Environmental (climate) dimension of the EEP

Filip Černoch <u>cernoch@mail.muni.cz</u>



Environmental dimension of EEP

- Energy sector (extraction, transport, processing and combustion) harms the environment significantly.
- Climate change (regional/global level) measures to reduce GHG emissions.
 - EU ETS, GHGs outside of the EU ETS.
 - RES.
 - Energy Efficiency.
 - Research and development, new technologies (CCS).
- Local environment protection covered mainly by EU environmental policy.
 - Air, land and water pollution, noice, light pollution.
 - Industrial (energy) waste.
 - Protection of biodiversity.
 - Extraction of non-conventional sources of energy.



Sunlight passes through the atmosphere and warms the Earth's surface. This heat is radiated back toward space.

Most of the outgoing heat is absorbed by greenhouse gas molecules and re-emitted in all directions, warming the surface of the Earth and the lower atmosphere.



Global Carbon Dioxide (CO2) emissions from fossil-fuels 1990-2008



Source of data: Boden, T.A., G. Marland, and R.J. Andres (2010). Global, Regional, and National Fossil-Fuel CO₂ Emissions. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., U.S.A. doi 10.3334/CDIAC/00001_V2010.



Period between 1985 - 2000

New incentives for energy on the EC level.

- Weak competitiveness of European industry first proposals to create the internal energy market. Competition and transparency instead of national monopolies and closed markets.
- Climate change tools to prevent impact of usage of energy on local and global level. (to reduce the amount of emissions produced in the EU).
- Disintegration of Soviet block proposals to manage relations between producents and consumers (EU MS) of energy.



Environmental dimension of EEP

Two interlinked (but not identical) processes:

- International regime of climate change mitigation (EU plays a significant role).
- Independent climate policy of the EU (part of the EU energy policy).



International climate regime

- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 1988.
- Rio Summit on Earth 1992 (UN Conference on Environment and Development) \rightarrow UNFCCC.
- Kyoto protocol.
- 1997, in force 2005.

= Existence of a generally accepted consensus on the climate change as well as the contribution of human activities to this process.



Kyoto protocol

- 4 GHG (carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, sulphur haxafluoride) + hydrofluorocarbons and pefluorocarbons.
- Annex I. parties (37 industrialized countries + EU15), Non-annex I. parties.
- Reducing of GHG emissions by 5,2 % for the period of 2008-2012. (4,2 % after USA left). Base year 1990.
- Flexible mechanisms Emission trading, CDM, JI.
- Art. 4 burden sharing agreement of European Community.
- Common but differenciated responsibility.



ANNEX II

Table of quantified emission limitation or reduction commitments for the purpose of determining the respective emission levels allocated to the European Community and its Member States in accordance with article 4 of the Kyoto Protocol

European Community Quantific agreed in Belgium Denmark Germany Greece Spain France Ireland Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	Quantified emission reduction commitment as laid down in Annex B of the Kyoto Protocol	
European Community Quantific agreed in Belgium Denmark Denmark Germany Greece Spain France Inteland Ireland Inteland Italy Inteland Austria Portugal	(percentage of base year or period)	
Quantific agreed in Belgium Denmark Germany Greece Spain France Ireland Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	92 %	
Belgium Image: Constraint of the second	d emission limitation or reduction commitment as accordance with article 4(1) of the Kyoto Protocol (percentage of base year or period)	
Denmark Germany Greece Spain France Ireland Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	92,5 %	
Germany Greece Spain France Ireland Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	79 %	
Greece Spain Spain France Ireland Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	79 %	
Spain France Ireland Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	125 %	
France Ireland Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	115 %	
Ireland Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	100 %	
Italy Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	113 %	
Luxembourg Netherlands Austria Portugal	93,5 %	
Netherlands Austria Portugal	72 %	
Austria Portugal	94 %	
Portugal	87 %	
	127 %	
Finland	100 %	
Sweden	104 %	
United Kingdom		



EU and climate change

- Environmental awareness.
- Preemptive environmental measures.
- Common market.
- Cross-border cooperation.
- Raison d'être.

130r (TEU) "...Community policy on the environment...shall be based on the precautionary principle and on the principles that preventive action should be taken, that environmental damage should as a priority be rectified as source and that the polluter should pay".



EU and climate change: carbon tax



http://www.abc.net.au/news/events/climate-change/carbon-pricing-explained.htm

Emission trading

- •EU firstly sceptical about international emission trading.
 - See it morally wrong trading authorizes pollution, turning it into commodity to be bought and sold.
 - Questionable with regard to equity that the richer industrialized countries can buy their way out of their obligations instead of lowering their disproportionate consumption of scarce sources.
- •But change in the possition of the U.S. placed the EU in the forefront of the climate change movement.



EU and climate change: emission trading

ET: Central authority ... sets a limit ...on the amount of pollutant to be emitted ... the cap is sold/allocated as permitscompanies are required to hold those permits ...if they need to increase this volume...have to buy those premits or pay the fee.

= the buyer is paying a charge for polution = he is motivated to invest in less-poluting technologies.



How the system works?

- It creates a dynamic monetary incentive so companies can sell their allowances to other producers and make profit.
- This incentives are based on real needs (scarcity) of allowances and on adequate monitoring and enforcement.
- This system (at least in theory) offer certainity of emission reduction corresponding to the stringency of the cap.
- Unlike domestic schemes effective international systems are more difficult to establish.
- Even a well-designed system is not to work if it is not implemented correctly by the participants in the system (MS).



Run-up to the EU ETS

- 1988 EC's communication "The Greenhouse Effect and the Community".
- 1998 EC's communication "Climate Change Towards an EU post-Kyoto strategy".
- 1999 EC's communication "Preparing for Implementation of the Kyoto Protocol".
- 2001 EU ETS legal preparation launched, approved in 2003.
- Designated the first period from 1.1.2005 to 31.12.2007, covering about 11.500 facilities in 25 MS = 45% CO2 emitted in the EU.



EU ETS: The first phase 2005 - 2007

Country	Mil. EUAs	Share of the overal	Number of incl. facilities	The aim of	
		amount of EUA		Kyoto	
Belgium	188,8	2,9	363	-7,5	
Czech Republic	292,8	4,4	435	-8	
Denmark	100,5	1,5	378	-21	
Estonia	56,85	0,9	43	-8	
Finland	136,5	2,1	535	0	
France	469,5	7,1	1 172	0	
Ireland	67	1	143	+13	
Italy	697,5	10,6	1 240	-6,5	
Cyprus	16,98	0,3	13	-	
Luxembourg	10,07	0,2	19	-28	
Lithuania	36,8	0,6	93	-8	
Latvia	13,7	0,2	95		
Zdroj: Massai, 2012, s. 174					

EU ETS: The first phase 2005 - 2007

- Problems with the decentralised system of distribution.
- Overestimation of emissions with the exemption of Germany and Slovenia (4 % surplus).
- Drop in the prices of allowances.
- Very limited impact on emissions of GHG.
- NAP only Austria, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Ireland and Slovenia in time.





Figure 2: EU ETS emissions allowance prices: April 2005 - December 2009



EU ETS: The first phase 2005 - 2007

Difficult calculations due to:

- Proneness to cheating.
- Changing level of industrial production.
- Changes in energy prices.
- Increasing deployment of RES (canibalism of targets).
- Permit stockpiling.
- Weather.
- And others.

Not only GHGs decrease is desirable, but also the stability of price of EUAs.



Sources

• Linklaters (2014): Capacity mechanisms. Reigniting Europe's energy markets.

