Additional Topics

PSY544 – Introduction to Factor Analysis

Week 14

Additional Topics

Today's lesson will be a bit of an amorphic cross-over

 We'll talk about some topics that exceed the basics of FA that we have learned during the semester

• If we had more time, the topics presented today would be presented in a more thorough way over the course of multiple days, but...

Model comparison

• In many cases, you will have multiple models that are all plausible candidates. Your goal might be to select one of them – the one which is superior to the rest.

- You should compare interpretability
- You should compare model-data fit
- Ideally, you should compare both

• In order to compare models directly, the compared models must be *nested*.

 Model A is nested within Model B if Model A is a special case of Model B, or Model B is a general case of Model A.

- More specifically, Model A is nested within Model B if Model A can be
 obtained by imposing additional restrictions on Model B.
- The free parameters of Model A are a subset of those of Model B.

Sounds arcane?

- Some examples of nested models:
- Any (usual) restricted model with m factors is nested within an unrestricted model with m factors
- An orthogonal model with m factor is nested within an oblique model with m factors (if restricted, they must have the same loading structure)
- A model where two parameters are constrained to be equal is nested within the model without this restriction.

Nested models:

- Will have more degrees of freedom
- Will have fewer free parameters (that's the same thing)
- Will have equal or greater value of the same discrepancy function (the model have the same or greater discrepancy from data)

You can test how two nested models differ in fit.

 Basically, you can test whether the additional constraints have a statistically significant negative impact on model fit:

$$H_0$$
: $F_{0A} - F_{0B} = 0$
 H_A : $F_{0A} - F_{0B} > 0$

• The test statistic is a χ^2 difference, $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi_A^2 - \chi_B^2 = (N-1)(\widehat{F}_A - \widehat{F}_B)$

• Under the null hypothesis, the $\Delta \chi^2$ is chi-square distributed with degrees of freedom equal to the difference of degrees of freedom of the two models (or the difference in the number of free parameters)

•
$$df = df_A - df_B$$

- If the test statistic exceeds a critical value (based on the α-level), then the null hypothesis is rejected. Model A fits worse than Model B.
- However, this approach suffers from the same issues that affect the test of perfect fit.

• For non-nested models, the $\Delta \chi^2$ test cannot be conducted.

 If one wants to compare two non-nested models, other comparisons can be employed, though:

 1) Compare fit indices – however, no test can be performed (among other things, we don't know the distribution of fit indices)

• 2) Compare information criteria – let's take a look at that

 Remember the log-likelihood from way back when we talked about maximum likelihood estimation?

- The log-likelihood is usually a relatively large, negative number. The smaller it gets (the more negative it gets), the smaller the likelihood. In case data is the same, worse models will result in smaller likelihood.
- Sometimes, a *deviance* is calculated: -2*log-likelihood (so, a relatively large, positive number). The larger the deviance, the smaller the likelihood (the more the model *deviates* from data)
- Deviance is used to calculate the so-called information criteria.

 Information criteria are relative measures that combine information on model's goodness of fit and its complexity, and are supposed to capture the model's relative quality

- Akaike's Information Criterion (k = number of parameters): AIC = 2k - 2loglikelihood AIC = 2k + Deviance
- AIC takes into account the model fit (deviance) and model complexity (k)
- If two different factor models are fit on the same data, the model with larger deviance fits worse, but AIC also takes into account model complexity.

 Information criteria are relative measures that combine information on model's goodness of fit and its complexity, and are supposed to capture the model's relative quality

- Bayesian (Schwarz) Information Criterion (k = number of parameters): BIC = ln(n) k - 2loglikelihood BIC = ln(n) k + Deviance
- BIC takes into account the model fit (deviance) and model complexity (k), as well as sample size (n). It penalizes the model for complexity relatively more than AIC.

 You can only compare models on their information criteria if the models were fit to the same data

 Moreover, even if the information criteria values differ for two models, we don't know how much is too much – there is no "effect size" for information criteria.

 So treat the AIC and BIC as sources of information, but keep the above in mind.

Bi-factor model

What is the bi-factor model?

- 1) All items load on a single "general" factor
- 2) All items also load on one, and only one, additional "specific" factor
- 3) All factors are uncorrelated

• So, the Λ matrix has m columns, where one of these columns is full of free parameters and the remaining m-1 columns contain free parameters each for a set of MVs, these sets do not overlap. The Φ matrix is diagonal.

Bi-factor model

Why can the bi-factor model be useful?

- It's a "multidimensional unidimensional model" ©
- It might have interesting interpretations
- It usually fits better than a 1-factor model