Kluwer Arbitration Blog (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/)

• Wolters Kluwer

(http://www.wolterskluwer.com)

f (https://www.facebook.com/wolterskluwer) > (https://twitter.com/wolters_kluwer)

in (https://www.linkedin.com/company/wolters-kluwer) (https://www.youtube.com/user/WoltersKluwerComms)

ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/CATEGORY/ARBITRAL-TRIBUNAL/)
, ARBITRATION (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/CATEGORY/ARBITRATION/),
ENERGY (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/CATEGORY/ENERGY/),
ENERGY CHARTER TREATY (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/CATEGORY/ENERGY-CHARTER-TREATY/),
SPAIN (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/CATEGORY/SPAIN/)

Legitimate Expectations in Renewable Energy
Treaty Arbitrations: The Lessons So Far
(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2018by Affiliate.
expectations-renewable-energy-treatyarbitrations-lessons-far/)

Deyan Draguiev (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/author/deyandraguiev/) (Colibra Insurance (https://www.linkedin.com/in/deyan-draguiev-47169114)) / March 22, 2018

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2018/03/22/legitimate-expectations-renewable-energy-treaty-arbitrations-lessons-far/) / Leave a comment (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2018/03/22/legitimate-expectations-renewable-energy-treaty-arbitrations-lessons-far/#respond)

The initially alluring and subsequently vehemently amended incentives for investments in renewable energy projects across Europe have given rise to a significant number of arbitration claims brought on basis of the Energy Charter Treaty (ECT) and various BITs. Currently there are tens of pending investment treaty arbitrations with respect to renewable energy projects in Spain, Italy, Czech Republic, etc. A number of awards have already been rendered.

The analysis below considers the awards under four recent cases regarding alleged breaches of the standard of treatment (FET), including issues of stability and legitimate expectations: Charanne B.V., Construction Investments S.A.R.L. v Spain, SCC Arbitration No.: 062/2012 ("Charanne"); Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energia Solar Luxembourg S.a.r.l. v Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/36 ("Eiser"); Blusun S.A., Jean-Pierre Lecorcier and Michael Stein v. Italy, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/3 ("Blusun"); and Jürgen Wirtgen, Stefan Wirtgen, Gisela Wirtgen, JSW Solar (zwei) GmbH & Co. KG v. The Czech Republic ("Wirtgen") PCA Case No. 2014-03; Novenergia II-Energy & Environment (SCA) (Luxembourg), SICAR v. Spain, SCC Arbitration 2015/063 ("Novenergia").

No Breach Found

Charanne, which was the first decided investment treaty claim arising from the situation with renewable energy producers, considered various breaches of the ECT, including FET and legitimate expectations. The tribunal adopted the view, which is consistently expounded in previous arbitral case law, that it cannot be expected that regulatory framework would remain unaltered (para. 503 of the award). A state is entitled to sovereign policy as to its legislative incentives. However, this could be overridden if the investor holds legitimate expectations. These can be generated by a specific commitment towards the investor (para. 490 of the award). The






(https://know.wolterskluwerlr.com/LP=3316? utm_source=arbitrationblog&utm_medium=banner&u top_ka-webinar_0623)



Dealing with Bribery and Corruption in Internation Commercial Arbitration: 1 Probe or Not to Probe (https://law-store.wolterskluwer.com/with-bribery-and-corrupt in-international-commercarbitration/01t4R00000P; Emmanuel Obiora Igbokwe € 190



Arbitration in Egypt: A
Practitioner\'s Guide
(https://lrus.wolterskluw
in-egypt-a-practitioner-s
guide/)
Ibrahim Shehata
€ 190

EDITORS & CONTRIBUTORS

Roger Alford (General Editor) (http://law.nd.edu/people/faculty-andtribunal did not find that there is such a commitment that incentives to renewable investments would not be altered. Moreover, the amendments in focus did not affect the essential characteristics of the renewable energy framework (para 539 0f the award) – feed-in tariff, etc.

In *Blusun*, the tribunal did not find a breach either. It held that the state is entitled to make regulatory changes (para. 319 of the award). However, it distinguished its position from the analysis in *Charanne* and considered that there is no necessity to establish unreasonableness as to legislative amendments. The ECT, it was held, clearly demanded stability and predictability not only at the initial time of investment but also throughout its operation. Any amendments, although within a state's margin of appreciation, should be proportionate to a legislative aim. It is not only what is the substance of the regulatory changes but also what is their manner. The only carve-out is when there is a specific commitment and the investor has placed reliance on it (para. 373 of the award)..

The reasoning of *Wirtgen* seems a bit different, though. The tribunal indeed followed the argument that a state is entitled to make regulatory amendments and there can be a violation only if legitimate expectations generated by specific commitments are affected (para. 436-437 of the award). The tribunal, however, held that the guarantees of return to investors as the groundwork of the renewable energy promotion regime had been left intact. If an investor would continue to receive a level of revenue through the FIT system that ensures a 15 year payback of capital expenses and a return on investment of at least 7% per year over a period of 15 (later 20) years, there should not be a breach.

A Dissenter

A very strong dissenting opinion was put forward by Gary Born in *Wirtgen*. Born accepted that the Czech Republic breached the FET by amending its regulatory regime by the so called "solar levy". Although a state is allowed to make regulatory amendments and an investor is not entitled to expect that no changes are made, if a state makes specific commitment regarding guarantees to investments, these should not be affected by regulatory changes. A specific commitment may be in the form of a legislation in favour of a class of investors providing a set of elements for ensuring the operation of promoted investments. As the Czech Republic had committed itself and guaranteed a minimum of profit in the form of feed-in tariffs to the investors for a fixed period of time, an investor who relied on this regulatory regime is entitled to expect that the regime would not change. So the dissenting opinion goes beyond what was said in the award by the majority – that a level of profitability was guaranteed and hence if the level of profits is ensured, there can be no breach. Instead, the entire regime is, in the view of Born, a commitment guaranteed by the state and should not be amended.

Breach Found

Eiser was the first award where a host state providing beneficial incentives to investors in renewable energy production has been found to breach its international investment treaty obligations by altering its regulatory regime. The tribunal in Eiser reiterated that a state has full regulatory powers but on the other hand, this should not abrogate its fair and equitable treatment obligations towards investors (para. 362 of the award). If any changes are made, however, these should not be of a manner that does not take account of the circumstances of existing investments made in reliance on the prior regime. The ECT was found to protect investors against total and unreasonable changes (para. 363 of the award). Although changes are allowed, fundamental stability of the essential characteristics of the legal regime relied upon by investors in making long-term investments should be ensured by the host state and radical amendments on key characteristics of the investment that were relied upon by the investors would constitute a breach. In Eiser, the tribunal accepted that the regulatory change was so radical and fundamental that it affected the financial fundament of the investments made in Spain and "washed away" the benefits envisioned at the time of investment. This qualified as a breach.

In February 2018, the tribunal in *Novenergia* noted the reasoning in *Eiser* and analysed whether Spain generated legitimate expectation and whether subsequently Spain radically altered the essential characteristics of its legislation in a manner that violates the FET standard (para. 656 of the award). The tribunal found that the objective of Spanish legislation was to ensure achieving emissions and renewable targets under EU law. Spain created, according to the tribunal, a very favourable investment climate for renewable energy investors. The domestic legislation in force at the time of investment incorporated commitments and assurances to guaranteed revenues on which investor placed reliance. Hence, the investor had a legitimate expectation that there would

administration/teaching-and-research-faculty/roger-p-alford/)

Notre Dame Law School (http://law.nd.edu/)



Crina Baltag (Managing Editor) (https://www.su.se/english/profiles/crba: 1.478903)

Stockholm University (https://www.jurinst.su.se/eng



(htt balt

Arie C. Eernisse (Associate Editor)
Shin & Kim

(https://www.shinkim.com/eng/member/view/2262)



(htt_|

Kiran Nasir Gore (Associate Editor) (https://www.law.gwu.edu/kiran-n-gore) Law Offices of Kiran N Gore PLLC; The George Washin University Law School (https://www.law.gwu.edu/kir n-gore)



Esmé Shirlow (Associate Editor) (https://law.anu.edu.au/people/esmeshirlow)

Australian National University (https://law.anu.edu.au/people/esme-shirlow)



(htt shir

Maria Fanou (Senior Assistant Editor)



Dina Prokic (Senior Assistant Editor)
Woods LLP (http://www.woods.qc.ca/team/dina-pro



pro

Daniela Páez-Salgado (Senior Assistant Ed (http://www.herbertsmithfreehills.com/paez)

Herbert Smith Freehills (http://www.herbertsmithfre



o the

Leila Kazimi (Assistant Editor to the Managing Editor)



not be any radical or fundamental changes to the local laws. However, the investor could not have reasonably expected that there would be no changes to lower the value of its investment (para. 688 of the award). Spain had regulatory powers, but not unrestricted ones. A state's regulatory interests are weighed against the investors' legitimate expectations and reliance. It is not simply sufficient to look at the economic effect; but it can show a change in the essential characteristics of the legal regime relied upon by investors when making long-term investments (para 694 of the award). Ultimately, the tribunal found the challenged Spanish measures to be radical and unexpected which transformed the legal and business environment under which the investment was decided and made.

Inferences

The cited awards, although not entirely in line with each other and having a dissenting view, can serve as basis for a set of inferences.

It is not a matter of dispute that a state is entitled to make changes to its legislative framework and policy, including as to incentive and regulation of renewable energy production.

Any amendments have a "substantive" aspect, i.e. that changes should be targeted at a proportionate aim; and a "procedural aspect", i.e. that should be enforced in a reasonable manner

If a host state makes specific commitments, including guarantees that certain (financial) end results would be available to a renewable energy producer, an investor who relies on the commitments and guarantees can have legitimate expectations that these would not be altered. A commitment may be made not only by a direct stipulation towards a particular investor but also by enacting legislation in favour of a class of investors.

Complete subversion of the financial status of a project would be tantamount to a breach of legitimate expectations. Alteration to key elements and essential characteristics of a regulatory framework such as feed in tariffs can also be a potential breach. If the legislative framework caters for specific levels of returns, this can also qualify as possible breach of legitimate expectations. An adverse economic effect may not be the only factor, but may indicate the implementation of drastic changes when considering the breach of FET.

The views and opinions expounded in this article belong entirely to the author and do not reflect the position of any entity or institution he may be affiliated or associated with.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates on the <u>Kluwer Arbitration Blog</u> (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/), please subscribe <u>here</u> (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/newsletter/? email=&mailing_list_widget_submit=Subscribe).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Arbitration Blog, please subscribe here (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/newsletter/). To submit a proposal for a blog post, please consult our Editorial Guidelines(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/editorial-policy-guidelines/).

Profile Navigator and Relationship Indicator

Includes 7,300+ profiles of arbitrators, expert witnesses, counsels & 13,500+ relationships to uncover potential conflicts of interest.

Learn how Kluwer Arbitration can support you.

(https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerarbitration/practical-tools? utm_source=arbitrationblog&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=article-bottom-cta_ka-practical-tools_1122#PrReTools) Sue Ng (Assistant Editor to the Managing Editor)

(https://www.freshfields.us/contacts/find lawyer/n/ng-sue/)

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP

(https://www.freshfields.com/en-gb/contacts/find-a lawyer/n/ng-sue/)



Celine Yan Wang (Assistant Editor to the Managing Editor)

Chaffetz Lindsey LLP

(https://www.chaffetzlindsey.com/our-team/celine-wang/)



Filippo Zuti Giachetti (Assistant Editor to t Managing Editor)

MDisputes (https://www.mdisputes.com/)



Titilope Sinmi-Adetona (Assistant Editor) Aluko & Oyebode (https://www.linkedin.com/in/titil sinmi-adetona/)



Maria José Alarcon (Assistant Editor for Investment Arbitration)

Squire Patton Boggs - Paris (https://www.squirepattonboggs.com/en)



Fabian Eichberger (Assistant Editor for Investment Arbitration)

University of Cambridge (https://www.law.cam.ac.uk/people/researchstudents/fs-eichberger/79061)



Ajoo Kim (Assistant Editor for Investment Arbitration)



Alexia Gkoritsa (Assistant Editor for Technology)



Raoul J. Renard (Assistant Editor for Technology)

(https://www.linkedin.com/in/raoulrenard)

Learn more about the newly-updated Profile Navigator and Relationship Indicator





号. Wolters Kluwer

(https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerarbitration/practical-tools? utm_source=arbitrationblog&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=article-bottom_kapractical-tools_1122#PrReTools)

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2018/03/22/legitimateexpectations-renewable-energy-treaty-arbitrations-lessons-far/?output=pdf)

(MAILTO:?

SUBJECT=LEGITIMATE

EXPECTATIONS

RENEWABLE

ENERGY

TREATY

ARBITRATIONS:

THE



in LESSONS

(HTT RH) TWO SON THE SOLD BURNELOW WILLIAM THE REAR FAR EARTICLE?

U = H T U RS.% BLA TRESPRESAPE OF PRESENTATIONS OF A CONCENSARIOUS

EXPECTAPE CONSTRUCTANTONS -

RENEWANBELWANBELWANBELWABLE

ENERGNERGNERGY-

TREATIVE ATTREATIVE AT Y-

LESSQNSSQNSSQNSSONS-

FAR % DER SIDE GEDER HIT EIN REPROSITE HAR DET HER DET MEN MEN MINNER BERNEUM DER KEITE DER SENER BERNEUM DER BERNEUM DER SENER BERNEUM DER BERNEUM DER BERNEUM DER BERNEUM DER BERNEUM DER BERNEUM DER BERNEUM DE

David Isidore Tan (Assistant Editor for Technology) (https://sg.linkedin.com/in/david-isidore

tan-789527143) Rajah & Tann Asia



Hannepes Taychayev (Assistant Editor for East and Central Asia)



Hiroko Yamamoto (Assistant Editor for Eas and Central Asia)



Youlin Yuan (Assistant Editor for East and Central Asia)

Williams & Connolly LLP

(https://www.wc.com/Attorneys/Youlin-Yuan)



Yue Zhao (Assistant Editor for East and Central Asia)



Anne Wang (Assistant Editor for Asia-Pacif



Morrison & Foerster (Singapore) LLP (https://www.mofo.com/people/yi-jun-kang)



Deborah Loh (Assistant Editor for Southea Asia)

Clifford Chance Asia



← PREVIOUS ARTICLE
(HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/2018/05/FETPSQN/88BIBRATOONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/2018/05/FETPSQN/88BIBRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/2018/05/FETPSQN/88BIBRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBIT CHALLENGE-JURISDICTION-TRIBUNAL-ARBITRAL-AWARD/)

Consolidation of Arbitral Proceedings and its Ramifications on a Party's Right to Challenge the Jurisdiction of the Tribunal and the Arbitral

Revisiting Anti-Suit Injunctions Post Brexit: Some Lessons from the US (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/20 anti-suit-injunctions-post-brexit-lessons-us/) Irene Mira (Assistant Editor for Southeast

(https://www.linkedin.com/in/irene-mira-9781ba87/



(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2018/03/21/consolidation-DAVID NDOLO (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/AUTHOR/DAV arbitral-proceedings-ramifications-partys-right-challenge-jurisdiction-tribunal-arbitral-award/) NDOLO/), MARGARET LIU (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/AUTHOR/MAF LIU/) (COVENTRY LAW SCHOOL, COVENTRY UNIVERSITY (HTTP://WWW.COVENTRY.AC.UK/STUDY-AT-COVENTRY/FACULTIES-AND-SCHOOLS/COVENTRY-LAW-SCHOOL/))/MARCH 23, 2018 (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/AUTHOR/EUNICE-CHAN-SWEE-EN/) (DREW & NAPIER (HTTP://WWW.DREWNAPIER.COM/HOME))/MARCH 21, 2018 (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/2018/03/22/I (HTTPS://ARBITRATIONBLOG.KLUWERARBITRATION.COM/2018/03/22/LEGITIMATE- EXPECTATIONS-RENEWABLE-ENERGY-TREATY-ARBITRATIONSEXPECTATIONS-RENEWABLE-ENERGY-TREATY-ARBITRATIONSLESSONS-FAB// LESSONS-FAR/) Leave a Reply YOUR EMAIL ADDRESS WILL NOT BE PUBLISHED. REQUIRED FIELDS ARE MARKED * COMMENT NAME * EMAIL * WEBSITE SAVE MY NAME, EMAIL, AND WEBSITE IN THIS BROWSER FOR THE NEXT TIME I COMMENT. POST COMMENT

This site uses Akismet to reduce spam. Learn how your comment data is processed (https://akismet.com/privacy/).

(htt mira

Shreya Jain (Assistant Editor for South Asi Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas



iain

Piyush Prasad (Assistant Editor for South Asia)

WongPartnership LLP (https://www.wongpartnership.com)



Ashutosh Ray (Assistant Editor for South Asia)



Ahmed Durrani (Assistant Editor for the MENA Region)

Sultan Al-Abdulla & Partners

(https://www.qatarlaw.com/ahmed-durrani.html)



duri

Michael Farchakh (Assistant Editor for the Region)

(https://www.linkedin.com/in/michaelfare Hadef & Partners

(https://www.hadefpartners.com/Lawyers/168/Mich Farchakh)



Khushboo Shahdadpuri (Assistant Editor f the MENA Region)

Al Tamimi & Company (https://www.tamimi.com/find lawyer/khushboo-shahdadpuri/)



Antoine Cottin (Assistant Editor for Europe Laborde Law (https://fr.laborde-law.com/)



Boris Praštalo (Assistant Editor for Europe (https://www.linkedin.com/in/borisprastalo-210071186)

Brunel University London (https://www.brunel.ac.uk



edit for-

Olga Sendetska (Assistant Editor for Europ Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP

(https://www.linkedin.com/in/olgasendetska/)



Eric Lenier Ives (Assistant Editor for Canac and the United States)

White & Case LLP

(https://www.whitecase.com/people/eric-ives)



Paige von Mehren (Assistant Editor for Canada and the United States)

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer US LLP (https://www.freshfields.com/en-gb/contacts/find-a lawyer/v/von-mehren-paige/)



Aecio Filipe Oliveira (Assistant Editor for South and Central America) (https://www.bmalaw.com.br/en-US/advogado/aecio-filipe-coelho-fraga-d oliveira)

Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão Advogados - BMA (https://www.linkedin.com/in/acio-filipe-o-342033a! locale=en_US)



Fabian Zetina (Assistant Editor for South ϵ Central America)

BakerHostetler (https://www.bakerlaw.com/)



Emma Garrett (Assistant Editor for Austral New Zealand and the Pacific Islands)



Sam Macintosh (Assistant Editor for Austra New Zealand and the Pacific Islands)

Wynn Williams (https://www.wynnwilliams.co.nz/)



Gordon Blanke

Blanke Arbitration LLC (http://blankearbitration.com



Gary B. Born (http://www.wilmerhale.com/gary_born/) Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP (http://www.wilmerhale.com/)



(htt b-b

Nikos Lavranos

NL-Investmentconsulting (https://www.nlinvestmentconsulting.com/)



Michael McIlwrath

MDisputes (https://www.mdisputes.com/)




Mary Mitsi

Queen Mary University of London (http://www.qmul.ac.uk/)



Matthias Scherer

(http://www.lalive.ch/e/lawyers/index.ph lawyer=163) Editor in Chief, ASA Bulletin; LALIVE





Maxi Scherer

(https://www.wilmerhale.com/en/people/ scherer)

WilmerHale & Queen Mary University of London



Georg von Segesser

von Segesser Law Offices (http://www.vonsegesserlaw.com/)



Wei Sun (http://www.zhonglun.com)

Zhong Lun Law Firm (http://www.zhonglun.com)



Patricia Živković

(http://hu.linkedin.com/in/patriciazivkov University of Aberdeen (https://www.abdn.ac.uk/)



AFFILIATES

ACICA 45 (http://acica.org.au/acica-45/) Members

(htt

AfricArb

(http://https://www.linkedin.com/compar arb/)

Members

<u>afriç</u> (htt

AIAC Young Practitioners Group (AIAC YPG) (http://https://www.aiac.world/ypg) Members

> ΔIΔ (htt gID=

Arbitrator Intelligence (http://arbitratorintelligence.org/) Affiliates



ArbitralWomen

(http://www.arbitralwomen.org/) Members

(htt gID=

Asian International Arbitration Centre (AIA (http://https://www.aiac.world/) Affiliates



Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP (http://www.blplaw.com/home) Attorneys

LEIGHTO PAISN (htt

Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (CIArb) (http://www.ciarb.org/) Members



CIETAC Hong Kong Arbitration Center (http://www.cietachk.org)

Affiliates



Clyde & Co. (http://www.clydeco.com/) Attorneys

CLYD (htt gID=

Delos (http://https://delosdr.org/) Affiliates

DΕ (htt gID=

Finland Arbitration Institute (FAI) (http://arbitration.fi/) Affiliates

(htt

Herbert Smith Freehills (http://www.herbertsmithfreehills.com/) Attorneys



HK45 (http://www.hkiac.org/en/hkiac/hk4 Members



ICC Young Arbitrators Forum (YAF)
(http://https://iccwbo.org/disputeresolution-services/professionaldevelopment/young-arbitrators-forum-ya
Members



(htt gID=

International Centre for Dispute Resolutic (ICDR) (http://https://www.icdr.org/)
Members



Institute for Transnational Arbitration (ITA Academic Council (http://www.cailaw.org/ Members



Jeantet (http://www.jeantet.fr/) Attorneys



gID=

KCAB Next

(http://www.kcabinternational.or.kr/main. Members



Linklaters (http://www.linklaters.com/) Attorneys

Link

(htt| gID=

Peter & Kim

(http://https://peterandkim.com/) Attorneys

PETE (htt gID=

Rising Arbitrators Initiative (RAI) (http://https://risingarbitratorsinitiative.c Members

> (htt gID=

Schoenherr (http://www.schoenherr.eu/) Attorneys

> scho (htt| gID=

Shanghai International Arbitration Center (SHIAC)

(http://www.shiac.org/SHIAC/index_E.asp Members

> SH (htt

gID=

Three Crowns LLP (http://www.threecrownsllp.com/) Attorneys



YIAG

(http://www.lcia.org/Membership/YIAG/Y Members

(htt

YSIAC (http://www.siac.org.sg/ysiac/abourus)

Members

(htt| gID=

Young ICCA (http://www.youngicca.org/)
Members

(htt

Young ITA (http://www.cailaw.org/Institut for-Transnational-Arbitration/Young-ITA/index.html) Members







(http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=soafLup0rOA)



(https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwer

RELATED SITES

Kluwer Arbitration (http://www.kluwerarbitration.com Kluwer Mediation Blog (https://kluwermediationblog.a Author Portal

(https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwer

RSS FEEDS

Summary Feed

Mitter //eebitrationblog.kluwerarbi

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbi

|RECENT PUBLICATIONS

An inter se Modification of the ECT to Exclude EU Arbitration – How Can It Work? (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com inter-se-modification-of-the-ect-to-exclude-ir eu-arbitration-how-can-it-work/) June 19, 202:

KluwerArbitration ITA Arbitration Report, Volu No. XXI, Issue No. 5 (May 2022)

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com ita-arbitration-report-volume-no-xxi-issue-no

may-2022/) June 18, 2023

DIS Spring Conference 2023: Disruption, Chang Reordering in Global Supply Chains – Pandemi War and Inflation in Arbitration

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com spring-conference-2023-disruption-change-an reordering-in-global-supply-chains-pandemic and-inflation-in-arbitration/) June 17, 2023

Reforming Substantive Investment Law: How Should We Do It?

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com substantive-investment-law-how-should-we-c it/) June 16, 2023

A Decade of the 2012 Saudi Arbitration Law: Outcomes and Aims

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com decade-of-the-2012-saudi-arbitration-lawoutcomes-and-aims/) June 15, 2023

RECENT COMMENTS

bob johnson on Will Achmea Trump ICSID in Germany? Bundesgerichtshof Likely to Grant "Arbitration" Declarations (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com achmea-trump-icsid-in-germanybundesgerichtshof-likely-to-grant-anti-arbitra declarations/#comment-350063)

Dr. Kishor Dere on State of the Art of Conflic Competence Related to Arbitration in Brazil (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com of-the-art-of-conflict-of-competence-related-larbitration-in-brazil/#comment-349916)

Dr. Kishor Dere on State of the Art of Conflic Competence Related to Arbitration in Brazil (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com of-the-art-of-conflict-of-competence-related-1 arbitration-in-brazil/#comment-349914)

Dr. Kishor Dere on Delhi High Court Rules Th Party Funders Vital to Justice but Not Liable fo Adverse Costs Award

(https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com high-court-rules-third-party-funders-vital-tojustice-but-not-liable-for-an-adverse-costsaward/#comment-349905)

Dr. Kishor Dere on Reforming Substantive Investment Law: How Should We Do It? (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com substantive-investment-law-how-should-we-c it/#comment-349897)

TAKE THE AI QUESTIONNAIRE





ABOUT US

About Kluwer Arbitration Blog (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/about/)
Kluwer Arbitration (http://www.kluwerarbitration.com/)
Kluwer Law International (http://www.kluwerlaw.com)
E-store (http://www.kluwerlaw.com/catalogue/)
Authors (https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerlawinternational/authors)

CONTACT

General Information

LEGAL POLICY

 $(\verb|https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/contact/|)$

User Agreement and Disclaimer (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/user-agreement-and-disclaimer/)

Editorial Policy & Guidelines (https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/editorial-policy-guidelines/)
Privacy Policy and Use of Cookies (https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerlawinternational/privacy-policy)

BACK TO