Professor John Wilton

Lecture 2

The E.U. integration project and the politics of social policy

- 1. Two key political questions raised by the E.U. integration project and the expansion of social policy in the late 1980s and the 1990s
- 2. Theoretical perspectives of the E.U. integration project and the politics of social policy

- Two key political questions raised by the E.U. integration project and the expansion of social policy in the late 1980s and the 1990s
- A) Why did the expansion of E.U. social policy occur, and can it be sustained?
- B) Is the growth of E.U. social policy a positive development?

- A) Why did the expansion of E.U. social policy occur, and can it be sustained?
 - (i) <u>REALIST</u> E.U. integration, and consequently development of E.U. social policy, moves in a 'cycle of near success'- due to individual states 'self-interest'

- Attempts to create meaningful wide-ranging E.U. social policy always damaged through:
 - a) the resistance of some individual Member states;
 - b) resistance of key interest groups (i.e. multinational corporations);
 - c) institutional weakness of E.U. itself.

- (ii) <u>FUNCTIONALIST</u> E.U. social policy has managed to develop and grow precisely because of, and through:
 - a) the development of the E.U. itself;
 - b) the activities of the E.U. Commission;
 - c) the activities of European wide interest groups, pressure groups, and lobby groups
 - d) 'Spillover'

- B) Is the growth of E.U. social policy a positive development?
- (i) <u>from the 'right' of the political spectrum 'free</u> market liberals'
 - development of E.U. social policy counterproductive (dangerous!)
 - constitutes a constraint on the functioning of the free-market
 - plus = one element in growth of E.U. 'superstate' and large bureaucracy

- (ii) from the 'left' of the political spectrum social democrats
 - development of E.U. social policy is a positive and necessary development
 - needed to protect E.U. citizens from worst excesses of free-market
 - plus, has economic gains for the market as assists in production of highly skilled, well-trained, well educated workforce

- THEORIES OF EUROPEAN UNION INTEGRATION
- 1.FEDERALISM
- 2. FUNCTIONALISM
- 3. NEO-FUNCTIONALISM

THEORIES OF EUROPEAN UNION INTEGRATION

1. FEDERALISM

- 3 main assumptions
- a) societies are complex and diverse
- b) societies are pluralistic
- c) as societies are diverse and pluralistic, institutions that protect diversity and autonomy, but which produce unity, are required

THEORIES OF EUROPEAN UNION INTEGRATION

2. FUNCTIONALISM

- the functions of different elements of societies (including public policy) would encourage and produce cooperation and integration

Lecture 2

THEORIES OF EUROPEAN UNION INTEGRATION

3. NEO-FUNCTIONALISM

- based on principle of 'spillover' (as integration occurs in one area/sector of E.U. the benefits would 'spillover' into other sectors, and produce greater integration in them)