ends method of getting one's way with others.
- In this regard, the importance of deceit and dissimulation, or less darkly of ambiguity, has been highlighted by thinkers from **Sun Tzu to Machiavelli**.
- Crucially retriever from these strategic thinkers, although often singularly and absolutely interpreted, has been the bypassing of restraining ethics or the development of a different ethics (i.e. public morality vs private morality) in determining a course of action.
 - The prevalent motto in such diplomatic thinking is that 'the end always justifies the means',



Diplomacy and IR

- Diplomacy has long been neglected as a preoccupation of international theory. To repair this deficiency, this essay focuses upon bargaining over interstate disputes and makes two distinctions.
- One is between diplomacy as independent and as dependent variable



Diplomacy and Theories of International Relations

- Among most scholars of diplomacy that their chosen field and its subject are unjustly and unwisely neglected by their mainstream IR colleagues
- The claim of diplomacy's neglect is only true up to a point because, as even a cursory glance at some of the major works in IR reveals, quite a lot of attention is devoted to something called *diplomacy* (Morgenthau 1967, Kissinger 1994, to students of diplomacy, they tend to respond that what people like Morgenthau and Kissinger call diplomacy is not, properly speaking, diplomacy but something else, for example, foreign policy or statecraft.

127

Diplomacy and Theories of International Relations

- IR developed as a state-centric field of inquiry, and very much remains one today.
- States, their roles and their significance remain the axis around which inquiry revolves.
- Even the descants and challenges to their privileged position which are proliferating still seem to reinforce, rather than undermine, this centrality.
 - As a consequence, diplomacy has been seen in mainstream IR as a state practice.
 - It is assumed to exist, and exist in the way that it does, because states and the modern system of sovereign territorial states exist.
 - It is assumed to function in accordance with the interests, priorities and concerns of these entities. In short, for most scholars the sovereign territorial state provides diplomacy's raison d'etre.

Diplomacy ???

• Especially in the United States (US), the term is used as a synonym for foreign policy or international relations in general

Diplomacy and Theories of International Relations

- Holsti Diplomacy is presented in mainstream IR as an instrument of foreign policy along with propaganda, economic rewards and punishments, and the threat or use of force to crush or punish
- Morgenthau, in particular, presents diplomacy as an **undervalued instrument** of foreign policy and one which, if used properly, confers the advantages of a force multiplier, and a morally significant one at that.
 - *Good diplomacy* enhances the more material instruments of power allowing a state to 'punch above its weight' or achieve what it wants more cheaply.
 - *Bad diplomacy* can result in a state using its other foreign policy instruments unwisely and underperforming as a consequence.
 - In addition, however, good diplomacy is good because it is associated with pursuing foreign policy objectives peacefully and taking a bigger picture view of what needs to be done.

Diplomacy and Theories of International Relations

- If we can accept that states, or their representatives, very often approach matters of common concern simply by talking things through, then diplomacy may be seen as an *instrument* of foreign policy.
 - One way of getting what you want is by talking to other people.
- However, the claims in mainstream IR that diplomacy can render foreign policy more efficient, serve as a force multiplier or constitute a morally better way of conducting international relations all pose problems for the idea that diplomacy is simply one among several instruments of foreign policy.
- As soon as states move from simply talking to communicating threats and promises about punishments and rewards, then diplomacy moves from simply being an instrument of foreign policy to being a *medium* by which the possible use of the other instruments is communicated.
- It may be important, indeed necessary, but it is no more interesting than the processes by which the message gets delivered, especially when compared to the things being communicated

131

Diplomacy and Theories of International Relations

- There is more to getting what states want than simply communicating it and what they are prepared to do or give to get it.
- And when the idea of diplomacy is imbued with the notion that it is a particularly good way for states to get what they want because it is generally cheaper than the alternatives and peaceful, then this raises the question of *for whom* and *for what purposes* diplomacy may be regarded as an instrument.
 - If monopolized by statecraft, it thus raises questions of representation and inclusiveness as well as of substate and transnational interests.







- Diplomacy as a way of enhancing (or inhibiting) the effectiveness of other foreign policy instruments has been treated as statecraft
- Diplomacy viewed as a good way of handling international relations has been treated as a subfield of the ethics of international and human conduct in general,
 - as a component of international institution building and as a practice being superseded and displaced by the emergence of global governance and public diplomacy conducted by the representatives of an emerging civil society (Seib 2009).

Diplomatic Theory And Critical IR

- Critical IR theorists draw attention to the problems
 - Drawing on a variety of sources in philosophy, psychology, sociology, linguistics, literature and the arts
- It is impossible to make true or false claims about what diplomacy really is, only from what people say it is and how they use the term.

Diplomatic Theory And Critical IR Critical perspectives in diplomacy have challenged dominant accounts of

- Critical perspectives in diplomacy have challenged dominant accounts what diplomacy is or ought to be.
 - Most of these approaches seek to expose the ethical and power implications of different practices of diplomacy, and specifically the marginalizations, hierarchies, exclusions and alienations that these practices consciously or unconsciously produce.
 - Some of these approaches are sympathetic to diplomacy as a practice for managing a world composed of agents equipped with positivist and universal, yet competing, understandings of this world.
 - Critical approaches have helped to bring the field of diplomatic studies into conversation with other fields of IR and underscored the significance of opening up diplomacy to scholarly developments beyond the discipline.

Diplomatic Theory And Critical IR

- New theoretical perspectives in diplomacy have been provided from within disciplines beyond IR, such as sociology, anthropology, psychology, theology, philosophy and cultural studies as well as from within cross-disciplinary perspectives.
 - Such studies support the need for a more plural understanding and broadly conceived notion of diplomacy.
 - A conceptual history of diplomacy treats diplomacy as a contingent phenomenon.
 - Understanding 'diplomacy' as a concept implies acceptance of ambiguity and a surplus of meaning.



Morgenthau on Diplomacy

• According to Hans J Morgenthau

 To carry out such diplomacy, he argued, nations had three tools at their disposal

- Persuasion
- Compromise
- and the threat of force.
- You can't fall too much in love with any one of these tools.
- To maximize diplomacy's effectiveness, countries must blend and match these tools to best deal with particular problems and situations.


Morgenthau on Diplomacy

• And his five prerequisites for compromise are:

- "Give up the Shadow of Worthless Rights for the Substance of Real Advantage" [i.e., don't be too legalistic or ideological]
- "Never Put Yourself in a Position from Which You Cannot Retreat Without Losing Face and from Which You Cannot Advance Without Grave Risks"
- "Never Allow a Weak Ally to Make Decisions for You"
- "The Armed Forces Are the Instrument of Foreign Policy, Not Its Master"; and
- "The Government Is the Leader of Public Opinion, Not Its Slave".



Dependent and Independent Variable

• Analysis of diplomacy as dependent variable also focuses on the degree to which diplomatic practice adapts to these constraints.

 Nicolson's idea of 'protean' diplomacy presumably incorporates the potential of diplomats and their governments to adapt to political, military, and economic changes affecting the fate of diplomatic initiatives.



Negotiated and Non-negotiated

• Second distinction is between **negotiated** and **non-negotiated** types of bargaining.

 Diplomacy is negotiated when the interests of states cannot be fully reconciled, and explicit bargaining is required to reveal the area of agreement.

• For example, Hedley Bull notes that the problem for diplomacy is that 'states have different interests, and . . . common interests have first to be identified by a process of bargaining before any question of maximization of them can arise'.

145

Diplomacy as Dependent Variable

- Diplomacy as dependent variable refers to the consequences of specified constraints for the ability of states to cope diplomatically with disputes with other states.
 - Constraints have effects either as possibilities, with some courses of action made more difficult or impossible by the constraints and others easier to accomplish or newly possible; or as probabilities that, because of changes in the environment, specified courses of diplomatic action will be taken and others excluded.

Diplomacy as independent variable

- Martin Wight understands diplomacy as an independent variable when, contrasting the inevitability of war in general and the preventability of particular wars, he argues that the difference between them is explainable by diplomatic statecraft.
- 'It is the task of diplomacy', he writes, 'to circumvent the occasions of war, and to extend the series of circumvented occasions; to drive the automobile of state along a one way track, against head-on traffic, past infinitely recurring precipices'.

147

Diplomacy as Independent Variable

- In the nineteenth century, as in the mid-twentieth, the importance of independent diplomatic action was directly associated with the magnitude of the threat of great-power war.
- And while diplomacy was certainly employed as it was earlier for propaganda, deception and gamesmanship, its greater importance was to counter the prevailing tide of conflict at the time of the greatest need.
 - The crisis management dimension of diplomacy in particular can be fully examined, it appears, only by understanding diplomacy as an independent variable - that is to say, the use of statesmanship to counter the drift to war, rivalry, and mistrust - by reaching cooperative arrange ments in spite of those tendencies.





JP1 Essence of Diplomacy Jan Polišenský; 26.01.2019





The Study of Diplomacy

• Practitioners' insights

- In works written by diplomats or scholars-cum-practitioners there is a clear **prescriptive** bent.
- Diplomats have reflected on their own practice to an extent that few other professions can match.
- Much of this literature is in the form of memoirs.
- These, together with the succession of diplomatic manuals, while often prescriptive and value-laden, contain a wealth of useful information in need of systematization.



Realism and diplomacy

- Hans Morgenthau, for instance, the conduct of a nation's foreign affairs by its diplomats is for national power in peace what military strategy and tactics by its military leaders are for national power in war.
- It is the art of bringing the different elements of national power to bear with maximum effect upon those points in the international situation which concern the national interest most directly.
- Politics among Nations
 - Diplomacy is the only defense against war which is not seen as an anomaly since to fail in any of these four tasks may mean to "jeopardize the success of foreign policy and with it the peace of the world."



Realism and Diplomacy

- This argument, which may represent classical realism more generally,
- shows clearly why realism has not theorized diplomacy. Groups and
- states remain, but there may be changes in specific interests and power
- positions. Various tools are available in the pursuit or defense of these
- interests, and international change is a reflection of the deployment of
- these tools. What needs to be theorized is not the tools but those who
- are in possession of the tools states (and indeed, realism has spent
- considerable energy on theorizing the state)

157

Liberalism and diplomacy

- Liberalism and diplomacy
 - liberals tend to proceed from methodological individualism and conceptualize international relations as the sum total of state or actor behavior.
 - State behavior, in turn, is seen to be shaped by state-society relations.
 - Diplomacy, therefore, "takes place within a context of international rules, institutions, and practices, which affect the incentives of the actors.,,
 - diplomacy does not belong to the core matter of international relations, but is merely a tool for acting on incentives, and is therefore not a prioritized object of theoretical development

Diplomacy as an Institution

- Diplomacy, should be seen as an institution,
 - understood broadly as a relatively stable collection of social practices consisting of easily recognized *roles* coupled with underlying *norms* and a set of *rules* or conventions defining appropriate behavior for, and governing relations among, occupants of these roles.

- These norms and rules "prescribe behavioral roles, constrain activity, and shape expectations.



Diplomatic Norms and Rules

• Diplomatic norms and rules

- diplomacy rests on a norm of coexistence, allowing polities "to live and let live.,,
- In the words of Garrett Mattingly, "unless people realize that they have to live together, indefinitely, in spite of their differences, diplomats have no place to stand.

Diplomatic Norms and Rules

- Whereas the specific rules of the institution of diplomacy have varied over time, *reciprocity* appears to be a core normative theme running through all diplomatic practice.
- Reciprocity implies that exchanges should be of roughly equivalent values.
 - In other words, reciprocity is meant to produce "balanced" exchanges
 - The norm of reciprocity lends an amount of predictability to diplomatic relations.
 - While not offering exact predictability, it makes it possible for polities to know the general range of possible outcomes of their exchanges

Diplomatic Reciprocity

- The distinction between *specific* and *diffuse* reciprocity is pertinent in this connection.
 - Specific reciprocity refers to "situations in which specified partners exchange items of equivalent value in a strictly delimited sequence," whereas in situations of
 - diffuse reciprocity "the definition of equivalence is less precise ... and the sequence of events is less narrowly bounded."
- Diffuse reciprocity implies that the parties do not insist on immediate and exactly equivalent reciprocation of each and every concession, on an appropriate "quid" for every "quo."



Diplomatic Reciprocity - Example

- The practice of expelling foreign diplomats for espionage may illustrate specific reciprocity.
 - States today recognize that when they expel diplomats from a foreign country, that government is likely to respond in kind by immediately expelling an equivalent number of their own diplomats.
 - The anticipation of specific reciprocity therefore often deters states from uncooperative behavior.



Diplomatic Reciprocity – Example II

- the exchanges between the United States and China prior to the mutual presidential visits in 1997 and 1998.
- President Clinton was pressured by Congress, which was seeking to impose sanctions against China because of its human-rights violations, to secure a significant human-rights concession from China as a prerequisite for the state visit.
- Just before Jiang Zemin's arrival in the United States, a prominent Chinese political prisoner was released.
- While one political prisoner's freedom could not be and was not- considered "equal" in value to the political and economic benefits China was likely to reap from the summit, the US Administration was apparently sufficiently satisfied with this specific concession to welcome the Chinese President and negotiate a wide range of issues

167

Diplomatic Reciprocity - Immunity

- Among the procedural rules of diplomacy, *immunity* has assumed prominence throughout history.
- The inviolability of diplomatic agents is seen to be a prerequisite for the establishment of stable relations between polities.
- "Rooted in necessity, immunity was buttressed by religion, sanctioned by custom, and fortified by reciprocity."

Diplomatic Reciprocity - Immunity

- Traditional codes of hospitality may have contributed to the notion of according diplomatic envoys inviolability.
 - "The ancient Greeks and Romans considered it impious to injure a guest, as did the Celts, the Gauls, and the Teutons."
 - The most perennial and robust foundation of diplomatic immunity seems to be functional necessity: **the privileges and immunities** that diplomatic envoys have enjoyed throughout the ages have simply been seen as necessary to enable diplomats to perform their functions.

169

JP2

Toward a Historical Sociology of Diplomacy

• Can we see we see diplomacy as a institution of international societies, not of individual states ????

JP2 essence of diplomacy Jan Polišenský; 26.01.2019

Diplomacy of Ancient Civilizations: Ancient Egypt

- *Tell el-Amarna*, site of ruins and tombs of the city Akhetaton in Upper Egypt, new capital of the kingdom build by Amenhotep IV (Akhenaton)
- 1887-1888: an archives of diplomatic correspondence of pharaohs Amenhotep III. and his son Amenhotep IV found. Today the archives is in British Museum in London

171

Diplomatic Correspondence Of Pharaohs With Other Rulers

- Diplomatic Correspondence
 - Egypt Babylonia
 - Egypt Assyria
 - Egypt Mitann
 - Egypt Hittit Empire
- Discussed
 - Marriages, sending daughters and sisters
 - Occasion of death of rulers, succession on throne
 - Assurance on continuing friendly relationship a
 - Negotiation on adjustment of borders
 - Agreements on handing over escaped persons
 - Gifts and presents
 - Explanations of problems with not accurate information
- Complains on not polite enough treatment of diplomats of the other side





Kautilya: Arthasastra

Principles for the rules to set a goal, strategy and instruments of diplomacy.

• Diplomacy should:

1. strengthen the sovereignty of the power of the state

2. respect the principle of balance between the states (mandala)

175

Diplomacy of Ancient China 3000 BC – tribes, tribal unions, development of statehood Dynasty Shang (from 18 Century BC): diplomacy between the king and the tribal rulers Agreements on tributes, fees, participation in military operations, assistance in defense Reception of tribal rulers in the capital had a high ceremony and etiquette, important diplomatic event





Diplomacy of Ancient China

• 560 BC: Treaty of 12 states on arrangement of post-war relations

- The states will not exclude each other from benefits
- The states will not provide an asylum to the traitors
- The states will not protect any criminals
- The states will help each other in catastrophes and problems
- The states will be compassionate each to other in times of disaster and unrest
- The states will support and strengthen the royal court



- 544 BC: a League of 6 states concluded a multilateral agreement on trade, escaped persons from justice, mutual assistance in case of famine or uprising and coordinated attitude toward friends and enemies
- Confucius (551-791BC)





History of Diplomacy

- Scholars of diplomacy have identified diplomatic practices across the human experience, spanning the globe and going back before recorded history.
 - Even so, the actual term 'diplomacy' did not enter into usage until the last decade of the eighteenth century.
 - Before the eighteenth century there was no collective term for the activities of ambassadors and envoys.
 - Until the eighteenth century, relations between princes were seen as 'political'; 'foreign policy' was not established as a separate sphere before the midcentury.
 - 'Diplomacy' grew out of an etymological background of treaties, duplicity, secrecy, and privilege.



The Diplomats In Ancient Civilizations

• Enjoyed a high social prestige

- Were instructed by the monarch

- Negotiated treaties on peace, friendship and mutual cooperation, joint military operations, on definition of borders, on development of economic and cultural relations
- Conducted intelligence and espionage
- Diplomats were selected from the most qualified, closest, most loyal members of elite
- The diplomats were provided credentials, letters and documents, accompanying persons, presents

185

The Diplomats In Ancient Civilizations

- The evaluation of diplomatic performance, the way how the diplomats were treated, in what conditions they fulfilled their mission etc. indicated cultural and moral status of the respective courts and societies.
- In most of states, the diplomats were considered to be under the protection of gods
- In some areas, the treatment of diplomats was harsh, based on the decision of the ruler
- Killing of diplomats was usually considered as unacceptable
- Conclusion of treaties was sometimes accompanied by specific rituals
- Hostages (members of elite families) were sometimes provided to guarantee the fulfillment of the treaty
- The knowledge of language understandable to all sides was necessary. The diplomatic languages were Accadian, Sanscrit, Chinese language in China.

The Diplomats In Ancient Civilizations

- The ability to practice diplomacy is one of the defining elements of a state, and diplomacy has been practiced since the formation of the first city-states.
- Originally diplomats were sent only for specific negotiations, and would return immediately after their mission concluded.
- Diplomats were usually relatives of the ruling family or of very high rank in order to give them legitimacy when they sought to negotiate with the other state.
- One notable exception involved the relationship between the Pope and the Byzantine Emperor.
 - Papal agents, called apocrisiarii, were permanently resident in Constantinople.
 - After the 8th century, however, conflicts between the Pope and the Emperor (such as the Iconoclastic controversy) led to the breaking down of these close ties.

187

The Diplomats In Ancient Civilizations

- Modern diplomacy's origins are often traced to the states of Northern Italy in the early Renaissance, with the first embassies being established in the thirteenth century.
- Milan played a leading role, especially under Francesco Sforza who established permanent embassies to the other cities states of Northern Italy.
 - It was in Italy that many of the traditions of modern diplomacy began, such as the presentation of an ambassador's credentials to the head of state.
- The practice spread from Italy to the other European powers.

The Diplomats In Ancient Civilizations

- Milan was the first to send a representative to the court of France in 1455.
- Milan however refused to host French representatives fearing espionage and possible intervention in internal affairs.
- As foreign powers such as France and Spain became increasingly involved in Italian politics the need to accept emissaries was recognized.
- Soon all the major European powers were exchanging representatives.
- Spain was the first to send a permanent representative when it appointed an ambassador to the Court of England in 1487. By the late 16th century, permanent missions became the standard.



Modern Diplomacy And/As Colonial Apparatus

- The colonies were the site of diplomatic imagination and designs where frontiers were constructed not only in geographical terms but also in terms of the boundaries of humanity (Mignolo,1995: viii–xi).
 - The invention and articulation of the 'genres of man' as evinced by the idea of diplomatic man, colonial man and the human in general meant that 'diplomatic' encounters with non-European others were quickly transformed into some form of colonial governance through the non-recognition of indigenous diplomatic agents, denigration of gods and reneging on treaties as well as the conversion of a people and a space into something familiar and governable.
 - The modern diplomatic mediation of difference is entangled with the mediation of colonial difference. These entanglements play out in spectral spaces where 'global designs have to be adapted, adopted, rejected, integrated, or ignored' (Mignolo, 1995: viii–xi).



History of Diplomacy

- Large countries had embassies in other large countries and legations in smaller states.
- Embassies were headed by ambassadors and legations by ministers.
- Embassies and legations were strictly limited in their contacts with the ordinary citizens of the receiving state.
- These limitations were codified in the Havana Convention of 1927, which under the heading "Duties of Diplomatic Officers" stated that these officers must not interfere in the internal affairs of the receiving state and must confine their relations to the foreign ministry of the host state.
- Thus, in their host country, diplomatic personnel from abroad had no relations with the public at large.
 - National day celebrations at an embassy or legation were attended (aside from other diplomats) by locally resident citizens from that country and, for protocol reasons, by officials of the foreign ministry of the receiving state.



Conclusion

• Ancient civilizations developed basic principles which became founding stones of diplomacy from ancient time to the present