Stories of success

ONUMOZ in Mozambique (1992-94)

- major violence ceased
- demobilization implemented
- overall security improved
- stable environment for the return and resettlement of refugees

UNTAG in Namibia (1989-90)

- managed to run free and fair elections
- ensured the withdrawal of South Africa's troops
- cooperation of parties, support of the SC, timely provision of resources
- model operation for many subsequent cases

□ UNTAET in East Timor (1999-2002) + UNMISET follow-up

- managed to establish an effective administration
- enabled refugees to return
- ensured humanitarian assistance
- supported capacity building for self-governance

ONUMOZ 1992-1994

Set up for failure

Delayed compliance, soaring budget, obstructions and ineffective command and control, parallel structures by humanitarian UNOHAC, incorrect assets – lacking air support or transport in a country with little infrastructure FIRST COMMANDER OF THE MILITARY CONTINGENTS WAS ALSO A

DISASTER WHO REFUSED TO SHARE INFORMATION WITH ANYONE, INCLUDING AJELLO, OR TO DEFINE HIS RESPONSIBILITIES IN ANYTHING BUT THE NARROWEST POSSIBLE TERMS.

10. IN FACT THE SMALL CONTINGENT OF MILITARY OBSERVERS CARRIED THE MAJORITY OF THE MISSION BURDEN AS THEY STAFFED ASSEMBLY AREAS, ASSISTED DEMOBILIZATION, COLLECTED ARMS CACHES AND VERIFIED WEAPONS DEPOSITS. THE BULK OF THE MILITARY CONTINGENTS, ON THE OTHER HAND, SPENT THEIR TIME PATROLLING TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS IN A BENIGN SECURITY ENVIRONMENT THREATENED ONLY BY AN OCCASIONAL FLAT TIRE. THEIR BIGGEST CHALLENGE CAME WHEN SOLDIERS DEMANDING DEMOBILIZATION OR FOOD WOULD RIOT. THEN THE BLUE HELMETS WERE USEFUL FOR DIRECTING TRAFFIC AWAY FROM THE DISTURBANCE AND IN DELIVERING SUPPLIES TO PLACATE THE SOLDIERS.

ONUMOZ 1992-1994

Strong suits

high donations, strong leadership (Aldo Ajello), aggressive diplomacy

Success

- will to demobilize stronger, than UN capacity employment of large number of NGOs
- diligent peace commissions oversight
- emphasis on observers rather than troop presence 1000/6500
- media engagement

Story of success to failure and back?

MONUC/MONUSCO DRC 1999+

- successful elections
- 2 year peace
- 22,000 troops
- repeated violations of the Lusaka agreement
- rape capitol of the world
- east controlled by armed groups
- foreign involvement and support
- Ioss of government control (M23, LRA)

Success achieved by UN?

5

UNAMSIL – Sierra Leone 1999-2005

- failure of ECOWAS
- success through peace enforcement? Role of GB Operation Pallisier
- enforcement of Lomé Peace Agreements
- DDR, SSR, Truth and Reconciliation commission
- economic recovery

UNMIL – Liberia 2003+

- **ECOWAS success**, Nigerian mediation
- Post-violence, post-regional intervention
- Stabilization and economic recovery
- SSR

Stories of failure

UNOSOM in Somalia (1992-95)

- difficult environment of a failed state
- warlords fighting against the UN presence
- after the killing of 18 American soldiers, US closed support to the mission
- US presidential directive → conditions for US involvement in UN peacekeeping: possibility to advance US interests, acceptable risk, clear exit strategy etc.

UNAMIR in Rwanda (1993-96)

- weak political will in the SC after Somali experience
- information about genocide overlooked
- lack of necessary resources
- withdrawal after 10/2500 troop deaths

□ UNPROFOR in Bosnia (1992-95)

- no peace to keep \rightarrow UN soldiers trapped between the belligerents, frequent target
- □ the fall of Srebrenica ("safe haven") \rightarrow massacre of 8 000 Bosniak men

Causes of failure

Causes of failure

lack of political will

- intrastate conflict as a domestic issue (principle of non-intervention, to avoid precedents)
- unwillingness to engage in distant places outside the sphere of interests

lack of resources

mismatch between poor resources and broad tasks

inadequate mandates

- too broad, too vague or out-of-date
- need for clear, appropriate mandates, reflecting the realities on the ground

cooperation of the actors of the conflict

- spoilers that are against mission's presence
- diplomatic or enforcement measures to ensure compliance with mission's mandate

suitability of traditional principles?

same principles X different conditions



OF UN PEACEKEEPING

MODERN CHALLENGES

"Lessons learned"

- "Lessons learned" reports in cooperation with troop contributing countries, NGOs and academic institutions
- **Lessons Learned Unit** within the DPKO established in 1995
- "An Agenda for Peace" (1992) B. Boutros-Ghali; first comprehensive UN report; early 1990s euphoria about the ability of the UN to accomplish new tasks + warning about the emerging gap between means and ends
- "Supplement to an Agenda for Peace" (1995) step back from radical innovations of the AfP; involvement in peacekeeping only
- Lessons learned report on Somalia (1995) related to the UN in/ability to use force effectively and appropriately; necessity of clear mandates, adequate means, improved coordination, better public information campaigns and humanitarian assistance strategy

"Lessons learned"

- Lessons learned report on Rwanda (1996) avoidance of peace enforcement (reflection of the contraction period); dismissed the argument that UNAMIR should have been able to use force to protect civilians
- Lessons learned report on Srebrenica (1999) – unusually frank and detailed appraisal; inadequacy of symbolic deterrence, ambivalence about using force, blind insistence on the ideology of impartiality



12

- "Brahimi Report" (2000) high-level panel lead by L. Brahimi; the most comprehensive "lessons learned" appraisal; need for clear and achievable mandates, better preventive action and peace building strategy, use of integrated mission planning etc.
- smaller "lessons learned" focused on particular missions or issues connected with PK (use of force, gender issues, human rights issues)
- implementation record of these reports = mixed results
- 2010 UN GA Special Committee on Peacekeeping Operations – reversal?

Current challenges

- Same old, same old
- Move toward peace enforcement
- Local ownership mantra
- Outsourcing conundrum
- Conditional support
- Wrongful conduct

Same old, same old - resources

Authority over armed forces

 National contingents may be withheld, reassigned, reconstituted, or withdrawn

National caveats

 Limitations by national contributors must be observed, fine line of communication

Peace doesn't always reflect the situation on the ground, nor is it always inclusive

- The character of the peace to keep is fluctuant
- Victories may leave spoilers
- Negotiated settlements may leave malcontents

Peace enforcement taking over?

- Brahimi report replaced by R2P
- No peace to keep is overcome

15

- From "robust" mandates to "stabilization"
- Robust peacekeeping is not peace enforcement. Robust peacekeeping is distinct from peace enforcement where use of force is at the strategic level and pursued often without the consent of the host nation/and or main parties to the conflict. The threat and use of force in robust peacekeeping is at the tactical level, limited in time and space, and aimed at countering or containing specific spoiler and residual or looming threat in a conflict or post-conflict environment. Large scale violence or one where the major parties are engaged in violent conflict is no longer a robust peacekeeping context. Robust missions are not configured or intended to address any systemic breakdown in a political process (UN General Assembly Special Committee on Peacekeeping Operations, 2010)

Peace enforcement taking over?

- Complex political emergencies require stabilization more often
 - Coupled with POC mandates, result in the necessity of fast and robust reactions
- Enforcement includes danger of interstate tensions, as Africans keep peace next door via peace enforcement
 - main peacekeeper contributors are close to conflicts they invest in (Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia) – fine line to meddling
- Drones, intelligence gathering, counterinsurgency tactics from other IOs
 - Changing the nature of UN missions under Chapter VII

Local ownership

Local ownership and cooperation

Outreach

- Presentations
- Teams
- Quick impact projects
 - Building of centers but NOT development
- Increases legitimacy of UN peacebuilding
- Increases peace sustainability
- Increases democratic reform

Local ownership

Goes two ways

- Preventing "engineered" democracy projects promoting strategic interests of present international forces
- □ VS.
- Preventing overinclusion vs. exclusion
- Support for local solution vs. local support for international solution?
- Elections not the best answer?
 - Lessons learned in late 1990s, yet still perpetuated

"Outsourcing" as stopgap

Authorizing regional organizations

Coalition Gulf 1991, or AMISOM in Somalia 2007

Deployment delays

Serval Mali 2012

Enforcement

Funding lack

1997 ECOMOG, Sierra Leone

Strike force requirement

- Force Intervention Brigade DRC 2013
- High risk of combat

Offer acceptance

Libya 2011

"Rehatting" going the other way?

Support conditionality

20

- Enforcement and conditionality of support since 2010s
- When governments (interim or otherwise) rely on UN support in the field:
- HRDDP Human Rights Due Diligence Policy on UN support to non-UN security forces
- Withdraws support if HR aren't respected by supported army (FARDC)
 - Hard bargaining position when accusations mounting

Wrongful conduct

Wrongful conduct – who's to blame?

- UN may not be held accountable at any court
- Peacekeepers are guaranteed immunity in the host state judicial system
 - High recurrence of sexual assault allegations
 - Court martials are a rare occurrence
 - Entire contingents may be affected (DRC units from MINUSCA)
- Reluctance to assign blame, official reports may not reflect intelligence
 - Rwandan role in homicides in Mali

Wrongful conduct

- Preying on vulnerable individuals causes irreparable damage to UN reputation – as does a lack of repercussions for perpetrators
 - Number of prostitutes in Cambodia rose for 6,000 in 1992 to 25,000 at the height of peace mission (Whitworth 2004:67)
- At the same time Gender is an issue in:
 - Civilian personnel 30%
 - Police forces 20%
 - Armed forces 7%

Pragmatic peace keeping and systems

dealing with complexity planning

pragmatic peace keeping

- benchmarking on-the-fly
- adaptive leadership and mandates
- flexibility in post-conflict reconstruction and rule of law reform evaluation

READING WEEK

- read full report
- be able to offer main counterarguments
- http://www.heritage.org/report/united-nationspeacekeeping-flaws-and-abuses-the-us-mustdemand-reform



- Bratt, D. (1996): *Defining Peacekeeping Success: The Experience of UNTAC*, Peacekeeping & International Relations, Vol XXV, No 4, pp. 3-5.
- Bureš, O. (2008): United Nations Peacekeeping: Bridging the Capabilities-Expectations Gap, Saarbrücken, VDM Verlag.
- Heldt, B. (2001): Conditions for Successful Intrastate Peacekeeping Missions, National Defence College of Sweden, Stockholm.
- Luttwak, E. N. (1999): "Give War a Chance." Foreign Affairs, Vol. 78, No. 4, pp. 36-44.
- Pushkina, D. (2006): A Recipe for Success? Ingredients of a Successful Peacekeping Mission, International Peacekeeping, Vol XIII, No 2, pp. 133-149.
- UN: *Charter of the United Nations*, on-line text (<u>http://www.un.org/en/documents/charter/</u>).
- UN (2000): Report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations. Summary of Recommendations, on-line text (<u>http://www.un.org/peace/reports/peace_operations/</u>).
- UN DPKO (1996): Comprehensive Report on Lessons Learned from United Nations Assistance Mission for Rwanda, on-line text (http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/dpko/lessons/rwanda.htm).
- Yilmaz, M. E. (2005): UN Peacekeeping in the Post-Cold War Era, International Journal of World Peace, Vol XXII, No 2, pp. 13-28.