#### ACADEMIC SKILLS REVIEW

#### Session IV

Mgr. Martin Jirušek, Ph.D.

# Literature search/Literature review

#### Differs by...

- Purpose
  - To provide the reader with context
  - To introduce main topics/perspectives within the field
  - To show that you are aware of what is going on
- Scope
  - Longer (thesis): comprehensive search for majority, if not all, relevant authors
  - Shorter (essay): key authors, most recent contributions
- Stage
  - Early stage skimming (looking for topics, 'What is going on?')
  - More focused on particular area ('Now I'm interested in this...')
  - Intensive reading and assessing sources ('Ok, tell me more and let's see if I can use this...')

### Literature review - puprose

- Usually as one of the first chapters (in thesis, paper, etc.) or at the beginning of the text (in article), closely following the introduction (or directly in it)
- Main authors/texts should be included first, followed by texts broadening the knowledge
  - Proceed from main (foundational) texts to newer ones
  - From books to articles
  - From broader area to more specific issues
- The reader should be introduced to the topic, know what is going on, if there are gaps in the knowledge...
  - Properly conducetd literature review should serve as a purpose statement for the whole paper, proving necessity of the research

### Literature review - scope

#### Thesis

- Should be comprehensive and contain majority of foundational literature/key authors
- Usually as a separate chapter
- Requires extensive search and awareness
- Essay (shorter pieces in general)
  - key authors
  - recent contributions
  - contributions relevant for the text

### Literature review - stage

Early stages ("I have no idea what is going on...")

- Going through texts that fall within the area
- Using keywords for searching in databases
- Using filters (temporal, topical, ...)
- Reading... (scanning, skimming)
- More focused on particular area ("Now I'm interested in this...")
  - You found the subject of your interest and are trying to find more about it
  - Looking for particular authors, sub-topics, searching within specific journals/databases
  - Using more specific keywords
  - Refining through filetrs (more focused)
  - Reading (skimming)

□ Intensive reading and assessing sources ("Tell me more and let's see if I can use it...")

- assessing what is relevant
- ...what is necessary
- includes fair share of critical thinking (is the source relevant/reliable?)
- Reading (thorough)

## Literature search and assessment

- What is known and what is not?
- How solid are the findings?
- □ Can we build on them?
- Does the literature identify key points and cleavages?
- Where I stand according to other authors/findings?
- □ Can my opinion be justified?
- Do I need to justify my opinion?
- Don't make premature conclusions.
- Apply critical approach (!)
- □ Mind the nature of assessed sources (!!)
- Mind authors's background and affiliation (!!!)

## Some mixed remarks...

Avoid biased sources as sources of information (blogs?)

- Mind the purpose, author, background, sponsor,...
- Every author is just a human being!
- Mind the difference between commonly used sources and sources used in academia
- Mind the difference between primary and secondary sources
  - (X prim. & sec. data)
  - Choose sources with the lowest level of distortion
- Wikipedia! rather a starting point...
- Verification/triangulation of sources (!)
  - Through various sources
  - Through various methods of data collecting (texts, interviews,...)
  - Through using various types of sources (primary/secondary)

#### 🗆 ...is necessary 😊

- Naturally, no one knows everything therefore we use other people's knowledge. Using it without reference is not ethical
  - Infringement of intellectual property in fact a **robbery**
- Reader must be able to differentiate already known findings from new ones (author's inputs)
- Rephrased a content vs. direct citation
- $\Box$  Referencing = reliability!
- Referencing enables replicability (=reliability)
- Reader must be able to track and find the referenced source
- Most cases of plagiarism are unintentional!

- ctr+c, ctl+c = plagiarism
- ctr+c, ctl+c, reference = no plagiarism
- Don't 'over-reference' your text (ok, this is a bit controversial
  ③)
  - An academic work should NOT be a compilation of previously released texts
  - Should be original (i. e. worth reading)
- Referenced materials are basis for our own findings

- What should be referenced and what need not to be:
- Should be: evidence, data that are not commonly known among the population, among the targeted audience, different views on commonly known facts/events, figues, amounts, theories,...
- 2) Need not to be: Commonly known facts ("WWII ended in 1945") – mind the level of information and targeted audience!
- Reference list may be divided according to nature of sources (primary/secondary, articles, monographies, interviews,...)
  - Should be easy to follow and use

- □ Generally: in text or as footnotes
- □ Automatic referencing engines: included in MS Office, Zotero,...
- Only sources used in the actual text can be referenced!
- In case of interviews or other materials that are hard to include as annex – statement of availability
- Do not translate language of the source
- Only known reference details are used
  - Don't make up things you don't know
- Many different styles of referencing and citations
  - always mind the targeted audience and **rules of the targeted platform/journal**
- http://mve.fss.muni.cz/en/masters-degree/study-agenda/citationstyle

## Rephrased content vs. direct citation

- Both should be referenced
- Paraphrase/Rephrased content (i.e. information ABOUT the content, NOT the same text) is cited in plain text
  - no quotation marks or italics only if exact and/or specific words/terms are used
- Direct citation (i.e. the content itself) is highlighted/differentiated from the rest of the text (quotation marks, italics)

## Thank you for your attention

#### jirusek.martin@mail.muni.cz