INTRODUCTION TO THE CZECH CRIMINAL PROCEDURE: BASIC PRINCIPLES & STAGES OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

13<sup>th</sup> November 2019

Katarína Kandová

### SOURCES

- Act no. 141/1961 Coll., on Criminal Procedure (CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE)
- Act no. 218/2003 Coll., on Juvenile Justice
- Act no. 418/2011 Coll., on Criminal Liability of Legal
   Entities and Proceedings against them
- Act no. 104/2013 Coll., on International Cooperation in Judicial Matters

## CZECH CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

- reformed type of European continental proceedings
  - obligatory formal preliminary proceedings
- combination of INQUISITORIAL (pre-trial phase) & ACCUSATORIAL (trial phase) model
  - pre-trial phase dominated by public prosecutor assisted by police
  - trial phase initiated by public prosecutor and consequent confrontation of parties (prosecution vs. defense) led by judge

### CZECH CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

- inspiration from Angloamerican (adversarial) model of criminal proceedings
  - diversions, agreement on guilt and punishment etc.
  - but these elements are adjusted to continental model of criminal proceedings and its principles
- major differences
  - Czech professional judges vs. Angloamerican jury
  - Czech active judge vs. Angloamerican passive judge
  - no formal burden of proof of public prosecutor in CR

# BASIC PRINCIPLES OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

### BASIC PRINCIPLES

- principles common for the whole process:
- DUE PROCESS
  - prosecution on legal basis & in legal manner
- PROMPTNESS (principle of speed)
  - periods in all stages of criminal proceedings
- ADEQUACY & RESTRAINT of interference with rights
  - only in necessary cases & in necessary extent
- RIGHT TO DEFENSE
  - formal and material defense

### "INITIATION" PRINCIPLES

- Principle of OFFICIALITY
  - law enforcement authorities have to proceed EX OFFO
  - exceptions: consent of aggrieved party, remedies, ...
- Principle of LEGALITY
  - public prosecutor is OBLIGED to prosecute all crimes
  - exceptions: public prosecutor is authorized not to prosecute in certain cases (Section 172 par. 2)
- ACCUSATORIAL principle
  - court proceedings may be initiated only on the basis of INDICTMENT (or motion for punishment or motion for approval of agreement on guilt and punishment)

### PRINCIPLES OF EVIDENCE

- MATERIAL TRUTH
  - establishing the merit of case BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBTS
  - mere confession of defendant is not sufficient
- INVESTIGATIVE principle
  - law enforcement authorities (including court) investigate ex offo
  - all circumstances for and against defendant
- PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE
  - in dubio pro reo
- FREE EVALUATION of evidence
  - based on inner conviction of judge, not formal rules

## PRINCIPLES TYPICAL FOR COURT PROCEEDINGS

#### PUBLICITY

- public hearings before court vs. non-public pre-trial phase
- exclusion of public only in certain cases (juveniles, victims)

#### ORALITY

- oral hearings before court vs. written pre-trial phase
- questioning of accused, witnesses, experts

#### IMMEDIACY

- only evidence that was performed during court proceedings.
- evidence that is closest to the source of evidence (but hearsay evidence is not excluded)

## STAGES OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

## STAGES OF CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

REGULAR course of criminal proceedings:

- PRE-TRIAL PHASE
  - preliminary proceedings
- TRIAL PHASE
  - preliminary hearing of indictment
  - MAIN TRIAL
  - remedial proceedings
  - enforcement proceedings

### **DIVERSIONS**

#### IRREGULAR forms of criminal procedure:

- Conditional discontinuance of crim. prosecution
- Settlement
- Withdrawal from criminal prosecution
- (Criminal order)
- (Agreement on guilt and punishment)

## SPECIAL TYPES OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL forms of regular course of CP:

- Proceedings in juvenile matters
- Proceedings against legal entities
- Proceedings against fugitive
- (Simplified) proceedings before a single judge

### PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS

### PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS

- obligatory part of the Czech criminal proceedings
- 3 forms of preliminary proceedings:
- SUMMARY
- STANDARD
- EXTENDED

## SUMMARY PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS

- in case of minor crimes (sentence of imprisonment up to 5 years) if:
  - (a) suspect is caught in flagranti or
  - (b) there is probability of putting suspect before court within 2 weeks
- ends either with MOTION FOR PUNISHMENT or other decision (suspension of matter, submitting the matter to competent authority, diversion)

## STANDARD AND EXTENDED PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS

- 2 phases:
- EXAMINATION
  - phase PRIOR to initiation of criminal prosecution
- INVESTIGATION
  - phase AFTER initiation of criminal prosecution
- initiation of criminal prosecution
  - moment when a suspect finds out he/she is accused of committing crime(s)
  - delivery of resolution on initiation of criminal prosecution to a suspect (when he/she becomes an ACCUSED)

## EXTENDED PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS

- most serious crimes
  - competence of regional courts as 1<sup>st</sup> instance courts
  - 5 years as minimum lower sentence of imprisonment
- longer periods
  - 6 months for examination
  - 6 months for investigation
- no restrictions in questioning witnesses that are typical for standard preliminary proceedings

### **EXAMINATION**

- phase PRIOR to initiation of criminal prosecution
- initial information about crime
  - crime complaint
  - results of police work
- police write record on the commencement of acts of criminal proceedings
- verification of facts implying that alleged CRIME was committed & that it was committed by CERTAIN person

### **EXAMINATION**

- performance of URGENT & NON-REPEATABLE ACTS
  - questioning of children, witnesses in danger of life
  - conservation of marks on the crime scene, taking of biological materials, etc.
- requirement of explanations from potential witnesses or other subjects, requirement of expert opinions
  - questioning of witnesses in presence of judge
- wire-tapping, house search
- surveillance of persons or items, use of agent

### INVESTIGATION

- INITIATION OF CRIMINAL PROSECUTION of concrete person (accused)
- formal preparation of the case for trial phase
- search for sources of evidence
- restricted performance of evidence
- full right to defence
  - right of defence counsel to participate in investigation acts
  - right to study case file and suggest further investigation

### END OF STANDARD / EXTENDED PRELIMINARY PROCEEDINGS

- public prosecutor submits
  - INDICTMENT to the court or
  - motion for approval of AGREEMENT ON GUILT & PUNISHMENT
- public prosecutor TERMINATES criminal prosecution
  - obligatorily or facultatively (principle of opportunity)
- public prosecutor TRANSFERS case to competent authority (if the act does not constitute a crime, but e.g. contravention)
- public prosecutor SUSPENDS criminal prosecution
  - e. g. if accused can not understand meaning of criminal prosecution due to mental illness

## PRELIMINARY HEARING OF INDICTMENT

## PRELIMINARY HEARING OF INDICTMENT

- facultative stage of trial phase
- review of the indictment
  - whether results of preliminary proceedings provide sufficient grounds for main trial
  - whether preliminary proceedings were carried out in accordance with the law
- court may either order main trial, or return case to the prosecutor or make other decision

## MAIN TRIAL

### MAIN TRIAL

- obligatory & most important stage of the Czech criminal proceedings
- core of evidence proceedings
- deciding on guilt and punishment on the basis of indictment & act specified there
- deciding on compensation of damage caused by crime to the aggrieved party

### **ADJUDICATING BODY**

- single judge
  - crimes with sentence of imprisonment up to 5 years
- bench of three judges (1 professional + 2 lay judges)
  - other crimes dealt by the 1st instance courts
- bench of three professional judges
  - 2nd instance courts (regional, high), Supreme Court
- senate of nine professional judges
  - Supreme Court in legally ambiguous questions

### COMMENCEMENT OF THE MAIN TRIAL

- main trial is opened by (presiding) judge who announces the case and check presence & identity of summoned persons
- public prosecutor reads an indictment
- aggrieved party may demand compensation of damages caused by crime

### EVIDENCE IN THE MAIN TRIAL

- evidence proceedings is led by judge
  - parties (prosecutor, defense) or other subjects (aggrieved person, parents of juvenile, ...) may pose questions
  - defendant has right to confront evidence
- questioning of defendant
- questioning of witnesses
- other evidence (expert opinions, paper evidence, ...)
- main trial may be adjourned to complete evidence

### CONCLUSION OF THE MAIN TRIAL

- closing speeches
  - public prosecutor
  - aggrieved party
  - defense counsel
  - defendant
- last word of defendant
- judge (bench) leaves courtroom for final deliberation

### DECISION IN THE MAIN TRIAL

- JUDGEMENT
  - of conviction
  - of acquittal (in dubio pro reo)
- discontinuance of criminal prosecution
- suspension of criminal prosecution
- transfer of case to competent authority
- judge may return the case to public prosecutor
  - if circumstances of the case significantly changed so that further investigation has to be carried out

## REMEDIAL PROCEEDINGS

### REMEDIAL MEASURES

- ORDINARY remedial measures
  - remedies against decisions that have not become final yet
  - review of decisions of 1<sup>st</sup> instance law enf. authorities
  - appeal, complaint, protest (3)
- EXTRAORDINARY remedial measures
  - remedies against final decisions (in legal force)
  - unification of the decision-making practice
  - extraordinary appeal, complaint against violation of law, re-trial (3)

## PRINCIPLES OF REMEDIAL PROCEEDINGS

- principle of beneficium cohaesionis
  - decision for benefit of person who did not filed remedy
- principle of prohibition of reformationis in peius
  - if the remedy is filed only for benefit of defendant, the result of remedial proc. cannot be against him/her
- principle of devolution
  - superior body decides about remedy
  - decentralized remedies (appeal, complaint)
  - centralized remedies (when Supreme Court decides)

## PRINCIPLES OF REMEDIAL PROCEEDINGS

- principle of suspension
  - effect of suspending enforcement of decision
  - appeal, some complaints (if CCP states so)
  - in case of extraordinary remedies, presiding judge (or Minister of Justice in case of complaints against violation of law) may suspend enforcement of decision
- principle of limited review (only complaints)
  - reviewing body is not bound by the extent of remedy
- appellation and cassation principles

## ORDINARY REMEDIAL MEASURES

#### **APPEAL**

- remedy against JUDGEMENT of the first instance court
- decided by superior court (devolution principle)
- can be filed by prosecutor, defendant or his relatives within 8 days from delivery of judgment
- appellation principle with elements of cassation

### COMPLAINT

- remedy against "RESOLUTION"
  - procedural decision of courts, public prosecutors or police authority
  - e.g. resolution on intiation of criminal prosecution
- decided by superior body
- complete revision of protested resolution and prior proceedings in relation to person that filed complaint (limited restriction)

### **PROTEST**

- remedy against "CRIMINAL ORDER"
  - decision made by single judge on the basis of file
- may be filed within 8 days by public prosecutor or defendant
- single judge of the same 1<sup>st</sup> instance court automatically order main trial
- principle of prohibition of reformationis in peius does not apply here (punishment may be harsher)

# EXTRAORDINARY REMEDIAL MEASURES

### EXTRAORDINARY APPEAL

- remedy against certain decisions of second instance courts
- only legal and procedural faults
- can be filed only by the General Prosecutor or defendant
- decided exclusively by the Supreme Court

## COMPLAINT AGAINST VIOLATION OF LAW

- remedy against final decisions of courts or public prosecutors that violated the law
- mainly legal, but also factual and procedural faults
- can be filed only by the Minister of Justice
- decided also by the Supreme Court
- challenged decision can not be cancelled to detriment of defendant

## RE-TRIAL (RENEWAL OF PROCEEDINGS)

- when new evidence or facts appears after legal force of original decision
- this new evidence or facts could have significant impact on original decision
- motion for re-trial can be brought by public prosecutor, convicted or his relatives
- decided by the first instance court

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION ©

