AMERICAN LEGAL SYSTEM – WHAT ARE THE METHODS OF RESOLVING COMMERCIAL DISPUTES BETWEEN U.S. AND CZECH COMPANIES

> Masaryk University – Law Faculty October 2011

> > Class II

How does the dispute begin:

 Contract between U.S. and Czech Company for Czech to produce and send to U.S. high tech automotive parts:



What are the contract terms? What's "the deal"



- Contract explains the terms, including how the dispute will be resolved: in court or by arbitration; and where resolved whether in the U.S. or in the Czech Republic or somewhere else.
- Let's suppose the auto entertainment systems are shipped but the U.S. manufacturer claims there are not enough to meet the contract.
- Not all disputes are worth fighting about:
 - Cost of the dispute
 - Damage to the relationship: if you fight with your customer (even if you are right), no new orders.
 - Damage to reputation (yours or the U.S. company's)

U.S. Company: "we're going to Court in the U.S."



Very expensive for Czech Company.



Why? What is the process in U.S.?

- Unlike cases in the Czech Republic, or in the E.U. generally (except for the U.K.), cases in the U.S. allow extensive and **expensive** "discovery". What is that ?
 - Pre-trial testimony from anyone with information about the case ("depositions").
 - Document Production: all of your documents, including all of your private emails (except for those with your attorney).
 - This includes the email that you thought you had deleted.
 - These can be very damaging to either side.
 - Obtaining emails from your opponent may help you prove your case. Expensive but could be big help.

VIDEO CLIP

VIDEO CLIP

<u> </u>		
	From: Kelly Date: 10/28/2006 2:52:27 PM To: Ron Subject: RE: Attachments:	
	Ron:	
. »·	This is totally confidential and I will not admit that I provided it to you but I believe it will help you. Regards, Kelly	
	· · ·	
C	Original Message From: Ron Sent: Friday, October 27, 2006 5:11 PM To: Subject:	
	a.	
540) -		
(
)		

<u>The Trial</u>

• U.S. courts allow jury trial, or trial without juries.



- 6 or 12 persons decide the facts; the judge instructs the jury on what the law is:
 - What each side must prove to win.
- Juries are difficult to predict and can reach a result based on emotional responses.
- This is dangerous for both sides, but juries often favor the "home team" the person or company from that area.
- Jury trials take longer and are more expensive.

- Or, cases in the U.S. can be decided by a judge alone, without a jury.

 - If tried by a judge, he or she then decides the facts and applies the law.
 - How are judges in the U.S. selected, and what does this tell you about the fairness of the judge?



- Attorney's fees are usually paid by each side, regardless of who wins.
- These are risks for a U.S. trial. But there are risks in the Czech Republic, too.

<u>Judges in the Czech Republic are in career</u> <u>positions</u>

- Not having served as lawyers first, they may not see the case in the same way as the lawyers do.
- If the judge is not good, or does not work hard, can he be replaced?
- There is no (or limited) pre-trail discovery: you will hear the positions for the first time during the trial, limiting your ability to prepare for it.
- You will not have access to the documents from the other side, so you may not know the full story.
- Attorney's fees will be paid by the losing side.

Cost and uncertainty of Litigation cause parties to look for other methods of resolving disputes: what are they and how do they work?

A. Arbitration

- Agreement to resolve disputes through a private, but binding method of resolving a dispute.
- Provided for by the contract: specific provision instead of litigation.

- Arbitration resolves a dispute outside of court, but in a binding way.
- Arbitrator's decision (an "Award") is then entered into Court as a judgment
 - Same as if the case had been tried in Court
- Judgment entered in Court of one country after arbitration will be enforced in another country

- If a case is arbitrated in one country, can the resulting decision be enforced in another?
- International Arbitration has become common since 1958.
- "New York Convention" on International Arbitration, sponsored by United Nations.
- This is a treaty an agreement among countries to enforce arbitration awards in other countries.
- More than 140 countries agree and jointed treaty
- Czech Republic joined in 1993.

- Some types of disputes may still be presented to Court.
 - Example: where urgent remedy is needed someone is taking your intellectual property and is about to put it on the internet.
 - One of your former employees has taken customer files, copied them and is about to give them to a competitor.
 - Your customer is making damaging statements to the news media about your product.
- Arbitration may be too slow to effectively prevent these harms.
 - So contracts provide for court for some exceptional situations.

- More routine disputes can be reserved for arbitration. For example:
 - Was the product defective?
 - Was the charge proper?
 - Did both parties expect that the quantity to be shipped could be decided only by the Buyer?
 - If the product was lost in shipment, whose fault is that? Must the customer pay; or the manufacturer?

Litigation vs. Arbitration



The Appeal:

- In the U.S., almost every case can be appealed after the trial.
- An appeal in the U.S, is **not** another trial.
- It is a review of the trial court to decide if the court made a legal error. Did the trial judge misunderstand the law or apply it incorrectly?
- Appeals that raise important social issues can be heard by the highest court, the Supreme Court.
- Not a "right"; the Supreme Court selects only a few cases that it considers most important. These are the cases that will affect major issues.

Czech Republic Appeals

- Similar: appeals may be taken to the Regional Courts, challenging whether the trial court correctly applied the law.
- Further appeals to the Supreme Court are discretionary: the Court only takes those cases considered to have wide application and importance.
 Difference: in the U.S., an appeals court's decision will control or bind the trial court in similar cases. In the C.R., the decisions are not published and are not

- U.S. use of precedents may provide guidance for how your case will be decided.
 - Similar facts should lead to similar result
 - Trial judge is required to apply the precedent of court decisions.
- Let's Take a Possible Case you might encounter:
- If you were the Czech business person in a dispute with the U.S., and the facts and contract were as provided to you before this class, where and how would you bring the case, and why?
- What are the things you would think about?