MASARYK UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND EUROPEAN STUDIES

Fall 2009

Final Exam Review Sheet for United States National Security Policy Date of Exam: Tuesday, January 5th, 2010, 8-9:30 am

Please bring blank sheets of paper for your essays

<u>Directions for Essays</u>: Several of the essay questions below will appear on the Final Exam. You will be asked to answer TWO OR THREE of them in a longer essay of several pages. Be sure to address each aspect of the question.

1. Explain how the end of the Cold War and the uneven nature of conflict over the post-Cold War period affected US National Security Policy. What is the significance of the End of Cold War for US National Security? How has the nature of today's threats changed and how have these changes affected the US approach to security policy? What challenges now exist for the US Security Environment? How are these challenges to be met?

2. Assess the grand vision of the Cold War as projected by NSC-68. As part of your assessment, consider the following questions – First, in what sense was NSC-68 very much a product of the historical situation and foreign policy context of 1950? Second, how does NSC-68 compare to previous classics in American foreign policy such as Washington's Farewell Address, the Monroe Doctrine, and the vision put forth by Wilson's Fourteen Points? Third, how did events that occurred during the Cold War (nuclear crises, uprisings in Central & Eastern Europe, etc.) require revisions and changes to the perspective offered by NSC-68? How well did US security policy adapt to such developments?

3. The Cold War was witness to a sharp debate over US Grand Strategy to protect US national security. Central to the protection of our security interests included the use of containment and deterrence strategies. What was the role of nuclear weapons in these strategy formulations? And what strategies in retrospect seem wisest to serve US national interests? Did the US choose wisely or are there different strategic choices that would have produced 'better' results? Offer an assessment of US national security strategy during the Cold War period leading up to the events of 1989 based on your knowledge of the course materials and readings.

4. According to the RAND Corporation report, "A New Division of Labor" (2007), the goal to "end tyranny" in the world, set by former US President Bush, presents some very real challenges for the US Defense establishment. What are these challenges? Explain how this broad goal affects the capabilities and priorities of the US to deliver results. Is the Defense establishment the best mechanism with which to deliver on such a goal? What challenges exist for the current alignment of US forces? What actions can be taken to alter and change the existing alignment of forces to deliver on such a broad goal? Finally, what are the implications for these changes for US National Security Policymaking?

5. According to Richard Nere ("Democracy Promotion and the U.S. National Security Strategy: U.S. National Interest, U.S. Primacy, and Coercion," *Strategic Insights*, August 2009), US policy-making has always been wrapped up balancing democracy promotion abroad while seeking to promote stability and security in an anarchical world. His defense of Bush Administration policies – supporting democracy promotion which also helps to lessen anarchy – creates a harmonizing goal where the merits of democracy promotion outweigh the risks. What do you think of this argument? Summarize Nere's central points and critique his arguments. What are the implications of his argument for US policy moving forward <u>after</u> Iraq? How would we apply Nere's arguments to the situation in Afghanistan? In Pakistan? Are his arguments applicable to the next steps in the "Long War against Radical Islamist Movements?" Will democracy promotion efforts lessen insecurity around the world? How so? If not, why not?