Professor John Wilton

Lecture 4

The principles and concepts of E.U. social policy -harmonisation, mutual recognition, cohesion and convergence

Professor John Wilton

Lecture 4

Additional sources:

Duina, F. and Raunio, T. (2007) 'The open method of co-ordination and national parliaments: further marginalization or new opportunities?', *Journal of European Public Policy*, 14:4, pp.489-506.

Journal of European Public Policy, Vol.14, no.5, 2007. (Special issue: Mutual Recognition as a New Mode of Governance)

Additional sources:

- Hansen, M.P. and Triantafillen, P. (2011) 'the Lisbon strategy and the alignment of economic and social concerns', in *Journal of European Social Policy*, Vol. 21, no.3, July, pp.197-209.
- Van Vliet, O. and Koster, F. (2011) 'Europeanisation and the political economy of active labour market policies', in *European Union Politics*, Vol.12, no.2, June, pp.217-240.
- Warleigh-Lack, A. and Drachenberg, R. (2011)

 'Spillover in a soft policy era? Evidence from the Open Method of Co-ordination in education and training', in *Journal of European Public Policy*, Vol.18, no 7, pp.999-1015.

Additional sources:

Copeland, P. and Haar, B. 'A tootheless bite? The effectiveness of the European Employment Strategy as a governance tool', in *Journal of European Social Policy*, Vol. 23 no.1, Feb. 2013, pp.21-36.

Talani, L.S. *European Political Economy*.

Political Science Perspectives, Ashgate,
Aldershot, 2004 (Revised 2013), Ch.6 and
Ch.7

Lecture 4

- what are the defining characteristics and underlying principles categorising E.U. social policy?

CONTEXT

- historical and theoretical frame

+

- underlying principles

- there is a parallel process of E.U. social integration accompanying developments in political and economic integration (spillover)
- E.U. 'single social areas'?
- A 'europeanisation' of social policy?

1. Harmonisation and convergence

2. Diversity and mutual recognition

- Harmonisation made more urgent, but also more complex, by preparations for EMU – and aim and need to maintain principle of freedom of movement in enlarged E.U.

Lecture 4

BUT, by Maastricht Treaty (1993) Social Charter stated account should be taken of "diverse forms of national practices, in particular in the field of contractual relations"

- stressed the need to maintain the competitiveness of the Community's economy

Lecture 4

Harmonisation of social policy not defined as, or suggested to mean, complete unification of social systems and social policy

- But acceptance of certain common principles and standards of social policy

- harmonisation seen as means of avoiding 'welfare tourism'
 - and prevent national social policy becoming bargaining counter between member states
 - and avoid distortion of economic 'competition'

Lecture 4

Single European Act (1985) – convergence in fiscal and employment law

- improvement in infrastructures and standards of education and training
 - for competitive Single European
 Market harmonisation of social
 policy necessary

- *BUT* Jacques Delors, as Head of the E.U. Commission, accepted various specific cultures, traditions and social policy models of member states meant harmonisation across all social policy areas impossible.
 - advocated COHERENCE/COHESION,
 - -Acceptance of diversity and 'MUTUAL RECOGNITION'

COHESION = Member states 'encouraged' to co-operate and co-ordinate their efforts in order to bring about greater economic and social cohesion between the regions

- 'Community Charter of the Fundamental Social Rights of Workers', 1989
- -harmonisation in context of freedom of movement – object of harmonising conditions of residence in all member states
 - harmonise duration of paid leave from work
- harmonise safety conditions at workplace

- 'Community Charter of the Fundamental Social Rights of Workers', 1989
- allowed member states to continue with national differences in social protection systems
- Social Charter (Maastricht Treaty 1993) no mention of harmonisation
 - respect for national specificity

- 'Open Method of Co-ordination' (OMC)
- formerly launched as an E.U. process at Lisbon E.U. Council of March 2000
- purpose was to spread legislative (and therefore, policy-making) 'best practices' across E.U. Member States primarily in areas where E.U. had no formal regulatory competence

- 2004 enlargement brought different issues and problems
 - legacy of bureaucratic state collectivist systems
 - different institutional legacies
 - nature and character of revolutions
 - 1990s economic transformations
 - made harmonisation less likely

Lecture 4
SUMMARY:

- 1. Each enlargement made harmonisation less likely
 - 2. 'Deepening' versus 'widening' contradiction between economic and monetary union necessitating social policy convergence and expansion of E.U. complicating convergence

Lecture 4
SUMMARY:

3. Differences in social policy in each member state attributed to stage of social and economic development of each country – plus specific forms of national social policy resulting from social, economic, political and cultural traditions

Lecture 4 SUMMARY:

- NEVERTHELESS can identify some over-arching principles of E.U. social policy
- based on 'core values' of social progress
 (i.e. high levels of employment, social
 protection, raising living standards and
 quality of life, promoting social cohesion
 and social justice)

Lecture 4
SUMMARY:

'Europeanisation' of social policy?

- framework, of principles of social protection, social welfare, social justice, equality of opportunity
- A balance between convergence, diversity, mutual recognition

Lecture 4
SUMMARY:

- 'Europeanisation' of social policy?
- A multi-level, varied approach model of E.U. social policy integration
 - different social policy areas and at different social policy levels, different integrated approaches and degrees of integration employed