Professor John Wilton

Lecture 2

The E.U. integration project and the politics of social policy

 Two key political questions raised by the E.U. integration project and the expansion of social policy in the late 1980s and the 1990s
 Theoretical perspectives of the E.U. integration project and the politics of social policy

Two key political questions raised by the E.U. integration project and the expansion of social policy in the late 1980s and the 1990s
A) Why did the expansion of E.U. social policy occur, and can it be sustained?
B) Is the growth of E.U. social policy a positive development?

A) Why did the expansion of E.U. social policy occur, and can it be sustained?

2 ways of answering
depending on interpretation of traditional theories of E.U. integration

 <u>REALIST</u> – E.U. integration, and consequently development of E.U. social policy, moves in a 'cycle of near success'

- due to individual states 'self-interest'

Attempts to create meaningful wide-ranging E.U. social policy always damaged through:
a) the resistance of some individual Member states;

 b) resistance of key interest groups (i.e. multinational corporations);

c) institutional weakness of E.U. itself.

(ii) <u>FUNCTIONALIST</u> – E.U. social policy has managed to develop and grow precisely because of, and through: a) the development of the E.U. itself; b) the activities of the E.U. Commission; c) the activities of European wide interest groups, pressure groups, and lobby groups d) 'Spillover'

B) Is the growth of E.U. social policy a positive development?

2 possible answers:
(i) from the 'right' of the political spectrum – 'free market liberals'
(ii) from the 'left' of the political spectrum – social democrats

 (i) from the 'right' of the political spectrum – 'free market liberals'

- development of E.U. social policy counterproductive (dangerous!)

- constitutes a constraint on the functioning of the free-market

- plus = one element in growth of E.U.
'superstate' and large bureaucracy

(ii) from the 'left' of the political spectrum – social <u>democrats</u>

- development of E.U. social policy is a positive and necessary development
 - needed to protect E.U. citizens from worst excesses of free-market
 - plus, has economic gains for the market as assists in production of highly skilled, well-trained, well educated workforce

THEORIES OF EUROPEAN UNION INTEGRATION
1.FEDERALISM
2. FUNCTIONALISM
3. NEO-FUNCTIONALISM

THEORIES OF EUROPEAN UNION INTEGRATION

1. FEDERALISM

- 3 main assumptions
- a) societies are complex and diverse
- b) societies are pluralistic
- c) as societies are diverse and pluralistic, institutions that protect diversity and autonomy, but which produce unity, are required

> THEORIES OF EUROPEAN UNION INTEGRATION

2. FUNCTIONALISM

 the functions of different elements of societies (including public policy) would encourage and produce cooperation and integration

THEORIES OF EUROPEAN UNION INTEGRATION

3. NEO-FUNCTIONALISM

- based on principle of 'spillover' (as integration occurs in one area/sector of E.U. the benefits would 'spillover' into other sectors, and produce greater integration in them)